



## Overview of STAR Small System Correlations Results

#### Shengli Huang Stony Brook University, Chemistry Department







#### Outline

- Output Institution and Analysis Methods
- Physics Results
- $\checkmark$  The v<sub>2</sub> in different small systems at different collision energies
- $\checkmark$  Model study for nonflow subtraction with AMPT
- ✓ The c<sub>2</sub>{4} in d+Au collisions at different collision energies
  □Summary



#### What is the Origin of Ridge?





□ Ridge (a long-range near-side correlation) is observed in small systems at RHIC: Creation of a small QGP droplet or other mechanisms?

#### □ If a small QGP droplet is indeed created:

How does the system evolve in a small QGP droplet? How about the dependence of multiplicity and collision energy for the flow?



#### Participant nucleon vs. parton Glauber model

1.0



1.0

#### Participant nucleon

	0-5% p+Au	0-5% d+Au	0-5% He+Au
ε <sub>2</sub>	0.23	0.54	0.50
8 <sub>3</sub>	0.16	0.19	0.28

J. L. Nagle *PRL113(2014)112301* 

Different initial geometry in small systems such as p/d/<sup>3</sup>He+Au

# Participant parton



#### P. Liu arXiv:1804.04618

- Similar eccentricity patterns for small and large systems in peripheral collisions.
  - Trivial shape dependence for similar geometric size in central and mid-central collisions
  - ✓ Fluctuations important for small systems



#### **Event Activity**





Event classes with different activity are selected by using BBC east in the Au-going direction (-5.0<η<-3.3)</li>
 Long-range two-particle correlations are measured in TPC(|η|<0.9)</li>



- Correlation between multiplicity at backward and mid-rapidity
- 10 event classes with different TPC
  <dN/dη> are selected by sum ADC of BBC
  east in d+Au collisions at 200 GeV



0.805

High Multiplicity (HM)

#### Long-range Two-particle Correlations

Low Multiplicity (LM)



 A near-side ridge is observed in the HM d+Au (<dN/dη>=17.8) and p+Au (<dN/dη>=10.1) collisions

A Fourier function is employed to extract the V<sub>n,n</sub>

$$\frac{dN}{d\Delta\phi} \sim 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{4} 2V_{n,n} \times \cos(n\Delta\phi))$$
  
Integral v<sub>n</sub> = sqrt(V<sub>n,n</sub>); v<sub>n</sub>(p<sub>T</sub>)= V<sub>n,n</sub>(p<sub>T</sub>)/v<sub>n</sub>

**b**) <**d**N/**d** $\eta$ > = 4.6 a) <dN/dŋ> = 17.8 0.265 0.800 φp/up/zNp(0.795 0.790 0.790 0.790 0.790 0.780 0.2<p\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_3GeV/c 0.800 φp/μp/<sub>Z</sub>Np(0.255 0.255 0.250 **Fourier Function** 1.0<|∆η|<1.8 d+Au 200 GeV d+Au 200 GeV 0.790 0.245 0.775 -1 0 3 n 3  $\Delta\phi(rad)$  $\Delta \phi$ (rad) a) <dN/dŋ> = 10.1 b)  $< dN/d\eta > = 4.0$ 0.445 0.2<p\_{\_{T}}^{^{T,A}}<3GeV/c Φp/lup/<sub>blup</sub> 0.195 0.190 0.190 0.185 0.185 0.185 0.195 1.0<|\D1|<1.8 p+Au 200 GeV p+Au 200 GeV **STAR Preliminary** 0.175 0.425L 2 3 0 -1  $\Delta\phi(rad)$  $\Delta\phi(rad)$ 6/3/19 Shengli Huang



#### **Two Jet Subtraction Methods**



1.Low multiplicity subtraction scaled by short-range ( $|\Delta \eta|$  < 0.5) near-side jet yield

$$V_{n,n}^{HM}(subtracted) = V_{n,n}^{HM} - V_{n,n}^{LM} \times \frac{N_{asso.}^{LM}}{N_{asso.}^{HM}} \times \frac{Y_{jet,near-side}^{HM}}{Y_{jet,near-side}^{LM}}$$

ATLAS:PRC90(2014)044906 CMS:PLB765(2017)193 STAR: PLB743(2015)333

Assumption: short-range near-side jet modification = long-range away-side jet modification

#### 2.Template Fit



A new developed method to subtract away-side jet contribution by ATLAS:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{templ.}(\Delta \phi) &= \mathsf{F} \times Y_{LM}(\Delta \phi) + Y_{ridge}(\Delta \phi) \\ \text{where} \\ Y_{ridge}(\Delta \phi) &= \mathsf{G} \times (1 + 2 \times \sum_{n=2}^{4} V_{n,n} \times \cos(n\Delta \phi)) \end{aligned}$$

ATLAS:PRL(116)172301

✓ Assumption: away-side jet shape can be measured in LM events and scaled by fit parameter "F" due to jet modification

#### It will cause a bias if assumptions are not correct



#### v<sub>2</sub> in HM d+Au (0-10%) at 200 GeV



v<sub>2</sub> without subtraction is larger than that with subtraction for both methods. *The subtraction of nonflow is crucial in small system!* 



#### v<sub>2</sub> in HM d+Au (0-10%) at 200 GeV





v<sub>2</sub> without subtraction is larger than that with subtraction for both methods. *The subtraction of nonflow is crucial in small system!* 

At lower p<sub>T</sub>, the v<sub>2</sub> from LM subtraction is around 35% lower than that from template fit, while they are quite similar at intermediate p<sub>T</sub>



## v<sub>2</sub> in HM d+Au (0-10%) at 200 GeV



STAR

- v<sub>2</sub> without subtraction is larger than that with subtraction for both methods. *The subtraction of nonflow is crucial in small system!*
- At lower p<sub>T</sub>, the v<sub>2</sub> from LM
  subtraction is around 35% lower than
  that from template fit. While they are
  quite similar at intermediate p<sub>T</sub>
- The subtracted v<sub>2</sub> measured by STAR is similar to PHENIX measurement, which has at least 10% non-flow



## v<sub>2</sub> in HM p+Au (0-10%) at 200 GeV





- Compared to d+Au results, v<sub>2</sub> in p+Au without subtraction is much larger than that with subtraction for two methods
- In p+Au collision, the v<sub>2</sub> from
  LM subtraction is much lower
  than that from template fit.
- v<sub>2</sub> from template fit method is similar to PHENIX measurement at low p<sub>T</sub>



#### p/d+Au v<sub>2</sub> with same <dN/d $\eta$ >



By LM subtraction method, v<sub>2</sub> in d+Au is a little bit larger than that of p+Au collisions

v<sub>2</sub> between p+Au and d+Au collisions from template fit is similar, while the initial eccentricities are different by a factor of two







□ There is large difference between two methods

- $\Box$  LM subtraction leads to a negative V<sub>2,2</sub> at low energy
  - ✓ Different kinematics between near- and away-side jet-like correlations?
- $\Box$  V<sub>2,2</sub> from template fit increases as a function of <dN/d $\eta$ >



## Integral v<sub>2</sub> from Template Fit



□ The unsubtracted integral v<sub>2</sub> as a function of  $<dN/d\eta>$  is different in different systems at different collision energies

 $\Box$  The integral v<sub>2</sub> from template fit shows a universal trend as a function of  $<dN/d\eta>$ 







#### Template Fit:

 $Y_{templ.}(\Delta \phi) = \mathsf{F} \times Y_{peri.}(\Delta \phi) + Y_{ridge}(\Delta \phi)$ where

 $Y_{ridge}(\Delta \phi) = \mathbf{G} \times (1 + 2 \times \sum_{n=2}^{4} V_{n,n}^{templ.} \times \cos(n\Delta \phi))$ 





Template Fit:  $Y_{templ.}(\Delta \phi) = F \times Y_{peri.}(\Delta \phi) + Y_{ridge}(\Delta \phi)$ where  $Y_{ridge}(\Delta \phi) = G \times (1 - 2 \times \sum_{n=2}^{4} V_{n,n}^{templ.} \times \cos(n\Delta \phi))$ 

The associate particles under the pedestal can also evolve into the flow

The yield of such part of particles will be  $2\pi FY_{peri.}(0)$ 

It will give large contribution if G is not much larger than FY<sub>peri.</sub>(0)





Template Fit:  $Y_{templ.}(\Delta \phi) = F \times Y_{peri.}(\Delta \phi) + Y_{ridge}(\Delta \phi)$ where  $Y_{ridge}(\Delta \phi) = G \times (1 - 2 \times \sum_{n=2}^{4} V_{n,n}^{templ.} \times \cos(n\Delta \phi))$ 

The associate particles under the pedestal can also evolve into the flow

The yield of such part of particles will be  $2\pi FY_{peri.}(0)$ 

```
It will give large contribution if G is not much larger than FY<sub>peri.</sub>(0)
```

$$Y_{ridge}(\Delta \phi) = \mathbf{G} \times (1 + 2 \times \sum_{n=2}^{4} V_{n,n}^{templ.} \times \cos(n\Delta \phi)) + \mathbf{F} \times Y_{peri.}(\mathbf{0})$$





Template Fit:  $Y_{templ.}(\Delta \phi) = F \times Y_{peri.}(\Delta \phi) + Y_{ridge}(\Delta \phi)$ where  $Y_{ridge}(\Delta \phi) = G \times (1 - 2 \times \sum_{n=2}^{4} V_{n,n}^{templ.} \times \cos(n\Delta \phi))$ 

The associate particles under the pedestal can also evolve into the flow

The yield of such part of particles will be  $2\pi FY_{peri.}(0)$ 

```
It will give large contribution if G is not much larger than FY_{peri.}(0)
```

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{ridge}(\Delta \phi) &= \mathbf{G} \times (1 + 2 \times \sum_{n=2}^{4} V_{n,n}^{templ.} \times \cos(n\Delta \phi)) \\ &+ \mathbf{F} \times Y_{peri.}(\mathbf{0}) \\ V_{22}^{Modified} &= V_{22}^{templ.} \times \mathbf{G} / (\mathbf{G} + \mathbf{F} Y_{peri.}(\mathbf{0})) \end{aligned}$$





□ Using the modified template fit,  $V_{2,2}$  shows a universal linear trend as a function of  $<dN/d\eta>$  for different systems and collision energies

6/3/19

The results are similar to the  $V_{2,2}$  after peripheral subtraction scaled by the  $V_{1,1}$ Shengli Huang



□ The integral v<sub>2</sub> from two subtraction methods show a universal trend as a function of  $<dN/d\eta>$ 



## Nonflow subtraction in AMPT







- 0-5% p/d+Au
- FY<sup>pp</sup>+G
- ......Y<sup>ridge</sup>+FY<sup>pp</sup>(0)
  - Template Fit

pp as reference, a near side peak is shown even  $|\Delta \eta| > 1.0$ 

0-5% centrality is selected with particles -5.0< $\eta$ <-3.0



#### $v_2(p_T)$ in 0-5% pAu, dAu at 200GeV







 $\psi_{PP}$ : participant plane  $\psi_{EP,A}$ :event plant from particles -4.5< $\eta$ <-2.5  $\psi_{EP,B}$ :event plant from particles 0< $\eta$ <2.5

Results from different subtraction are similar The subtracted v<sub>2</sub>(p<sub>T</sub>) have same trend as v<sub>2</sub>(PP) as a function of p<sub>T</sub> The subtraction methods works well even with  $|\Delta \eta| > 1.0$ 



 $c_{2}{4} vs. < dN/d\eta >$ 





#### Four-Particle Cumulant

 $c_{2}\{4\} = \langle\!\langle e^{-i2(\phi_{i}+\phi_{j}-\phi_{k}-\phi_{l})}\rangle\!\rangle - 2\langle\!\langle e^{-i2(\phi_{i}-\phi_{j})}\rangle\!\rangle$  $\phi_{i}, \phi_{j}, \phi_{k}, \phi_{l}$  are the azimuthal angles of four different particles in an event ;  $\langle\!\langle \rangle\!\rangle$  represents the average over all particles from all events within a given multiplicity range  $v_{2}\{4\} = \sqrt[4]{-c_{2}\{4\}}$  An indication that c<sub>2</sub>{4} is negative for high multiplicity d+Au collisions at 200 and 62.4 GeV, while the statistical uncertainties are large



 $\begin{array}{l} c_2\{4\} = \ll e^{-i2(\phi_i + \phi_j - \phi_k - \phi_l)} \gg -2 \ll e^{-i2(\phi_i - \phi_j)} \gg \\ \phi_i, \phi_j, \phi_k, \phi_l \text{ are the azimuthal angles of four different particles in an event ; } \end{array}$ 

An indication that c<sub>2</sub>{4} is negative for high multiplicity in d+Au collisions at 200 and 62.4 GeV



## From large to small system



A universal scaling from large to small system. Driven by same physics?





#### **Summary**



We see similar  $v_2$  between p/d+Au collisions for same multiplicity.

 $\checkmark$  v<sub>2</sub> is not only driven by initial geometry

The integral v<sub>2</sub> extracted by template fit shows a universal trend as a function of  $<dN/d\eta>$  for different small systems at different energies. v<sub>2</sub> in large and small systems follow an universal trend

✓ Multiplicity plays an important role for the flow in small systems!

 $c_2$ {4} is negative at high multiplicity at 62.4 and 200 GeV, but the measurements are limited by statistics.

Comparison of v<sub>2</sub> between two and four particles correlation, and testing of nonflow subtraction in AMPT, both indicate that nonflow is well controlled in STAR