

# Tracking the baryon quantum number with heavy-ion collisions

Chun Yuen Tsang (for STAR Collaboration)

Kent State University, Brookhaven National Laboratory

APS DNP-JPS 2023




Supported in part by:


U.S. DEPARTMENT OF  
**ENERGY**

# What carries baryon number, valence quark vs. baryon junction?

Valence quark



Baryon junction [1, 2]



Conventional picture

[1]: Artru, X. String Model with Baryons: Topology, Classical Motion. Nucl. Phys. B 85, 442–460 (1975).

[2]: Rossi, G. C. & Veneziano, G. A Possible Description of Baryon Dynamics in Dual and Gauge Theories. Nucl. Phys. B 123, 507–545 (1977)

# Baryon transport from junction

- Valence quarks carry most of the momentum and are contracted into thin “pancakes” at high energy**

- Quarks have less time to interact due to contracted longitudinal length

- Junction carries lower momentum and is less contracted**

- Junction is made of low- $x$  gluons
- More time to interact with other partons
- Enhanced baryon transport to mid-rapidity





Fig. 2.

Figure from D. Kharzeev, Physics Letters B 378, 238 (1996)



R. Abdul Khalek et al, arXiv:2103.05419 [physics.ins-det]




# Baryon transport from junction

- **Three methods to test the hypothesis:**
  1. Net-Baryon vs. Net-Electric charge in Isobar collisions
  2. Net-Baryon in photonuclear collisions
  3. Net-proton yield as a function of rapidity in hadronic Au+Au collisions


# Method 1: Net-Baryon (B) vs. Net-Charge (Q)

- Charged mesons: Carry Q
- Proton: Carry B and Q
- Neutron: Carry B
- Define  $\Delta Q = Q(Ru + Ru) - Q(Zr + Zr)$
- Question:  $\Delta Q = ??? \frac{B\Delta Z}{A}$  (at  $|y| < 0.5$ )




- $B = (N_p - N_{\bar{p}}) + (N_n - N_{\bar{n}})$
- $Q = (N_{\pi^+} + N_{K^+} + N_p) - (N_{\pi^-} + N_{K^-} + N_{\bar{p}})$

# Expected values of $B/\Delta Q^* \Delta Z/A$ for different carriers



- $B_{\text{init}} = 96^* \text{Const}$
- $\Delta Q_{\text{init}} = Q_{\text{init}} (Ru - Zr) = 96^* \text{Const} * 4/96$
- $(B/\Delta Q^* \Delta Z/A)_{\text{init}} = 1$ , should not change as partons evolve if B and Q are carried by valence quarks.
- For junction, B is enhanced so  $B/\Delta Q^* \Delta Z/A > 1$



$$B = (N_p - N_{\bar{p}}) + (N_n - N_{\bar{n}})$$

$$Q = (N_{\pi^+} + N_{K^+} + N_p) - (N_{\pi^-} + N_{K^-} + N_{\bar{p}})$$



# Net-Baryon number ( $B$ ) and net-Charge ( $Q$ )

- $B = (N_p - N_{\bar{p}}) + (N_n - N_{\bar{n}})$
- (Anti-)Neutron yields are estimated with thermal model assumption
- $B = (N_p - N_{\bar{p}}) + N_{\bar{p}} \sqrt{\frac{N_d}{N_{\bar{d}}}} - N_p \sqrt{\frac{N_{\bar{d}}}{N_d}}$
- $\Delta Q = \left[ (N_{\pi^+} + N_{K^+} + N_p) - (N_{\pi^-} + N_{K^-} + N_{\bar{p}}) \right]_{Ru} - []_{Zr}$
- Define  $R2_\pi = \frac{(N_{\pi^+}/N_{\pi^-})_{Ru}}{(N_{\pi^+}/N_{\pi^-})_{Zr}}$ ,
- Let  $N_\pi = 0.5 \times (N_{\pi^+} + N_{\pi^-})$ , then change of variable,
- $\Delta Q \approx N_\pi(R2_\pi - 1) + N_K(R2_K - 1) + N_p(R2_p - 1)$
- Systematic uncertainty is reduced by replacing difference of spectrum with product of spectrum and double ratio where uncertainty cancels out

$$\begin{aligned} N_d &= F(m_d, T) \exp(2\mu_B + \mu_Q) \\ N_{\bar{d}} &= F(m_d, T) \exp(-2\mu_B - \mu_Q) \\ N_p &= F(m_p, T) \exp(\mu_B + \mu_Q) \\ N_{\bar{p}} &= F(m_p, T) \exp(-\mu_B - \mu_Q) \\ N_n &= F(m_n \approx m_p, T) \exp(\mu_B) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,


$$\begin{aligned} \frac{N_d}{N_p^2} &= \frac{F(m_d, T)}{F(m_p, T)^2} \exp(-\mu_Q) \\ \frac{N_{\bar{d}}}{N_{\bar{p}}^2} &= \frac{F(m_d, T)}{F(m_p, T)^2} \exp(\mu_Q) \\ \frac{N_n}{N_p} &= \exp(-\mu_Q) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{N_n}{N_p} = \sqrt{\frac{d/p^2}{\bar{d}/\bar{p}^2}} = \frac{\bar{p}}{p} \sqrt{\frac{d}{\bar{d}}}$$



STAR Collaboration, PRC 99, 064905 (2019)

# STAR detector




- **Time Projection Chamber (TPC)**
  - Measures charged particle momentum with track curvature under B-field
  - Identifies particle with energy loss per unit length ( $dE/dx$ )
- **Time-Of-Flight (TOF)**
  - Extends momentum range for particle identification
  - Pile-up rejection
- **Zero Degree Calorimeter (ZDC)**
  - Detect forward neutrons for event selection
- **Beam-Beam counter (BBC)**
  - Detector forward and backward beam fragments
- **Vertex Position Detector (VPD)**
  - One on the east and one on the west.
  - Vertex location is calculated from the averaged time of particle detection on both sides.

# Spectra from Isobar Collisions




# Double ratio R2s



$$\Delta Q \approx N_\pi(R2_\pi - 1) + N_K(R2_K - 1) + N_p(R2_p - 1)$$

# Experimental result on Net-Charge and Net-Baryon



- $B/\Delta Q * \Delta Z/A > 1$
- **Model calculations (Herwig  $p + p$  ( $B/Q^*Z/A$ ,  $Z=A=1$ ) [1] and UrQMD [2]) cannot describe our data**
- **Decrease with decreasing  $\langle N_{part} \rangle$** 
  - Similar trend seen in Trento model [3]
  - Trento model accounts for initial conditions only
  - Consistent with change in neutron skin thickness differences

[1]: J. Bellm et al, Eur. Phys. J.C. 80 5, 452 (2020)

[2]: M. Bleicher et al, J. Phys. G. 25, 1859 (1999)

[3]: H. Xu et al, PRC 105, L011901 (2022)

## Method 2: Net-Baryon in photonuclear collisions

If junction hypothesis is true:

- Quasi-real  $\gamma \rightarrow q\bar{q}$
- Interact with a junction in target Au nucleus
- Enhanced creation of mid-rapidity baryons
  - Junction interaction time > quark interaction time
  - More baryons are stopped in junction picture
- Regge theory:  $dN/dy \propto e^{-\alpha_B \delta y}$ , where

$\delta y = y_{beam} - y$  in the direction of the target

- $\alpha_B$  is related to Regge intercept of junctions (J. D. Brandenburg, N. Lewis, P. Tribedy, Z. Xu, arXiv:2205.05685 (2022)).






Figure from J. D. Brandenburg, N. Lewis, P. Tribedy, Z. Xu, arXiv:2205.05685 (2022)

# Selecting photonuclear events in Au + Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 54.4 \text{ GeV}$

- **Asymmetric collision:** target can only be traveling in one direction
- **Select events with,**
  - Single neutron (1n) on ZDC east (ZDCE)
  - No activity in BBC east
  - Multiple neutron (Xn) on ZDC west (ZDCW)
  - Activity in BBC west
  - $|V_z(\text{VPD}) - V_z(\text{TPC})| > 10 \text{ cm}$
  - vice versa (east  $\Leftrightarrow$  west)

Figures from J. D. Brandenburg, N. Lewis, P. Tribedy, Z. Xu, arXiv:2205.05685 (2022)



BeAGLE: W. Wang, et al PRD 106, 012007 (2022)


# Net-proton yield as a proxy for Net-Baryon

- Net-proton yield is described by  
 $\exp((1.13 \pm 0.32)y) =$   
 $\exp(-(1.13 \pm 0.32)\delta y)$ 
  - Recall that  $\delta y = y_{beam} - y$  and  $y_{beam} = 0$  in C.M. frame.
- PYTHIA-6, which has valence quarks as baryon number carrier, predicts a dependence of  
 $\exp(-2.43\delta y)$ [1, 2, 3]

[1]: J. D. Brandenburg, N. Lewis, P. Tribedy, Z. Xu, arXiv:2205.05685 (2022)

[2]: B. Andersson, G. Gustafson, G. Ingelman, and T. Sjöstrand, Physics Reports 97, 31–145 (1983).


[3]: Torbjorn Sjostrand, Stephen Mrenna, and Peter Z. Skands, JHEP 05, 026 (2006), arXiv:hep-ph/0603175.



# Method 3: Net-proton yield at mid-rapidity as a function of beam rapidity in hadronic Au+Au collisions


- Regge theory predicts  $dN/dy|_{y=0} \propto e^{-a_B \delta y}$
- Measured  $a_B \approx 0.65$
- Slope is too small (flat) to be explained by conventional model such as PYTHIA and HERWIG (see next slide)
- Slope does not depend on centrality
  - Valence quark transport is expected to depend on multiple scatterings and thus on centrality

Au + Au BES-I data  
 STAR, PRC 79, 034909 (2009) and  
 STAR, PRC 96, 044904 (2017)



# Net-proton exponential slope ( $\alpha_B$ )



- $\alpha_B \sim 0.6$  for Au+Au [1, 2]
- $\alpha_B \sim 1$  for  $\gamma + \text{Au}$
- Predicted values from HERWIG and PYTHIA (both versions) disagree with data
  - PYTHIA 8 includes a junction-like mechanism in final-state hadronization [3]
  - **Slopes for Junction-Junction (J+J) and Junction-Pomeron (J+P) predictions are more compatible with data [4]**



- [1] STAR, PRC **79**, 034909 (2009)  
 [2] STAR, PRC **96**, 044904 (2017)  
 [3] Christiansen, J. R. & Skands, P. Z. String Formation Beyond Leading Colour. JHEP 08, 003 (2015). 1505.01681.  
 [4] Kharzeev, D. Can gluons trace baryon number? Phys. Lett. B 378, 238–246 (1996).nucl-th/9602027.

# Conclusion


- Exponential slope of mid-rapidity net-proton yield in hadronic Au+Au from BES-I < theoretical (PYTHIA/HERWIG) predictions
- Observed significant net-proton yield  $\gamma + \text{Au}$  collisions, whose slope against rapidity is smaller than that from PYTHIA and HERWIG without baryon junction
- The observed ratio of baryon to charge number difference is  $> 1$  and models without baryon junction predictions are  $< 1$  with Ru+Ru and Zr+Zr collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV}$
- Our results disfavor the assertion that valence quarks carry the baryon number



# Thanks

# Backup slides

# Net-Baryon at mid-rapidity




BRAHMS Collaboration, Phys. Lett. B 677, 267-271  
(2009)

- Net-Baryon =  $p - \bar{p}$
- More baryons than antibaryons, even at midrapidity
- Expected yield of  $p - \bar{p}$  is low
- Collision time is too short for a lot of valence quarks to be stopped

# Net-Baryon at mid-rapidity

- **Changes with collision energy**
  - Higher energy => Less interaction time
- **Normalized net-Baryon yield at mid-rapidity shows a clear exponential dependence on  $\delta y$** 
  - Exponential factor too small to be explained by the valence quark picture

C. Shen and B. Schenke, PRC 105, 064905  
(2022)



J. D. Brandenburg, N. Lewis,  
P. Tribedy, Z. Xu, arXiv:2205.05685  
(2022)

# Electric charge of quarks






Figure 5.3. Experimental measurements of the total cross section for the reaction  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$ , from the data compilation of M. Swartz, *Phys. Rev. D* (to appear). Complete references to the various experiments are given there. The measurements are compared to theoretical predictions from Quantum Chromodynamics, as explained in the text. The solid line is the simple prediction (5.16).

$$\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}) \xrightarrow[E_{\text{cm}} \rightarrow \infty]{} 3 \cdot \left( \sum_i Q_i^2 \right) R, \quad (5.16)$$



Riordan, Science 1992





**Fig. 8.** Comparison of structure functions measured in deep inelastic neutrino-nucleon scattering experiments on the Gargamelle heavy-liquid bubble chamber with the MIT-SLAC data [(●), Gargamelle,  $F_2^{\nu N}$ ; (x), MIT-SLAC,  $(18/5)F_2^{eN}$ ]. When multiplied by 18/5, a number specified by the quark-parton model, the electron scattering data coincide with the neutrino data.



# Net-Charge difference ( $R_u + R_u - Z_r + Z_r$ )

- Define  $R2_\pi = \frac{(N_\pi^+ / N_\pi^-)_{Ru}}{(N_\pi^+ / N_\pi^-)_{Zr}}$ ,
- Define  $\Delta Q = [(N_\pi^+ + N_K^+ + N_p) - (N_\pi^- + N_K^- + N_{\bar{p}})]_{Ru} - []_{Zr}$ ,
- $R2_\pi = \frac{(N_\pi^+ / N_\pi^-)_{Ru}}{(N_\pi^+ / N_\pi^-)_{Zr}} \approx \frac{[1 + (N_\pi^+ - N_\pi^-) / N_\pi]}{[1 + (N_\pi^+ - N_\pi^-) / N_\pi]}_{Zr} = \frac{1 + \Delta R_{Ru}}{1 + \Delta R_{Zr}} \approx 1 + \Delta R_{Ru} - \Delta R_{Zr}$
- Focus on pion terms,
- $(N_\pi^+ - N_\pi^-)_{Ru} - (N_\pi^+ - N_\pi^-)_{Zr} = N_{\pi,Ru} \times \Delta R_{Ru} - N_{\pi,Zr} \times \Delta R_{Zr}$   
•  $\approx N_\pi (\Delta R_{Ru} - \Delta R_{Zr}) = N_\pi \times (R2_\pi - 1)$
- Where  $N_\pi = 0.5 \times (N_\pi^+ + N_\pi^-)$
- Therefore,  $\Delta Q = N_\pi (R2_\pi - 1) + N_K (R2_K - 1) + N_p (R2_p - 1)$
- Double ratio reduces systematics uncertainty


# Net-Charge and Net-Baryon compared to UrQMD separately



- UrQMD accurately reproduces baryon transport to mid-rapidity in central collisions but not  $\Delta Q$ , probably because UrQMD has been tuned to net-proton measurements
- Accurate measurement of charge transport can be used for model tuning


# Method 3: Net-Baryon in photonuclear collisions

- **Inclusive particle production in photonuclear collisions**
  - Large flux of quasi-real photons produced by ultra-relativistic large-Z nuclei
  - Similar to  $eA$  collisions except that the photon has almost zero virtuality
  - Probes the nucleus at low- $x$




J. D. Brandenburg, N. Lewis,  
 P. Tribedy, Z. Xu, arXiv:2205.05685  
 (2022)


# Rapidity asymmetry in $\gamma\text{A}$ -rich events



J. D. Brandenburg, N. Lewis,  
 P. Tribedy, Z. Xu, arXiv:2205.05685  
 (2022)




# Defining $\gamma A$ and $AA$ event classes



**Most photonuclear events have low multiplicity, consistent with very peripheral Au + Au collisions**

**Using 60 – 80% peripheral collisions as a baseline and to estimate behavior of peripheral background**

# Y-Shaped baryon flux-tube in lattice QCD




F. Bissey, et al Phys. Rev. D **76**, 114512  
 (2007)

- Some lattice calculations have suggested the formation of a Y-shaped color flux tube among the three quarks at long distances
  - T. T. Takahashi, et al Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 18 (2001)
  - T. Takahashi, et al, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 114509 (2002)
- Still under investigation

# String junction model


- **3D hybrid model:**  
GLAUBER + MUSIC + URQMD Model
- **String junction where the baryon charge of the string can fluctuate towards the center of the string with tuning parameter  $\lambda_B$** 
  - $\lambda_B = 0.2$  reproduces the  $dN/dy$  of net-protons at STAR

C. Shen and B. Schenke, PRC 105, 064905 (2022)



Plot from Wenbin Zhao, BES-Tea Seminar 2022

# Net-Charge and Net-Baryon at $|y| < 1.0$ , Predicted by UrQMD



Courtesy: Z. Tang




# Virtuality of ultra-peripheral Au + Au

$\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 54$  and 200 GeV. In UPCs the gold ions are the source of quasi-real photons. The size ( $R_A \sim 1.2 A^{1/3}$ ) and charge ( $Z = 79$ ) of gold ions (mass number  $A = 197$ ) and the Lorentz boost  $\gamma_L = 27 - 100$  at RHIC determines the energy of the quasi-real photons  $E_\gamma = \gamma_L(\hbar c/R_A) = 0.8 - 2.8$  GeV. The virtuality and transverse momentum are  $Q^2 \lesssim (E_\gamma/\gamma_L)^2 \simeq (\hbar c/R_A)^2 = 0.0008$  GeV $^2$ . The typical range of the center of mass energy of the photon-nucleon system is  $W_{\gamma N} = \sqrt{4E_\gamma E_A} \approx 9 - 34$  GeV for  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2E_A = 54 - 200$  GeV. These numbers are close to what are quoted in Ref [36]. However, it is

Screenshot of J. D. Brandenburg, N. Lewis, P. Tribedy, Z. Xu, arXiv:2205.05685 (2022)


# Gamma+Au and Au+Au on the same plot



# Fitting to PYTHIA data


- **$\gamma + \text{Au-rich points acceptance}$ :**  
 $-4.6 \lesssim y - Y_{\text{beam}} \lesssim -3.4$
- **Central value fit range:**  
 $-4.0 < y - Y_{\text{beam}} < -0.81$
- **Estimate Uncertainty by adjusting fit range:**  
 $-4.0 < y - Y_{\text{beam}} < -0.61$   
 $-4.0 < y - Y_{\text{beam}} < -1.01$
- **Slope:**  $2.43 \pm 0.30$
- **Going to run more events in PYTHIA to have better coverage over our data acceptance**

Exponential Slope:  $f(y - Y_{\text{beam}}) = A \exp(b \times (y - Y_{\text{beam}}))$



# Net-Charge difference ( $R_{\text{u}}+R_{\text{u}} - R_{\text{zr}}+R_{\text{zr}}$ )

- Define  $R2_{\pi} = \frac{(N_{\pi}^+/N_{\pi}^-)_{Ru}}{(N_{\pi}^+/N_{\pi}^-)_{Zr}}$ ,
- Define  $\Delta Q = [(N_{\pi}^+ + N_K^+ + N_p) - (N_{\pi}^- + N_K^- + N_{\bar{p}})]_{Ru} - []_{Zr}$ ,
- $R2_{\pi} = \frac{(N_{\pi}^+/N_{\pi}^-)_{Ru}}{(N_{\pi}^+/N_{\pi}^-)_{Zr}} \approx \frac{[1+(N_{\pi}^+-N_{\pi}^-)/N_{\pi}]}{[1+(N_{\pi}^+-N_{\pi}^-)/N_{\pi}]}_{Zr} = \frac{1+\Delta R_{Ru}}{1+\Delta R_{Zr}} \approx 1 + \Delta R_{Ru} - \Delta R_{Zr}$ .
- Focus on pion terms,
- $(N_{\pi}^+ - N_{\pi}^-)_{Ru} - (N_{\pi}^+ - N_{\pi}^-)_{Zr} = N_{\pi,Ru} \times \Delta R_{Ru} - N_{\pi,Zr} \times \Delta R_{Zr}$
- $\approx N_{\pi}(\Delta R_{Ru} - \Delta R_{Zr}) = N_{\pi} \times (R2_{\pi} - 1)$ .
- Where  $N_{\pi} = 0.5 \times (N_{\pi}^+ + N_{\pi}^-)$ .
- Therefore,  $\Delta Q = N_{\pi}(R2_{\pi} - 1) + N_K(R2_K - 1) + N_p(R2_p - 1)$ .
- Double ratio reduces systematics uncertainty.
- Verified to be accurate with UrQMD simulation (see figure)



Credit: Wendi Lv, Zebo Tang

Rongrong Ma, STAR  
Collaboration meeting, fall 2023.

# Thank you