



ver fastjet.com

Semi-inclusive jet mass measurement in Au+Au collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$  GeV with STAR

Jeongmyung KANG for the STAR collaboration (Sejong University)

#### 2025 Hot-Quarks

May 11–17, 2025

Zipeng Mountain Guangyuan International Conference Center

Office of

Science

#### Supported in part by the

# Jet and jet mass $(M_{jet})$

≻Jet

- Algorithmically clustered final state particles (bunch of stable hadrons)
- Useful tool to study pQCD in pp collisions and properties of QGP in AA collisions
- $\succ$  How to calculate the Jet mass ( $M_{jet}$ )?

• 
$$M_{\text{jet}} = |\Sigma_{i \in \text{jet}} p_i| = \sqrt{E^2 - \vec{p} \cdot \vec{p}}$$



SAR

# Why do we measure jet mass?

Jet 'invariant mass' → > Substructure

≻Jet mass cross-section

- QCD radiation induces the momentum transfer (~virtuality) to the massless parton
- QCD radiation assigns peak and width to  $\sigma(M_{\rm jet})$
- $\sigma(M_{jet})$  contains radiation pattern info in jets



#### Jet mass in heavy-ion collisions

≻The goal of this study

>Measuring  $M_{jet}$  in wide  $p_{T,jet}$  range

Searching modification of final-state radiation pattern in AA

➢Searching modification of parton virtuality evolution

- pp : gradually decreased
- AA : ?



SIAR

# Difficulties of jet measurements in AA

Combinatorial background

- Lage background particles uncorrelated with hard scattering are created in AA collisions
- We cannot clearly distinguish signal jets and background jets on an event-wise basis, especially at low  $p_T$  and large R
- $M_{\rm jet}$  and  $p_{\rm T,jet}$  of signal jets are distorted due to the background particles
- ➤ Chellenges
  - How do we subtract the contribution from background jets?
  - How do we correct the distorted signal jets?



### Semi-inclusive recoil jets measurement

STAR

>How do we subtract the contribution from background jets?





> We can't distinguish signal jets and background jets in data

### Semi-inclusive recoil jets measurement

STAR

>How do we subtract the contribution from background jets?



> Many of background jets can be easily discarded by selecting recoil-side jets from the hard trigger particle

- Mixed-event technique can further remove the background jets
- > Toy study example :
  - Signal : A single high  $p_{\rm T}$  (15 GeV/c) particle in each event
  - Background : Thermal model
  - Then,  $(p^{\text{reco}}_{T,\text{jet}}) = 15 \pm \sigma \text{ GeV}/c$





 $\succ$  SE can be decomposed by two parts

- SE = Smeared signal + bkg
- We need to subtract bkg in SE



- SE = (Smeared signal) + (bkg in SE)
- SE (bkg in SE) = (Smeared signal)
- But we don't know (bkg in SE)
- Can we make a proxy of (bkg in SE)?
  - Mixed-event (ME) technique

- Mixed-event technique can further remove the background jets
- $\succ$  Toy study example :
  - Signal : A single high  $p_T$  (15 GeV/c) particle in each event
  - Background : Thermal model
  - Then,  $(p^{\text{reco}}_{T,\text{jet}}) = 15 \pm \sigma \text{ GeV}/c$





 $\succ$  SE can be decomposed by two parts

- SE = Smeared signal + bkg
- We need to subtract bkg in SE



- SE = (Smeared signal) + (bkg in SE)
- SE (bkg in SE) = (Smeared signal)
- But we don't know (bkg in SE)
- Can we make a proxy of (bkg in SE)?
  - Mixed-event (ME) technique



#### ➤ Mixed-event

- Synthesizing uncorrelated events from real data events within the same class
- ME ~ bkg in SE
- Yield corrected spectra (SE-ME)
  - Subtract ME instead of (bkg in SE) in ensembel level
  - SE-ME = (Smeared true) + (bkg in SE) ME ~ (Smeared true)







> Mixed-event

- Synthesizing uncorrelated events from real data events within the same class
- ME ~ bkg in SE
- Yield corrected spectra (SE-ME)
  - Subtract ME instead of (bkg in SE) in ensembel level
  - SE-ME = (Smeared true) + (bkg in SE) ME ~ (Smeared true)



# Uncorrelated background effect

#### ➤How do we correct the distorted signal jets?



- STAR
- Jet reconstruction has been applied in event-by-event

> Proxy of background jet four-vector  $(p_{bkg,jet}^{\mu})$ 

- Calculated by  $p_{\rm T,jet}$  and  $m_{\rm T,jet}$  density ( $\rho,\rho_m$ ) based on median value estimation
- > Reconstructed  $p_{T,jet}$  and  $M_{jet}$  ( $p_{T,jet}^{reco}$ ,  $M_{jet}^{reco}$ )
  - $p_{\mathrm{T,jet}}^{\mathrm{reco}} = p_{\mathrm{T,jet}}^{\mathrm{raw}}$   $(p_{\mathrm{T,jet}} \text{ of } p_{\mathrm{bkg,jet}}^{\mu}) = p_{\mathrm{T,jet}}^{\mathrm{raw}} \rho A_{\mathrm{jet}}$
  - $M_{jet}^{reco} = M_{jet}^{raw}$   $(M_{jet} \text{ of } p_{bkg,jet}^{\mu}) = M_{jet}^{raw} M_c$  (?)
- Smearing effect (uncorrelated background effect)

• 
$$M_{\rm jet}^{\rm reco} = M_{\rm jet}^{\rm signal} \pm \sigma_{M_{\rm jet}}$$

• 
$$p_{\mathrm{T,jet}}^{\mathrm{reco}} = p_{\mathrm{T,jet}}^{\mathrm{signal}} \pm \sigma_{p_{\mathrm{T}}}$$

## Uncorrelated background effect

#### >How do we correct the distorted signal jets?





## Unfolding

#### >How do we correct the distorted signal jets?





- STAR, Phys. Rev. C 96, 024905 (2017)
- > Correction of uncorrelated background effect using RooUnfold package
- $\geq$  Response matrix  $R^{\text{bkg}}(p_{\text{T,jet}}^{\text{reco}}, p_{\text{T,jet}}^{\text{signal}}) = R^{\text{bkg}}(p_{\text{T,jet}}^{\text{reco}}, 15 \text{ GeV}/c)$

# Closure test for $(p_{T,jet}, M_{jet})$ measurement

- Semi-inclusive jet mass measurement with ME technique
  - Extension of previous  $p_{T,jet}$  measurement
  - $p_{\mathrm{T,jet}}$  to ( $p_{\mathrm{T,jet}}$  ,  $M_{\mathrm{jet}}$ ) measurement
- ➤ MC closure test
  - PYTHIA events are embedded to thermal background model and tested





# $p_{T,jet}$ closure test result





# $p_{T,jet}$ closure test result





# *M*<sub>jet</sub> Closure test result (PYTHIA and SE)



- 1. Signal distribution (PYTHIA) was distorted by bkg
- 2. Distorted PYTHIA was hidden in SE
- 3. SE-ME (subtract bkg in SE)
- 4. (SE-ME) -> Unfolding -> PYTHIA

## *M*<sub>jet</sub> Closure test result (SE and ME)



Same-event (Smeared signal + bkg) M<sub>jet</sub> (GeV/*c*<sup>2</sup>)  $M_{\rm jet}^{\rm reco}$  (GeV/ $c^2$ ) **PYTHIA8**,  $\sqrt{s}$  = 200 GeV  $\oplus$  thermal background same-event (SE) ME  $10^{-2}$ R=0.4, anti- $k_{T}$ 10 10  $A_{\rm iet} > 0.35, \ |\eta_{\rm iot}| < 0.6$  $9.00 \le p_{T,jet}^{trig} < 30.00 \text{ GeV/}c$ 10<sup>-3</sup>  $10^{-4}$ 10<sup>-5</sup>  $10^{-6}$ 30 40 10 20 -10 0 -10  $p_{\rm T,jet}^{
m reco}$  (GeV/c)

Mixed-event (bkg)



- 1. Signal distribution (PYTHIA) was distorted by bkg
- 2. Distorted PYTHIA was hidden in SE
- 3. SE-ME (subtract bkg in SE)
- 4. (SE-ME) -> Unfolding -> PYTHIA

# *M*<sub>jet</sub> Closure test result (SE-ME and unfolded)



- 1. Signal distribution (PYTHIA) was distorted by bkg
- 2. Distorted PYTHIA was hidden in SE
- 3. SE-ME ~ Smeared PYTHIA
- 4. (SE-ME) -> Unfolding -> PYTHIA

## *M*<sub>jet</sub> closure test result (PYTHIA vs unfold)

 $\succ$  Fully corrected  $M_{iet}$  spectra



#### Validation of closure within 5%



 $\succ$  Projection into  $M_{jet}$  integrate several  $p_{T,jet}$  bin

- [0,5] GeV/c (PYTHIA ~ unfold)
- [5,10] GeV/c (PYTHIA ~ unfold)
- [10,30] GeVc (PYTHIA ~ unfold)

## *M*<sub>jet</sub> closure test result (PYTHIA vs unfold)

 $\succ$  Fully corrected  $M_{iet}$  spectra



#### Validation of closure within 5%



 $\succ$  Projection into  $M_{jet}$  integrate several  $p_{T,jet}$  bin

- [0,5] GeV/c (PYTHIA ~ unfold)
- [5,10] GeV/c (PYTHIA ~ unfold)
- [10,30] GeVc (PYTHIA ~ unfold)

## *M*<sub>jet</sub> closure test result (PYTHIA vs unfold)

 $\succ$  Fully corrected  $M_{iet}$  spectra



#### Validation of closure within 5%



 $\succ$  Projection into  $M_{jet}$  integrate several  $p_{T,jet}$  bin

- [0,5] GeV/c (PYTHIA ~ unfold)
- [5,10] GeV/c (PYTHIA ~ unfold)
- [10,30] GeVc (PYTHIA ~ unfold)





- > Jet is a useful tool to study QGP and we can access to the parton virtuality evolution in AA by measuring precise jet mass in heavy-ion collisions
- > As a correction of combinatorial background for jet mass measurement, framewrok of semiinclusive measurement as a function of ( $p_{T,jet}$ ,  $M_{jet}$ ) is developed and tested via PYTHIA embedding
- $\succ$  Both  $p_{T,jet}$  ,  $M_{jet}$  closure tests are showing reasonable closure result
- ≻ Next step
  - Apply to the data (200 GeV Au+Au collisions with STAR collaboration)





 $\bigcirc$ 



#### BACK UP

### Median density estimation



> Proxy of background jet four-vector  $(p_{bkg,jet}^{\mu})$ 

- Calculated by  $p_{\mathrm{T,jet}}$  and  $m_{\mathrm{T,jet}}$  density ( $ho,
  ho_m$ ) based on median value estimation
- $p_{\text{bkg,jet}}^{\mu} = \left( (\rho_m + \rho) A_E, -\rho A_x, -\rho A_y, -(\rho + \rho_m) A_z \right)$
- $\rho = \text{median}\left\{\frac{p_{\text{T,jet}}}{A_{\text{jet}}}\right\}$
- $\rho_m = \text{median}\{\frac{m_{\text{T,jet}} p_{\text{T,jet}}}{A_{\text{jet}}}\}$
- Jets for density estimation were reconstructed by  $k_{\rm T}$  algorithm
- 3-hardest jets were excluded in same-event (SE)

#### Jet area

➢ Jet Area

- Let  $g^{\mu}$  is a 4-vector of infinitesimal soft particle (ghost particle) •
- Then the jet area  $a^{\mu}$  can be defined by
- $a^{\mu}(J) = \int dy d\phi f^{\mu}(g(y, \phi), J)$  (*J* is a set of constituents in particular jet)

• Where 
$$f^{\mu}(g,J) = \begin{cases} \frac{g^{\mu}}{g_{T}} & g \in J \\ 0 & g \notin J \end{cases}$$

(where  $g_{\rm T}$  is a transverse momentum of ghost particle g) •





#### Jet mass in *pp* collisions

STAR, Phys. Rev. D 104, 052007 (2021)

