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## Motivation: Probe Spin Dynamics in LessConstrained Kinematic Regions

- Gluon helicity distribution is one contributor to the total proton spin
- $\Delta \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x})$, for $0.05<\mathrm{x}<0.2$, constrained to be above zero by recent RHIC measurements
- But relatively poorly constrained at $\mathrm{x}<0.05$
- How to move to lower $x$ ?
- Measure inclusive $\pi^{0} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{LL}}$ farther forward, e.g. for $1<\eta<2$ in the STAR endcap electromagnetic calorimeter (EEMC)
- Main subprocess is qg scatering, with the gluon usually at small $x$


STAR $\pi^{0}$ 's at low and high $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$, for sqrt(s) 200 GeV


- Transverse spin asymmetry, $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{N}}$, known to be large at forward $\eta$ for $\pi^{0}$,
- Known to be small at central $\eta$ in $\pi^{0}$ s and jets
- With the EEMC we can measure $A_{N}$ in a less-constrained $x_{F}-p_{T}$ range
- Probably small, but may be able to test for $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$ dependence
- Plan:
- Measure $\pi^{0}$ cross section, $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{LL}}$, and $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{N}}$
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## Datasets from RHIC at STAR

- 2006 dataset at STAR
- $8.0 \mathrm{pb}^{-1}$ of good quality data analyzed for cross section
- $4.8 \mathrm{pb}^{-1}$ for $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{LL}}$
- $2.8 \mathrm{pb}^{-1}$ for $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{N}}$
- Future years datasets for EEMC waiting to be analyzed:
- 2009: $25 \mathrm{pb}^{-1}$ delivered at 200 GeV , longitudinal polarization
- 500 GeV longitudinally polarized data in 2011 and 2012
- 500 GeV transverse in 2011, 200 GeV in 2012


## STAR STAR's Endcap Electromagnetic Calorimeter



- Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 499 (2003) 740.
- Lead/scintillator sampling EM calorimeter
- Covers $1.09<\eta<2.00$ over full $2 \pi$ azimuth
- 720 optically isolated projective towers ( $\sim 22 \mathrm{X}_{0}$ )
- 2 pre-shower, 1 post-shower layers, and an additional shower maximum detector (SMD)
- Photon trigger places thresholds on maximum tower energy and the $3 \times 3$ patch of surrounding towers



## Particle Reconstruction in the EEMC

- EM Particle Reconstruction Procedure
- Identify clusters in the $u$ and $v$ strips
- Determine which $u$ and $v$ clusters to associate with incident particles
- Compute energy of incident particles (e.g. photons) from the towers
- Compute momentum from the vertex and SMD cluster positions
- SMD response (right) in $\pi^{0}$ candidate event from data
- Blue histograms show energy response per strip
- Red triangles represent clusters drawn at mean strip position, and $10 \%$ of the cluster energy
- SMD clusters are found by
- Smoothing the histogram using the method of J. Tukey
- Identifying clusters as a strip above an energy threshold, with +-3 adjacent strips with monotonically decreasing energy
- Setting cluster position to energy-weighted mean position of strips
- EM particle candidates built from pairs of $u-v$ clusters
- Clusters matched by energy of $u$ and $v$ strips
- Required to have associated tower energy above threshold
- Often have e.g. two photons from one $\pi^{0}$ deposited in one tower
- Reconstruction difficulties include


- Upstream passive material: $\pi^{0}$ opening angle on the same order as photon conversions
- Single particles sometimes look like two particles, and vice versa
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## STAR <br> $\pi^{0}$ Background and Cross-Section Computation

- Inclusive $\pi^{0}$ mass distribution fit to templates, in bins of $\pi^{0} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$
- Signal
- Conversion BG ( $\pi^{0}$ candidate is from gamma $\rightarrow$ e+ e-)
- All other BG (extra or missing photons, $\pi^{0}$ candidate is gamma and e-, etc.)
- Shapes from MC, relative fraction (and thus signal fraction) extracted from fit to data

- Lowest analyzed bin is 5-6 $\mathrm{GeV} \pi^{0} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$
- Data-MC agreement unsatisfactory below this
- Large amount of passive material, not well modeled
- Unfolded cross section calculated with a "smearing matrix"
- Dominant systematic is EEMC energy scale
- Consistent with NLO pQCD (Stratman numbers)
- B. Jaeger et al., Phys. Rev. D 67, 054005 (2003) CTEQ 6.5, DSS FF ${ }^{6}$



## $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{LL}}$ in $\pi^{0}+\mathrm{X}$ at STAR for $0.8<\eta<2.0$ Result shown for the first time!

- Raw longitudinal asymmetry corrected for
- Luminosity asymmetries (small)
- Beam polarizations
- Background asymmetries
- Estimated from mass sidebands, and consistent with zero (with uncertainty $\sim 0.01$ )
- Statistical error (bars) dominate
- Systematic error (boxes)
- Signal fraction uncertainties from template fits
- Uncertainty on background asymmetry
- Integrated across $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$ probably constrains GRSV $\Delta$ g-max?
- arXiv:1309.1800, submitted to Phys. Rev. D



## $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{N}}$ in $\pi^{0}+\mathrm{X}$ at STAR for $0.8<\eta<2.0$

- Raw transverse asymmetry corrected for
- Beam polarizations
- Background asymmetries
- Estimated from mass sidebands, and consistent with zero (with uncertainty $\sim 0.01$ )
- Plotted in bins of $\pi^{0} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$ (integrated over $0.06<\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{F}}<0.27$ ), and in bins of $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{F}}$
- Statistical error (bars) dominate
- Systematic error (boxes)
- Signal fraction uncertainties from template fits
- Uncertainty on background asymmetry
- Possible single-beam backgrounds
- Twist-3 prediction
- K. Kanazawa and Y. Koike,
- Phys. Rev. D 83, 114024 (2011)



## Prospects with 2009 Data for $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{LL}}$

- 2009 dataset offers a number of advantages
- 3.5 times the 2006 lum. for 200 GeV , long. pol.
- Reduced passive material in front of the endcap calorimeter
- Although the photon triggers have a higher threshold
- Lower and higher $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$ jet triggers offer new possibilities

- Lower threshold integrated over bigger patch of towers




## Prospects with 2009 Data, cont.

- Today showing a glimpse at only a tiny fraction of the 2009 datasets
- Larger jet trigger patch allows events with more jet background, softer $\pi^{0}$
- Background somewhat higher than for photon triggers
- But can probe to considerably lower $\pi^{0} \mathrm{pT}$
- Very reasonable $\pi^{0}$ peak
- Possibility to extend reach to lower x ?
- Work remains on MC validation, understanding of $\pi^{0}$ 's in "jettier" environment, etc.
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## Conclusions and Outlook

- Successful analysis of inclusive $\pi^{0}$ s in 2006 data
- Cross section, longitudinal and transverse asymmetries at 200 GeV
- arXiv:1309.1800, submitted to Phys. Rev. D
- A long time in preparation, good to wrap it up! And open door for future EEMC measurements
- Prospects for higher luminosity datasets in the future
- $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{LL}}$ and $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{N}}$ are statistically limited measurements, at present
- Continue to explore less-constrained kinematic regions
- Complementary to other probes
- Non-zero $A_{L L}$ as for jets? Non-zero $A_{N}$ as for forward $\pi^{0}$ 's?
- 2009200 GeV longitudinal data in hand
- 3.5 times the integrated luminosity of 2006
- Less passive material in front of the endcap calorimeter (EEMC)
- Access to lower $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}} \pi^{0}$ 's on jet triggers looks appealing
- Large enough dataset for a direct photon measurement?
- 2011 and 2012 datasets will allow 500 GeV measurements, and a return to transverse measurements


## Backup Plots/Slides



## -Data/Monte Carlo Comparison




- Plots shown for $\pi^{0} p_{T}$ in $8-9 \mathrm{GeV}$
- Pythia tune 329, "Pro-pT0"
- Agreement generally good for $\pi^{0} p_{T}>6 \mathrm{GeV}$
- $5<p_{T}<6 \mathrm{GeV}$ usable, but has higher systematic uncertainty
- Sampled lumi. of $8 \mathrm{pb}^{-1}$





## Computing the Cross Section

- The unfolded number of $\pi^{0} \mathrm{~s}$ per $p_{T}$ bin is computed as

$$
N_{i}^{\left(\pi^{0}\right)}=\sum_{j} S_{i, j}^{-1} f_{j} s_{j} N_{j}^{(\mathrm{raw})}
$$

- $S$ is the smearing matrix
- $f$ accounts for $\pi^{0} \mathrm{~S}$ smeared into the $p_{T}$ range from outside
- $s$ is the signal fraction
- $N^{(\text {raw })}$ is the raw number of counts in the $\pi^{0}$ peak window.

- The cross section is computed as

$$
E \frac{d^{3} \sigma}{d \boldsymbol{p}^{3}}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \frac{1}{\Delta \eta} \frac{1}{\Delta p_{T}} \frac{1}{\left\langle p_{T}\right\rangle} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{1}{\mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{R} .} \frac{N^{\left(\pi^{0}\right)}}{\mathcal{L}}
$$

- Physical $\eta$ is in $(0.8,2.0)$, thus $\Delta \eta=1.2$.
- The $p_{T}$ bin width, $\Delta p_{T}$, varies between 1 and 4 GeV .
- The total efficiency $\epsilon$ is the product of the trigger and reconstruction efficiencies.

- The branching ratio for $\pi^{0} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ is 0.98823 (PDG)


## Transverse Spin Asymmetry $\boldsymbol{A}_{N}$ Analysis



- Raw asymmetry

$$
\varepsilon(\phi)=\frac{\sqrt{\alpha^{\uparrow} \beta^{\downarrow}}-\sqrt{\alpha^{\downarrow} \beta^{\uparrow}}}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\uparrow} \beta^{\downarrow}}+\sqrt{\alpha^{\downarrow} \beta^{\uparrow}}}
$$

- Fit $\mathcal{E}(\phi)$ to $p_{0}+\varepsilon \sin \phi$
- The background is subtracted from $\varepsilon$ using same procedure as for longitudinal asymmetries.
- Background asymmetries again within $1 \sigma$ of zero with $\sigma \approx 0.01$.
- $A_{N}$ obtained by scaling background subtracted $\varepsilon$ by one over the luminosity weighted polarization.
- Systematics include
- Uncertainty on the signal fraction
- Uncertainty on the background asymmetry estimate
- $\phi$-dependent single beam backgrounds.

