Azimuthal charged particle correlations as a probe for local strong parity violation in heavy ion collisions

Ilya Selyuzhenkov for the STAR Collaboration

Indiana University

Meeting of the Division of Particles and Fields
of the American Physical Society

Detroit MI, 27-31 July, 2009

INDIANA UNIVERSITY

STAR

Important features of the system created in non-central heavy ion collisions (HIC)

B - magnetic field
L - orbital momentum



b – impact parameter

Colliding nuclei are moving out-of-plane

- Overlapped area: non-uniform particle density and pressure gradient
- Large orbital angular momentum:

$$\mathbf{L} \sim 10^5$$

Liang, Wang, PRL94:102301 (2005)
Liang, JPG34:323 (2007)

- Strong magnetic field:

$$\mathbf{B} \sim 10^{15}\,\mathrm{T} \quad (e\mathbf{B} \sim 10^4\,\mathrm{MeV}^2)$$

$$(\mu_N \mathbf{B} \sim 100\,\mathrm{MeV})$$

Kharzeev, PLB633:260 (2006)
Kharzeev, McLerran, Warringa NPA803:227 (2008)

Particle production in HIC: Asymmetries wrt. the reaction plane

Anisotropic transverse flow

Initial space anisotropy of the overlapped area evolves into momentum space

Strong elliptic and directed flow.
Well established collective effects, extensively studied at RHIC/SPS.

Review: arXiv:0809.2949 [nucl-ex]

Global polarization and spin alignment

Preferential orientation of the spin of produced particles wrt. the system orbital momentum

Experimentally consistent with zero.
Measured by STAR for strange hyperons ($\Lambda, \bar{\Lambda}$) and vector mesons (K^{*0}, ϕ).

PRC76:024915 (2007), PRC77:061902 (2008)

Local strong parity violation

Charge separation along the magnetic field/orbital momentum

Under experimental study at RHIC.
Focus of this talk.

Theoretical concept of P-violation in strong interactions

Chiral symmetry breaking and P-violation

QCD vacuum (gluonic field energy) is periodic vs. Chern-Simons number, N_{CS}:



N_{CS} (generalized coordinate)

Localized in space & time solutions.
Transitions between different vacua via **tunneling**/**go-over-barrier**

Quark interaction changes chirality, which is a P and T odd transition

P/CP invariance are (globally) preserved in strong interactions.

Evidence from neutron EDM (electric dipole moment) experiments:

Pospelov, Ritz, PRL83:2526 (1999)
Baker *et al.*, PRL97:131801 (2006)

$$\theta < 10^{-11}$$

If $\theta \neq 0$, then QCD vacuum breaks P and CP symmetry.

but:

In HIC formation of (local) metastable P-odd domains is not forbidden.

T.D. Lee, PRD8:1226 (1973)
Morley, Schmidt, Z.Phys.C26:627 (1985)
Kharzeev, Pisarski, Tytgat, PRL81:512 (1998)
Kharzeev, Pisarski, PRD61:111901 (2000)
Voloshin, PRC62:044901 (2000)
Kharzeev, Krasnitz, Venugopalan, PLB545:298 (2002)
Finch, Chikanian, Longacre, Sandweiss, Thomas, PRC65:014908(2002)

Charge separation in HIC

Magnetic field aligns quark spins along or opposite to its direction



Right-handed quark momentum is opposite to the left-handed one

Vacuum transitions produce local excess of left/right handed quarks:



Induced electric field (parallel to B):

$$E \sim \theta \cdot B$$

Positive and negative charges moving opposite to each other
→ charge separation in a finite volume

Kharzeev, *et. al* PRL81:512 (1998), PRD61:111901 (2000)
Kharzeev, PLB633:260 (2006)
Kharzeev, Zhitnitsky, NPA797:67 (2007)
Kharzeev, McLerran, Warringa, NPA803:227 (2008)
Fukushima, Kharzeev, Waringa, PRD 78:074033 (2008)

Why charge asymmetry wrt. the reaction plane is P-violation?



Coordinate/momentum (vectors):

$$\vec{r} \rightarrow -\vec{r} \qquad \vec{p} \rightarrow -\vec{p}$$

Orbital momentum/magnetic field (pseudo-vectors):

$$\vec{L} \rightarrow \vec{L} \qquad \vec{B} \rightarrow \vec{B}$$

Experimental observable

Azimuthal distribution in case of P-violation

$$\frac{dN_{\pm}}{d\phi} \sim 1+2\sum_{i=1} v_n \cos(n\Delta\phi) + 2a_{1,\pm}\sin\Delta\phi + \ldots$$



Ψ_{RP} reaction plane (RP) angle

$\Delta\phi = \phi - \Psi_{RP}$ particle azimuth relative to RP

v_n *n*-harmonic anisotropic transverse flow. *n*=1 – directed flow, *n*=2 - elliptic flow

$a_{\pm}$ asymmetry in charged particle production (consider only first harmonic)

e_z beam direction (out of sheet)

$e_x e_y e_z$ laboratory frame axes

Predicted asymmetry is about 1% for mid-central collisions

→ within an experimental reach

Kharzeev, PLB633:260 (2006)

Observable

- Charge asymmetry is too small to be observed in a single event
- Asymmetry fluctuates event by event.
  P-odd observable yields zero: $\langle a_{\pm} \rangle = \langle \sin(\phi_{\pm} - \Psi_{RP}) \rangle = 0$
- Study P-even correlations: $<a_\alpha a_\beta>$ $(\alpha, \beta = \pm)$
  Measure the difference between **in-plane** and **out-of-plane** correlations:

$$\boxed{\langle \cos(\phi_\alpha + \phi_\beta - 2\Psi_{RP}) \rangle} = \langle \cos\Delta\phi_\alpha \cos\Delta\phi_\beta \rangle - \langle \sin\Delta\phi_\alpha \sin\Delta\phi_\beta \rangle =$$

Voloshin PRC70:057901 (2004)

$$= [\langle v_{1,\alpha} v_{1,\beta} \rangle + Bg^{(in)}] - [\langle a_\alpha a_\beta \rangle + Bg^{(out)}]$$

$$\Delta\phi_{\alpha,\beta} = \phi_{\alpha,\beta} - \Psi_{RP}$$

- Large RP-independent background correlations cancel out in $Bg^{(in)}$ - $Bg^{(out)}$

  $Bg^{(in)}$ ($Bg^{(out)}$) denotes in- (out-of) plane background correlations
- RP-dependent (P-even) backgrounds contribute:
  → $Bg^{(in)}$ - $Bg^{(out)}$ term
  → $<v_{1,\alpha} v_{1,\beta}>$: directed flow (zero in symmetric rapidity range) + flow fluctuations

Medium effects on charge correlations

P-odd domain formation
(no medium)

$$a_+ = -a_-$$



$$\langle a_+^2 \rangle = \langle a_-^2 \rangle > 0$$
$$\langle a_+ a_- \rangle = -\langle a_+^2 \rangle$$

D. Kharzeev, PLB633:260 (2006)

Quenching in medium



$$\langle a_+^2 \rangle = \langle a_-^2 \rangle > 0$$
$$\langle a_+ a_- \rangle \ll -\langle a_+^2 \rangle$$

Kharzeev, McLerran, Warringa, NPA803:227 (2008)

Expectations for charge correlations

- Magnitude: $a_{\pm} = \pm \dfrac{4}{\pi} \dfrac{Q}{N_{\pm}}$

  $Q = N_R - N_L$ - topological charge ($Q = \pm 1, \pm 2, ...$)

  $N_{\pm}$ - charged particle multiplicity $\langle Q \rangle \sim \sqrt{N_{\pm}}$

  For midcentral Au+Au collisions (1 P-odd domain/collision):
  $N_{\pm} \sim 100$ per unit of rapidity $\rightarrow a_{\pm} \sim 1\%$

  $$\langle a_{\alpha} a_{\beta} \rangle \sim 10^{-4}$$

- Correlation width in rapidity: about one unit
- Localized at $p_t < 1$ GeV/c (non-perturbative effect)
- Proportional to the magnetic field: $a_{\pm} \sim B$
- Stronger opposite-sign signal
  for a smaller colliding system (atomic number)

Kharzeev, PLB633:260 (2006)
Kharzeev, Zhitnitsky, NPA797:67 (2007)
Kharzeev, McLerran, Warringa, NPA803:227 (2008)
Fukushima, Kharzeev, Waringa, PRD78:074033 (2008)

Measurement technique

- Goal: 2-particle correlations wrt. the reaction plane (RP):

$$\langle \cos(\phi_\alpha + \phi_\beta - 2\Psi_{RP}) \rangle$$

- In experiment RP is unknown
  → estimated from azimuthal distribution of produced particles:

$$\langle \cos(\phi_\alpha + \phi_\beta - 2\Psi_{RP}) \rangle = \langle \cos(\phi_\alpha + \phi_\beta - 2\phi_c) \rangle / v_{2,c}$$

$v_{2,c}$ - elliptic flow of c-particle

Implies: c and (α, β) particles are correlated only via RP
→ validity needs to be tested experimentally

- Measuring (mixed harmonics) **3-particle azimuthal correlations:**

$$\langle \cos(\phi_\alpha + \phi_\beta - 2\phi_c) \rangle = -\langle a_\alpha a_\beta \rangle v_{2,c} + \text{[non-parity correlations]}$$

STAR probes of P-violation

The STAR experiment

TPC: $|\eta| < 1.3$
(Time Projection Chamber)



FTPCs: $2.9<|\eta|<3.9$
(Forward TPC)

Charged particle cuts:

Pseudo-rapidity

$|\eta| < 1$

Transverse momentum

0.15 < p_t **< 2** GeV/c

RP reconstruction with TPC, FTPCs and ZDC SMDs

ZDC SMDs:
recoil neutrons at **beam rapidity**

(Zero Degree Calorimeter - Shower Maximum Detector)

Data from RHIC running in year 2004/2005

System	Energy, $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$	Events
Au+Au	200 / 62 GeV	10.6 / 7 M
Cu+Cu	200 / 62 GeV	30 / 19 M

Detector effects



Acceptance corrections (re-centering):

$$\sin n\phi \;\rightarrow\; \sin n\phi \;-\; \langle \sin n\phi \rangle$$

$$\cos n\phi \;\rightarrow\; \cos n\phi \;-\; \langle \cos n\phi \rangle$$

Poskanzer, Voloshin, PRC58:1671 (1998)
Borghini, Dinh, Ollitrault, PRC66:014905 (2002)
Selyuzhenkov, Voloshin, PRC77:034904 (2008)

symbol	*(α, β)* charges	*c*-particle
	opposite sign, + -	positive
	same sign, ++	
	same sign, - -	
	opposite sign, + -	negative
	same sign, ++	
	same sign, - -	

- After corrections: consistent results for all charge combinations
- Conclude from a number of tests:
  → detector effects are not responsible for observed correlations.

Testing sensitivity to 2-particle correlations wrt. RP





symbol	(α, β) charges	c-particle
red filled circle blue filled square	same sign opposite sign	|η|<1.0 (TPC)
red open circle blue open square	same sign opposite sign	2.9<|η|< 3.9 (FTPCs)

- $v_{2,c}$ correction gives consistent result with TPC/FTPC *c*-particle (similarly ZDC-SMD)

  → Probing 2-particle correlations wrt. RP

- Same- and opposite-sign correlations consistent with P-violation

Modeling physics backgrounds

$$\langle \cos(\phi_\alpha + \phi_\beta - 2\Psi_{RP}) \rangle = \langle \cos(\phi_\alpha + \phi_\beta - 2\phi_c) \rangle / v_{2,c}$$



symbol	model	c-particle
▼ △ ● ■	**HIJING** **HIJING + v_2** **UrQMD** **MEVSIM**	true reaction plane
opposite (solid green line) same (dashed green line)	**HIJING 3-particle correlations**	$\|\eta\|<1.0$

HIJING + v_2: added flow "afterburner"
MEVSIM: resonances with realistic flow

Note: cluster production is not well modeled by event generators

- Non-zero background correlations, but different from observed signal
- HIJING produce data-like opposite-sign 3-particle correlations:
  → opposite-sign signal can be diluted by effects not related to RP orientation

Pseudo-rapidity and transverse momentum dependence





Typical "hadronic" width.
Consistent with P-violation

The signal extends to
higher transverse momenta?

Energy and system size dependence



Au+Au	Cu+Cu	α and β charges
● / ■	○ / □	**same sign** / **opposite sign**
solid bands	dashed bands	3-particle HIJING

$v_{2,c}$ correction systematics

Opposite sign correlations:

Stronger for a smaller (Cu+Cu) system.
In agreement with P-violation,
but large uncertainties due to possible
RP-independent correlations

Summary

- local P-odd domains predicted in nuclear collisions:
  → charge separation along the system's orbital momentum
- 3-particle azimuthal correlations are sensitive to local P-violation:
  → STAR measurements reveal non-zero signal
- Observable is P-even:
  susceptible to contributions from P-conserving backgrounds
- So far could not explain the same sign correlations.
  Signal can not be described with existing background models.
- Qualitatively data agrees with predictions for local P-violation
  (though the signal persists to higher p_t than expected)

Detailed calculations for the
P-violating signal and backgrounds are needed

- P-violation and future RHIC program:
  Critical point search (beam energy scan),
  Identified particle correlations, isobaric beams.

Backup slides

Results with ZDC SMD and two particle correlations

$\langle \cos(\phi_\alpha + \phi_\beta - 2\Psi_{RP}) \rangle$



%, most central

$\langle \cos(\phi_\alpha - \phi_\beta) \rangle$



%, most central

Correlations with (first harmonic) ZDC-SMD event plane yield similar result to TPC/FTPC, though with larger uncertainties.

$$\langle \cos(\phi_\alpha - \phi_\beta) \rangle =$$

$$= \langle \cos\Delta\phi_\alpha \cos\Delta\phi_\beta \rangle + \langle \sin\Delta\phi_\alpha \sin\Delta\phi_\beta \rangle$$

$$\Delta\phi_{\alpha,\beta} = \phi_{\alpha,\beta} - \Psi_{RP}$$

Physics backgrounds

Reaction plane (RP) dependent:

- Directed flow (vanishes in symmetric eta-range), flow fluctuations:

$$\langle \cos(\phi_\alpha + \phi_\beta - 2\phi_c) \rangle_{flow} = \langle v_{1,\alpha} v_{1,\beta} \rangle v_{2,c}$$

- Global polarization (zero from measurement)
- RP dependent fragmentation ("flowing clusters"):

$$\langle \cos(\phi_\alpha + \phi_\beta - 2\Psi_{RP}) \rangle_{clust} = A_{clust} \langle \cos(\phi_\alpha + \phi_\beta - 2\phi_{clust}) \rangle_{clust} v_{2,clust}$$

RP independent 3-particle correlations:

Can be removed by better RP determination
Different multiplicity scaling ($1/N_{ch}^2$) compared to P-violation

- Jet fragmentation, resonances, multi-particle clusters
- HBT, Coulomb effects, etc.

Detector effects study

- Track momenta distortions due to the charge buildup in the TPC at high accelerator luminosity
  → *Results for low/high luminosity runs are consistent*

- Dependence on reconstructed position of the collision vertex
  → *No vertex dependence found*

- Displacement of track hits when it passes the TPC central membrane
  → *Results from different half-barrels of the TPC are consistent*

- Feed-down effects from non-primary tracks (i.e. resonance decay daughters)
  → *Results for dca < 1 cm and dca < 3 cm are consistent*

- Electron contribution checked via dE/dx cut
  → *Effect is negligible*

- Studied a correlator similar to parity observable
  → *but with the reaction plane angle rotated by pi/4*

- Variation depending on the charge of the third particle used to reconstruct the reaction plane and changes of the STAR magnetic field polarity
  → *Variations does not change the observed signal*

Charge correlations and N_{part} scaling @200GeV





Correlations multiplied by N_{part} to remove dilution in more central collisions

Au+Au	Cu+Cu	α and β charges
		same sign opposite sign
		3-particle HIJING

Opp-sign correlations scale with N_{part}

Same sign signal is suggestive of correlations with the reaction plane

Stronger opposite charge correlations In Cu+Cu at the same N_{part}