

Overview of Recent Spin Physics Results from STAR



Adam Gibson Valparaiso University

For the STAR Collaboration

DSPIN 2015 September 8, 2015



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY Office of Science

Photo courtesy of Bijan Saha







STAR Understanding Spin in Proton Collisions at STAR



- Probing Gluon Polarization with Jets and π^0 's
- Probing Sea Quark Polarization with W's
- Probing Transverse Structure with Jets and π⁰'s
 And with W's, Z's, and other probes
- Looking to the Future



Solenoidal Tracker at RHIC















- Many published results from 2006, 2009 datasets
 And W's more recently
- Preliminary results and work in progress from, especially
 - 2011 500 GeV trans.
 - 2012 200 GeV trans.
 - *Large* 510 GeV long.
 datasets in 2012 and 2013
- 2015 brought increased statistics at 200 GeV, and opened the era of high-energy spin in p+A collisions







- Many published results from 2006, 2009 datasets
 And W's more recently
- Preliminary results and work in progress from, especially
 - 2011 500 GeV trans.
 - 2012 200 GeV trans.
 - *Large* 510 GeV long.
 datasets in 2012 and 2013
- 2015 brought increased [±]
 statistics at 200 GeV, and opened the era of high-energy spin in p+A collisions



STAR Understanding Spin in Proton Collisions at STAR



- Probing Gluon Polarizations with Jets and π^0 's
- Probing Sea Quark Polarization with W's
- Probing Transverse Structure with Jets and π⁰'s
 And with W's, Z's, and other probes
- Looking to the Future







 A_{LL} for, e.g. jets, sensitive to **polarized PDF's** (Δf) and **partonic asymmetry**, \hat{a}_{LL}







Jet Reconstruction



MC Jets **Jet Levels** Jet direction Detector GEANT Particle e, v, γ PYTHIA π, p, etc Parton q, g

STAR Detector has:

- Full azimuthal coverage
- Charged particle tracking from TPC for $|\eta| < 1.3$
- E/BEMC provide electromagnetic energy reconstruction for $-1 < \eta < 2.0$ STAR well suited for jet measurements

Anti-K_T Jet Algorithm:

- Radius e.g 0.6 (for 2009 Jet A_{LL})
- Used in both data and simulation



2009 Inclusive Jet A_{LL}





- 2009 results have factor of 3to 4 better statistical precisionthan 2006 results
- Result divided into two pseudorapidity ranges which emphasize different partonic kinematics
- Result lies consistently above the 2008 DSSV fit







- Integral of $\Delta g(x)$ in range 0.05 < x < 1.0increases substantially, now significantly above zero.
- Uncertainty shrinks substantially from DSSV* to new DSSV fit
- First firm evidence of non-zero gluon polarization!







- Integral of $\Delta g(x)$ in range 0.05 < x < 1.0increases substantially, now significantly above zero.
- Uncertainty shrinks substantially from DSSV* to new DSSV fit
- Uncertainty on integral over low *x* region is still sizable







- Push to lower x_g w/ higher CoM energy
- 50 pb⁻¹ at 53% avg. polarization
- Smaller cone, R = 0.5 reduces effect of pileup
- Agrees well with latest predictions







- Push to lower *x_G* w/ higher CoM energy
- 50 pb⁻¹ at 53% avg. polarization
- Smaller cone, R = 0.5 reduces effect of pileup
- Agrees well with latest predictions
- Higher CoM pushes to lower x_T
 - Results agree in overlap region
- Higher 200 GeV statistics coming with 2015 dataset







- STAR has measured $\pi^0 A_{LL}$ in three different pseudorapidity ranges
 - Different kinematics, different fragmentation, different systematics
 - Here with data from 2006

•qg scattering dominates at high η with high x quarks and low x gluons

•No large asymmetries seen





- 2006 Dataset in the Endcap Electromagnetic Calorimeter (EEMC)
- Push to reasonably low x by going (relatively) forward

0.2

0.15

0.25

M_{vv} [GeV/c²]

0.3

- Statistical error (bars) dominate
- Systematic error (boxes)
 - Signal fraction uncertainties from template fits
 - Uncertainty on background asymmetry
- Cross section and transverse asymmetry also measured Counts per 10 MeV/c² Residual - STAR data Signal Region π⁰s 5000 Other B.G. ---- Conversion B.G. 4000 **Template Sum**

 $\pi^0 p_T$

7 to 8 GeV

0.05

0.1

3000

2000

1000

p. 17









- NNPDFpol1.1 includes jet results from STAR and PHENIX, including the recently submitted 2009 STAR inclusive jets
- Greater precision needed to constrain the fit











FMS

Pb Glass EM Calorimeter pseudo-rapidity 2.7<η<4.0 Small cells: 3.81x3.81 cm Outer cells: 5.81 x 5.81 cm

FPD EM Calorimeter Small cells only Two 7x7 arrays

AR



MULLI





- Pushing even further forward, with the FMS
- Preliminary with large 2012 and 2013 datasets at 510 GeV
 - After prescales, effectively 46 pb⁻¹ in 2012, $p_T > 2.5$ GeV
 - And 8 pb⁻¹ in 2013, $p_T > 2.0 \text{ GeV}$
 - An older preliminary result also exists, with the FPD (Wissink SPIN2008)



STAR Understanding Spin in Proton Collisions at STAR



- Probing Gluon Polarizations with Jets and π^0 's
- Probing Sea Quark Polarization with W's
- Probing Transverse Structure with Jets and π⁰'s
 And with W's, Z's, and other probes
- Looking to the Future







$$u + \bar{d} \to W^+ \to e^+ + \nu$$

$$d + \bar{u} \to W^- \to e^- + \bar{\nu}$$

- W's couple directly to the quarks and antiquarks of interest
- Detect W's through e^+/e^- decay channels
- Longitudinally, excellent probes of sea quark polarizations
- Also an important probe of transverse physics

Measure parity-violating single-spin asymmetry:
$$A_L = \frac{\sigma_+ - \sigma_-}{\sigma_+ + \sigma_-}$$

(Helicity flip in one beam while averaging over the other)





W Projections





STAR Understanding Spin in Proton Collisions at STAR



- Probing Gluon Polarizations with Jets and π^0 's
- Probing Sea Quark Polarization with W's
- Probing Transverse Structure with Jets and π^0 's
 - And with W's, Z's, and other probes
- Looking to the Future

STAR Puzzle of Large Transverse Spin Asymmetries, A_N



- Anomalously large A_N observed for nearly 40 years
 - In naïve, co-linear, leading-order/leading-twist QCD expect very small A_N, especially at high energy



Puzzle of Large Transverse Spin Asymmetries, A_N

STAR





A_N for Forward EM Events: Dependence on Jettiness





Mechanisms for Transverse Single-spin Asymmetries





Y. Koike, RSC Discussion (2004)

Twist-3 mechanism: Asymmetry from multi-parton correlation functions

e.g. Qiu and Sterman, PRL 67, 2264 (1991); PRD 59, 014004 (1998) e.g. Efremov, Teryaev

Correlators closely related to k_{τ} moments of TMD's

Boer, Mulders, Pijlman, NPB 667, 201 (2003)

p. 30

A. Gibson, Valparaiso; Recent STAR Spin Results; DSPIN

Mechanisms for Transverse Single-spin Asymmetries



Inclusive hadron asymmetries: **Unable to islolate contributions Sivers, Collins, twist-3** ~ $sin(\phi_S)$ ϕ_S —angle between spin and event plane

Mechanisms for Transverse Single-spin Asymmetries



Separate Sivers and Collins:

Go beyond inclusive production - *e.g. Jets, correlations, direct photons* Sivers ~ $sin(\phi_S)$ ϕ_S —angle between spin and event plane ϕ_h —angle of hadron around jet axis



Prospects for Sivers and Collins Jet Analyses



Oneveat 2012 STAR data provide opportunity for *higher precision* and greatly reduced systematic *uncertainties* at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV analysis well underway





2011 STAR data provide opportunity for first measurements of central pseudorapidity inclusive jet asymmetries at $\sqrt{s} = 500$ GeV \rightarrow Increased sensitivity to gluonic subprocesses

STAF



STRONG dependence upon j_T

First observation of Collins asymmetry in *p*+*p*!



Collins Results at $\sqrt{s} = 500 \text{ GeV}$





Related first measurement (consistent with zero) of Collins-like asymmetries, sensitive to gluon linear polarization (J. Drachenberg, PANIC 2014)


Collins-like Asymmetries at $\sqrt{s} = 500 \text{ GeV}$





Present data sit well below maximized contribution of ~2% at low z *Present data should provide first constraints on Collins-like effect* (sensitive to linearly polarized gluons)

A. Gibson, Valparaiso; Recent STAR Spin Results; DSPIN







• Hints of possible non-zero Collins asymmetries!



Transverse Asymmetries from Di-hadrons Another path to transversity: Di-hadron Asymmetries





 $A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_{RS})} \propto h_1 \otimes H_1^{\angle} \xrightarrow{Survives in collinear}{framework}$

 $\phi_{RS} = \phi_R - \phi_S$ Angle between polarization vector and di-hadron plane

—"Interference Fragmentation Function" e.g. Bacchetta and Radici, PRD 70, 094032 (2004)



Transverse Asymmetries from Di-hadrons Another path to transversity: Di-hadron Asymmetries





 $A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_{RS})} \propto h_1 \otimes H_1^{\angle} \xrightarrow{Survives in collinear}{framework}$

 $\phi_{RS} = \phi_R - \phi_S$ Angle between polarization vector and di-hadron plane

—"Interference Fragmentation Function" e.g. Bacchetta and Radici, PRD 70, 094032 (2004)

Studying both jet+hadron and di-hadron asymmetries over range of collision energy:

- *Extend kinematic reach* beyond existing measurements
- *Probe evolution* of transversity and TMDs
- *Probe open theoretical questions*, e.g. TMD factorizationbreaking and universality



Transverse Asymmetries from Di-hadrons







Significantly non-zero di-hadron asymmetries for charged pions at central pseudorapidity

Significant, high-precision di-hadron asymmetries

Non-zero Collins + Di-hadron Asymmetries

 \rightarrow Access to transversity in p+p!

A. Gibson, Valparaiso; Recent STAR Spin Results; DSPIN



Transverse Asymmetries from Di-hadrons





di-hadron asymmetries for charged pions at central pseudorapidity

Significant, high-precision di-hadron asymmetries

Significant non-zero IFF observed also at 500 GeV, increasing with pion pT (more bins available), with intriguing mass dependence

A. Gibson, Valparaiso; Recent STAR Spin Results; DSPIN

STAR Understanding Spin in Proton Collisions at STAR



- Probing Gluon Polarizations with Jets and π^0 's
- Probing Sea Quark Polarization with W's
- Probing Transverse Structure with Jets and π^0 's
 - And with W's, Z's, and other probes
- Looking to the Future





- A_N in Drell-Yan, W/Z production at RHIC provide excellent complement to SIDIS
 - Attractive from a theoretical perspective (no frag. func. needed as for π^0 's, etc.)
 - Sivers function "famously" changes sign when comparing with transverse asymmetries from SIDIS
 - Collins, J. C., 2002, Phys. Lett. B 536, 43
 - Direct photon sign change as well
 - Probe wide range of Q²
 - Test the universality and factorization of TMD's, constrain their evolution important tests of QCD
 <u>STAR FMS-PreShower:</u>
 - Major targets for 2015-2017
- FMS (forward EM calorimetry) Preshower Upgrade in 2015
 - Allows separation among photons, π^0 's, charged hadrons, and electrons
 - Supports direct photon and DY measurements





September 8, 2015





- Preliminary results with 25 pb⁻¹ of data
- Projections for 2017 show A_N(W^{+/-},Z⁰) will constrain sea quark Sivers distribution and make a statement on the Sivers sign change
 Equip and D. Smirrow BeS(DIS2014)



- An excellent complement to SIDIS
 - No fragmentation (and so no fragmentation function uncertainty)
 - High Q²
- p. 45 Sign flip

A. Gibson, Valparaiso; Recent STAR Spin Results; DSPIN





- Transversely polarized p Au collisions recorded for the first time in 2015
 - Should allow for first glimpse of Collins asymmetries in p+A?
 - Saturation effects?



A. Gibson, Valparaiso; Recent STAR Spin Results; DSPIN

STAR Understanding Spin in Proton Collisions at STAR



- Probing Gluon Polarizations with Jets and π^0 's
- Probing Sea Quark Polarization with W's
- Probing Transverse Structure with Jets and π⁰'s
 And with W's, Z's, and other probes
- Looking to the Future
 - Dijet measurements as one example



Dijet Measurements





- Inclusive measurements have been the workhorse of STAR ΔG program to date
- Broad *x* range sampled in each p_T bin
- Dijet or other correlation measurements which reconstruct the full final state are sensitive to initial kinematics at leading order

•Prospect of mapping out the shape of $\Delta g(x)$



2009 Dijet Cross Section Results





Vertical black hashing stat. error

Green box is symmetric about data point and is the quadrature sum of all systematic errors

Blue box is theory error: renormalization and factorization scales x0.5, x2

Also a 2009 result at 500 GeV G. Webb PoS(DIS2013) 215

And a 2006 result from 200 GeV T. Sakuma, M. Walker, Journal of Physics: Conference Series 295, 012068 (2011).

September 8, 2015



Probing *very* low *x* gluons with Forward Calorimeter Upgrade: 2020





ECal:

Tungsten-Powder-Scintillating-fiber 2.3 cm Moliere Radius, Tower-size: 2.5x2.5x17 cm³ 23 X_o HCal:

Lead and Scintillator tiles, Tower size of 10x10x81 cm³ 4 interaction length

https://drupal.star.bnl.gov/STAR/starnotes/public/sn0605

STAR polarized p+p and p+A LoI



Dijet Projections with the Forward Calorimeter Upgrade



R_{cone}=0.7 $L=1 fb^{-1}$ $-1 < \eta < 2$ $\sqrt{s} = 500 \ GeV$ E_{T1}>8 GeV P=60% 2.8<*n*<3.7 E_{T2}>5 GeV https://drupal.star.bnl.gov/STAR/starnotes/public/sn0605 B. Surrow PoS(DIS2014) 241 STAR polarized p+p and p+A LoI 0.2E-2 0.15 10⁸ تے 10 $0 < \eta_{3(4)} < 0.8 / 2.8 < \eta_{4(3)} < 3.7$ do/dx, (do/dx,) (pb) -0.8 < $\eta_{3\,(4)}$ < 0 / 2.8 < $\eta_{4\,(3)}$ < 3.7 da/dx, (da/dx₂) (pb) (EAST / FCS) (WEST / FCS) -2 0.15 x 10 107 10 -2 0.1 (WEST / FCS) (EAST / FCS) x 10 10⁶ 10⁶ 0.1 0.05 10 5 10 5 0.05 10 4 10 4 -0 0 10³ 10 10² -0.05 -0.05 10 10 GRSV STD 10 -0.1 **GRSV STD** -0.1 DSS 1 10⁻⁵ 10⁻⁵ -0.15 10^{-4} 10^{-3} 10^{-2} 10^{-1} 1 10^{-4} 10^{-3} 10^{-2} 10^{-1} 1 30 40 45 50 55 20 30 35 45 50 55 60 M (GeV) X, (X.) X1 (X2) M (GeV) A_{LL} 0.2 0.2 ALL 10 do/dx, (do/dx₂) (pb) $1.2 < \eta_{3(4)} < 1.8 / 2.8 < \eta_{4(3)} < 3.7$ 10 $\textbf{2.8} < \eta_{3~(4)} < \textbf{3.7} \ / \ \textbf{2.8} < \eta_{4~(3)} < \textbf{3.7}$ do/dx1 (do/dx2) (pb) (EEMC / FCS) (FCS / FCS) 10 10 0.15 0.15 (EEMC / FCS) (FCS / FCS) x 10⁻² -2 10⁶ 10⁶ x 10 0.1 0.1 10 10 0.05 0.05 10 ' 10 0 10 10 ³ 0 10² -0.05 10 -0.05 GRSV STD 10 10 GRSV STD -0.1 -0.1 1 1 [']10 ⁻⁵ 10 ⁻⁴ 10 ⁻³ 10 ⁻² 10 ⁻¹ 1 10 ⁻⁵ -0.15 10⁻¹ 10 1 10 15 20 25 35 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 **10**-3 X1 (X2) M (GeV) $x_{1}(x_{2})$ M (GeV) Probe gluons to $x \sim 10^{-3}$ An attractive probe at rather low *x* before the EIC era

A. Gibson, Valparaiso; Recent STAR Spin Results; DSPIN

STAR

eRHIC and eSTAR (>2025) will offer unprecedented reach in Q^2 and x

STAR





- Inclusive Jets
 - After 25 years, evidence of non-zero gluon polarization in the proton
- Pushing to **lower** *x* **gluons**
 - With forward detectors, sqrt(s) = 510 GeV, large datasets, detector upgrades
- W's and Z's improving our understanding of sea quark polarizations
- Exploration of transverse asymmetries, A_N, continues
 - TMD (e.g Sivers, Collins) and Twist-3 phenomenology
 - First observations of transversity in polarized p + p!
 - Tests of universality, factorization, and evolution of TMD's
 - Polarized p+A has begun
- Large datasets on hand, analyses underway
 - 2011, 2012, 2013, 2015
- Detector upgrades continue
 - FGT forward tracking 2013, forward calorimetry: FPS+FMS and RP 2015, FCS 2020
- Continuing data taking planned
 - 2016, 2017, and beyond
- **Stay tuned!** A. Gibson, Valparaiso; Recent STAR Spin Results; DSPIN

Backup

Collins-like Asymmetries at $\sqrt{s} = 500 \text{ GeV}$

Present data sit well below maximized contribution of ~2% at low z *Present data should provide first constraints on Collins-like effect* (sensitive to linearly polarized gluons)

A. Gibson, Valparaiso; Recent STAR Spin Results; DSPIN

- Transverse asymmetries for the EEMC mid-rapidity 2006 dataset
- Plotted in bins of $\pi^0 p_T$ (integrated over $0.06 < x_F < 0.27$), and in bins of x_F
- Statistical error (bars) dominates over systematic error (boxes)
- Twist-3 prediction
 - K. Kanazawa and Y. Koike,
 - Phys. Rev. D 83, 114024 (2011)

Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider as a Spin Collider

Concert of Facilities

• OPPIS \rightarrow LINAC \rightarrow AGS \rightarrow RHIC

Polarized-proton Collider

- Mitigate effects of depolarization resonances with "Siberian Snakes"
- Polarization measured with CNI polarimeter
- Spin rotators provide choice of spin orientation *independent of experiment*

RHIC Beam Characteristics

- Clockwise beam: "blue"; counter-clockwise beam: "yellow"
- Spin direction varies bucket-to-bucket (9.4 MHz)
- Spin pattern varies fill-to-fill

Recent Spin Results from STAR - Drachenberg

Solenoidal Tracker at RHIC

Recent Spin Results from STAR - Drachenberg

STAR's Endcap Electromagnetic Calorimeter

SS hub

back

post

showe

Pb/SS

plastic

.086

- Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 499 (2003) 740.
- Lead/scintillator sampling EM calorimeter
 - Covers $1.09 < \eta < 2.00$ over full 2π azimuth
 - 720 optically isolated projective towers (~22 X_0)
 - 2 pre-shower, 1 post-shower layers, and an additional shower maximum detector (SMD)
- Photon trigger places thresholds on maximum tower energy and the 3x3 patch of surrounding towers

A. Gibson, Valparaiso; STAR Spin Highlights; ICNFP

- Scintillating strip SMD
 - $-\phi$ segmented into 12 sectors
 - Two active planes
 - 288 strips per plane
- Resolution of a few mm

STAR FGT Detector

Improve charged particle tracking at very forward angles

Obtain better measurement of W decay particles

Install 6 planes of GEM detectors

FGT = Forward GEM Tracker

GEM = gaseous electron multiplier

STAR Detector in 2014

HFT: Heavy Flavor Tracker, MTD: Muon Telescope Detector

STAR Detector in 2015-2016

FMS: Forward Meson Spectrometer, **FPS**: Forward Preshower, **RP II***: Roman Pot Phase II*

STAR Detector in 2018-2019

iTPC: inner TPC, EPD: Event Plane and Centrality Detector, ETOF: End-cap TOF, Fixed Target

STAR Detector in 2021-2022

FCS/FTS: Forward Calrimeter/Tracking System, RP II: Full Roman Pot Phase II

STAR Detector in 2025+

CEMC: Central EM Calorimeter, **eTRK:** electron Tracker, **TRD:** Transition Radiation Detector ΔΔ

eSTAR Detector in 2025+

The very successful STAR detector will evolve into an EIC detector 61

Proton Helicity Structure

53

Increased Precision at 200 GeV Coming Soon

- STAR also anticipates significant future reductions in the uncertainties for 200 GeV collisions relative to the 2009 results
 - Hope to record **triple** the existing 200 GeV data during **the 2015 RHIC run**

STAR's Endcap Electromagnetic Calorimeter

mount-

ing

ring

SS back

nlate

post-

shower

Pb/SS

plastic

scint

- Scintillating strip SMD
 - $-\phi$ segmented into 12 sectors
 - Two active planes
 - 288 strips per plane
- Resolution of a few mm

- Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 499 (2003) 740.
- Lead/scintillator sampling EM calorimeter
 - Covers $1.09 < \eta < 2.00$ over full 2π azimuth
 - 720 optically isolated projective towers (~22 X_0)
 - 2 pre-shower, 1 post-shower layers, and an additional shower maximum detector (SMD)
- Photon trigger places thresholds on maximum tower energy and the 3x3 patch of surrounding towers

π^0 Background and Cross-Section Computation 0.8 < η < 2.0 with 2006 Dataset

- Inclusive π^0 mass distribution fit to MC templates, in bins of $\pi^0 p_T$
 - Signal
 - Conversion BG (π^0 candidate is from gamma \rightarrow e+ e-)
 - All other BG (extra or missing photons, π^0 candidate is gamma and e-, etc.)
 - Shapes from MC, relative fraction (and thus signal fraction) extracted from fit to data

STAR π^0 's at low and high p_T , for sqrt(s) 200 GeV (PYTHIA, unpolarized CTEQ 5L)

- Statistical error (bars) dominate
- Systematic error (boxes)
 - Signal fraction uncertainties from template fits
 - Uncertainty on background asymmetry
- Integrated across p_T probably constrains GRSV Δg -max?
- PRD 89, 012001 (2014)
- Cross section and transverse asymmetry also measured



$\pi^0 A_{LL}$ Prospects in 2012 Dataset







π^0 Background and Cross-Section Computation 0.8 < η < 2.0 with 2006 Dataset



- Inclusive π^0 mass distribution fit to MC templates, in bins of $\pi^0 p_T$
 - Signal
 - Conversion BG (π^0 candidate is from gamma \rightarrow e+ e-)
 - All other BG (extra or missing photons, π^0 candidate is gamma and e-, etc.)
 - Shapes from MC, relative fraction (and thus signal fraction) extracted from fit to data
- Lowest analyzed bin is 5-6 GeV $\pi^0 p_T$
 - Data-MC agreement unsatisfactory below this
 - Large amount of passive material, not well modeled
- Unfolded cross section calculated with a "smearing matrix"
 - Dominant systematic is EEMC energy scale
 - Consistent with NLO pQCD (thanks M. Stratmann)
 - B. Jaeger et al., Phys. Rev. D 67, 054005 (2003) CTEQ 6.5, DSS FF







- Transverse asymmetries as well!
- Plotted in bins of $\pi^0 p_T$ (integrated over $0.06 < x_F < 0.27$), and in bins of x_F
- Statistical error (bars) dominates over systematic error (boxes)
- Twist-3 prediction
 - K. Kanazawa and Y. Koike,
 - Phys. Rev. D 83, 114024 (2011)
 STAR at sqrt(s) 200 GeV



STAR Collins-like Asymmetries at $\sqrt{s} = 500$ **GeV**



enhanced sensitivity to gluonic subprocesses

Transverse Polarization Structure at STAR - Drachenberg

Phys Rev. D 73, 014020 (2006)

STAR Collins-like Asymmetries at $\sqrt{s} = 500$ **GeV**



Present data sit well below maximized contribution of ~2% at low z *Present data should provide first constraints on Collins-like effect* (sensitive to linearly polarized gluons)

Transverse Polarization Structure at STAR - Drachenberg



Asymmetry Observable

- Calculated for *P_B* as incident beam, *P_A* as target
- Incident beam is polarized and target unpolarized by summing over bunches
- Pion separation = $\sqrt{(\Delta \eta^2 + \Delta \phi^2)} < 0.7$
- $A_{UT} \propto h_1 \cdot H_1^<$
 - Transversity (h_1)
 - Interference Fragmentation Function (H_1^{\leq})
- A_{UT} is expected to depend on the invariant mass (M_{Inv}) and p_T of the pion pair





- Particle $p_T > 1.5 \text{ GeV/c}$
- Pair p_T > 3.75 GeV/c
- For a given M_{Inv} , p_T bin the asymmetry is calculated for 8 ϕ_{RS} bins
- The asymmetry is the amplitude extracted from a single-parameter fit
- Example shown here is one M_{Inv}, p_T bin

 $A_{UT}(\varphi_{RS}) = \frac{1}{P} \frac{\sqrt{N \uparrow (\varphi_{RS})N \downarrow (\varphi_{RS} + \pi)} - \sqrt{N \downarrow (\varphi_{RS})N \uparrow (\varphi_{RS} + \pi)}}{\sqrt{N \uparrow (\varphi_{RS})N \downarrow (\varphi_{RS} + \pi)} + \sqrt{N \downarrow (\varphi_{RS})N \uparrow (\varphi_{RS} + \pi)}}$

M. Skoby, Spin 2014







Significant non-zero di-hadron asymmetries at $\sqrt{s} = 500 \text{ GeV}!$

- Increasing with pion p_T
- Enhanced around ρ mass





2009 Dijet Cross Section at 500 GeV





- Also a preliminary 2006 dijet cross section at 200 GeV
 - T. Sakuma, M. Walker, Journal of Physics: Conference Series 295, 012068 (2011).

August 25, 2014





The famous sign change of the Sivers fct.

critical test for our understanding of TMD's and TMD factorization Twist-3 formalism predicts the same



 A_N (direct photon) measures the sign change through Twist-3

will also be $A_N(DY)$ and $A_N(W^{+/-},Z^0)$ test of TMD evolution



All three observables can be attacked in one 500 GeV Run by STAR

QCD Evolution Workshop, Santa Fe, May 2014

E.C. Aschenauer