

1           Measurements of hyperon polarization in heavy-ion  
2 collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 3 - 200$  GeV with the STAR detector \*

3           JOSEPH R. ADAMS, ON BEHALF OF THE STAR COLLABORATION

4           Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210

5           *Received July 30, 2022*

6           In heavy-ion collisions, the observation of the global hyperon polariza-  
7 tion,  $P_{\text{H}}$ , ranging from  $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 7.7$  GeV to 5.02 TeV has revealed the  
8 existence of large vorticities perpendicular to the reaction plane due to sys-  
9 tem's orbital angular momentum. This discovery has posed new questions:  
10 does  $P_{\text{H}}$  grow at  $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} \lesssim 7.7$  GeV, indicating hydrodynamic behavior in  
11 the hadron gas? Can high-precision measurements of the suggested  $P_{\Lambda} - P_{\bar{\Lambda}}$   
12 indicate a large late-stage magnetic field sustained by the QGP? Can fur-  
13 ther studies of vorticity driven by collective flow, leading to a longitudinal  
14 spin polarization,  $P_z$ , shed light on the discrepancies between measure-  
15 ments and model predictions? To answer these questions, and more, we  
16 present here recent results of integrated and differential measurements of  
17  $P_{\text{H}}$  and  $P_z$  in recent high-statistics data sets acquired by the STAR col-  
18 laboration. We show integrated and differential  $P_{\text{H}}$  in Au+Au collisions at  
19  $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 19.6$  and 27 GeV, as well as at the fixed-target collision energies of  
20  $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 3$  and 7.2 GeV. Furthermore, Ru+Ru and Zr+Zr collisions allow  
21 for the study of the system-size dependence of  $P_{\text{H}}$  and  $P_z$ , as well as  $P_z$   
22 relative to higher-order event-plane angles.

23           **1. Introduction**

24           The discovery of substantial fluid vorticity supported by the Quark  
25 Gluon Plasma (QGP) in heavy-ion collisions through the use of  $\Lambda$ -hyperon  
26 spin polarization [5] has proven substantial, providing a new confirmation  
27 of the hydrodynamic paradigm of the QGP and prompting numerous ques-  
28 tions and studies, both experimental and theoretical. A high-statistics data  
29 set at  $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 200$  GeV by STAR was able to study the dependence of  $P_{\text{H}}$   
30 on collision centrality, transverse momentum,  $p_{\text{T}}$ , and rapidity,  $y$  [3]. The  
31 polarization itself was of smaller magnitude than the previous study, and

---

\* Presented at Quark Matter 2022: the XXIX<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Ultra-  
relativistic Nucleus-Nucleus Collisions

32  $P_H$  measurements by ALICE at much higher  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  [2] showed consistency  
 33 with zero; these results across  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  painted a clear picture of decreasing  
 34  $P_H$  with  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ .  $P_H$  clearly rises with collision centrality, which agrees with  
 35 what one might expect from an angular-momentum-driven phenomenon.  
 36 There may be a slight suppression of  $P_H$  at higher  $p_T$  due in part by jets [7];  
 37 however, this has not yet been observed. Numerous model calculations  
 38 predict a substantial dependence of  $P_H$  on  $y$  [18, 12, 10, 14, 13]; however,  
 39 this has not been observed either. While these differential measurements at  
 40  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$  GeV were useful, they certainly call for further high-statistics  
 41 studies at lower  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  where  $P_H$  is larger. Furthermore, model calculations  
 42 extended to  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \lesssim 7.7$  GeV diverge, suggesting that the polarization is  
 43 sensitive to the state of the system. High-statistics measurements which  
 44 yield statistically significant  $P_H$  integrated and differential measurements  
 45 will shed light on these open questions.

46 A notable effect in previous measurements of  $P_H$  across  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  is an en-  
 47 hancement of  $P_{\bar{\Lambda}}$  over  $P_{\Lambda}$ . Although this ‘‘polarization splitting’’ is not  
 48 statistically significant, it is in fact consistent with a large late-stage mag-  
 49 netic field supported by the QGP’s finite conductivity [15]. The full picture  
 50 is quite a bit more complicated, with necessary considerations of differ-  
 51 ences between the freeze-out times of  $\Lambda$  and  $\bar{\Lambda}$  hyperons, their phase space  
 52 distributions, etc [9]. Nevertheless, high-statistics studies at lower  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ ,  
 53 where the splitting may be larger, is necessary to form a more complete  
 54 understanding of the QGP’s ability to support a magnetic field.

55 Yet another avenue of study is that of longitudinal hadron polarization  
 56 along the beam direction,  $P_z$ . In this case, the spin polarization is driven  
 57 by collective flow in the transverse plane [17].  $P_z$  is measured, then, as a  
 58 function of the difference between the azimuthal angle,  $\phi$ , of the hadron  
 59 and the event-plane angle,  $\Psi_n$ , describing the orientation of the collision.  
 60 The second-order  $P_z$  had been studied by STAR at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$  GeV in  
 61 Au+Au collisions, where sinusoidal behavior consistent with expectations  
 62 was observed [4]; however, various model calculations which agreed fairly  
 63 well with  $P_H$  measurements disagreed about the sign of  $P_z$  [20, 16, 8, 19].  
 64 This ‘‘spin puzzle’’ has proved to be of concern within the community, and  
 65 is likely alleviated by the recent attempts to include shear terms in the  
 66 calculations [11, 6]. Further study using higher statistics and measuring  $P_z$   
 67 driven by higher-order transverse flow will provide valuable insight.

68

## 2. Method

69 Spin polarization, either  $P_H$  or  $P_z$ , is studied through correlations with  
 70 hadron spin.  $\Lambda$  and  $\bar{\Lambda}$  hyperons are the hadrons of choice because their  
 71 parity-violating decays reveal the direction of spin through the preferential

72 emission of daughters along the direction of spin. Two subsystems within  
 73 the STAR detector serve to reconstruct  $\Lambda$  hyperons from the collision prod-  
 74 ucts: the Time Projection Chamber (TPC) and the Time of Flight detector  
 75 (TOF). When  $\Lambda$  and  $\bar{\Lambda}$  hyperons decay, their charged daughters bend in  
 76 STAR's magnetic field and their helical paths are reconstructed. Using  
 77 energy-loss information from the TPC and particle mass-square informa-  
 78 tion from the TOF, protons and pions are identified. Each proton-pion pair  
 79 is checked against a series of conditions to determine if they are likely prod-  
 80 ucts of a  $\Lambda$ ,  $\bar{\Lambda}$  decay. These include, for example, the Distance at Closest  
 81 Approach (DCA) between the proton's and pion's helical tracks. See Ref. [1]  
 82 for more details. The set of hyperon candidates have a signal-to-background  
 83 ratio of  $> 95\%$ , but nevertheless have contamination from the combinatoric  
 84 background.

85 When correlating hadron spin with the collision orientation, we mea-  
 86 sure so-called event-plane angles,  $\Psi_n$  [17]. The STAR Event Plane Detector  
 87 (EPD) is a recent upgrade to the previously used Beam Beam Counter  
 88 (BBC), and offers nearly double the resolution on  $\Psi_n$ . The EPD sits at  
 89 forward rapidity, accepting forward-going collision fragments as well as col-  
 90 lision spectators. The azimuthal distribution of charged particles yields  
 91  $\Psi_n$  [17].

92 When operating RHIC in collider mode, global hadron polarization is  
 93 measured through the traditional invariant-mass method [4]. In order to  
 94 reach the lowest collision energies available at RHIC, STAR was retrofitted  
 95 with a Au fixed target sitting at  $(x, y) = (0, -2)$  cm within the beam pipe.  
 96 The beam is then steered downwards leading to fixed-target collisions. In  
 97 order to compensate for broken symmetries when operating in this mode,  
 98 the generalized invariant-mass method is applied to measure  $P_H$  [1].

$$99 \quad \frac{8}{\pi\alpha_\Lambda} \frac{1}{R_{\text{EP}}^{(1)}} \langle \sin(\Psi_1 - \phi_p^*) \rangle^{\text{sig}} = P_{\Lambda, \hat{j}} + c \sin(\phi_\Lambda - \phi_p^*). \quad (1)$$

100 When measuring longitudinal polarization, we correlate the polar angle of  
 101 the daughter spin with the beam direction. This is performed by measuring  
 102  $\langle \cos(\theta_p^*) \rangle$  with respect to  $\phi - \Psi_n$ .

### 103 3. Results

104 At  $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 7.2$  GeV, operating STAR in fixed-target mode, we see  
 105 results consistent both with previous results in collider mode at  $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} =$   
 106 7.7 GeV [5] and with predictions made by the 3-Fluid Dynamics model  
 107 (3FD) [12]; however, this measurement is at forward rapidity ( $0.5 < y < 2$ )  
 108 and is not necessarily a fair comparison between these measurements and  
 109 predictions. At  $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 3$  GeV, we see a significant  $P_H$  of about 5%, with

110 nearly  $6\sigma$  of statistical significance. This is evidence that even the hadron  
 111 gas supports enormous fluid vorticity, and the consistency with the 3FD  
 112 model over AMPT suggests that the hadron gas evolves hydrodynamically.  
 113 In both of these studies, we see  $P_H$  increase monotonically with collision cen-  
 114 trality and no dependence of  $P_H$  on  $p_T$  or  $y$ . The data set at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 3$  GeV  
 115 provides a unique environment for the study of the rapidity dependence of  
 116  $P_H$ . Here, the detector coverage is such that we are able to reconstruct  
 117 even the most forward-rapidity  $\Lambda$  hyperons whereas previous studies have  
 118 been limited to a fraction of hyperon production in  $y$ . Numerous model  
 119 calculations predict significant dependence of  $P_H$  on  $y$  which becomes more  
 120 dramatic at lower  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  [18, 12, 10, 14, 13], so the lack of observation in this  
 121 data set is striking. Still, uncertainties grow at forward rapidity as hyperon  
 122 yield falls off, so further experimental study and theoretical understanding  
 123 are required.

124  $P_H$  can also be used as a tool to study other phenomena, such as the  
 125 magnetic field sustained by the QGP measured by  $P_{\bar{\Lambda}} - P_{\Lambda}$ . This field  
 126 could be measured in the recent high-statistics data sets of Ru+Ru and  
 127 Zr+Zr, where the system sizes are the same but the number of protons  
 128 differs; however, no significant difference is observed. STAR measurements  
 129 at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 54.4$  and 200 GeV show no significant  $P_{\bar{\Lambda}} - P_{\Lambda}$ , but recent high-  
 130 statistics data sets collected by the STAR detector at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 19.6$  and  
 131 27 GeV allow for more precise measurements where the splitting may be  
 132 larger. Using  $P_H$  averaged for  $\Lambda$  and  $\bar{\Lambda}$  hyperons at these collision energies,  
 133 we achieve a factor of  $\sim 10$  reduction in uncertainties, which will allow  
 134 STAR to make a high-precision measurement on the late-stage magnetic  
 135 field sustained by the QGP. This averaged  $P_H$  at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 19.6$  and 27 GeV  
 136 with respect to collision centrality displays the familiar monotonic increase,  
 137 consistent with a phenomenon driven by angular momentum. Similarly, we  
 138 see no trend within uncertainties for the  $p_T$  and  $y$  dependence of  $P_H$  at these  
 139 energies.

140 STAR measurements of  $P_z$  in the recent high-statistics data sets of  
 141 Ru+Ru and Zr+Zr collisions agree very well with previous measurements,  
 142 and provide dramatically improved precision. Interestingly, we can study  
 143 this  $P_z$  relative to  $\Psi_3$ , which is related to triangular flow. STAR measured  
 144 this, again in the Ru+Ru and Zr+Zr data sets, and find the qualitative  
 145 behavior consistent with expectations; however, detailed studies on this  
 146 third-order longitudinal polarization using models have yet to be conducted  
 147 and will provide valuable insight. Both  $P_{Z,n=2,3}$  increase with centrality  
 148 and have comparable magnitude; however,  $P_{Z,n=3}$  is systematically smaller  
 149 than  $P_{Z,n=2}$  at centralities above 30%. Comparing our results here to those  
 150 obtained by the ALICE collaboration [2], we can test for a dependence on  
 151  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ ; however, this is not observed within uncertainties. We can also test

152 for a system-size dependence by comparing these results to STAR's previous  
153 results in the larger Au+Au system [4]; however, this is not observed either.

#### 154 4. Summary

155 Recent measurements of substantial  $P_H$  at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 3$  and 7.2 GeV  
156 demonstrate that the system evolves hydrodynamically even at very low  
157 collision energies. Here, measurements of  $P_H$  with respect to collision cen-  
158 trality,  $p_T$ , and  $y$  agree with observations at two orders of magnitude larger  
159  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ . The lack of observation of a dependence on  $y$  is striking, considering  
160 that the acceptance allowed for full coverage of the  $\Lambda$  rapidity distribu-  
161 tion. While further study is necessary, this calls for a better theoretical  
162 understanding of the rapidity distribution of vorticity. At  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 19.6$   
163 and 27 GeV, STAR shows an order of magnitude reduction in uncertainties  
164 relative to past measurements, which will allow for a precision measurement  
165 of the late-stage magnetic field through  $P_{\bar{\Lambda}} - P_{\Lambda}$ . The differential measure-  
166 ments there also exhibit the familiar differential dependencies: no observed  
167 dependence of  $P_H$  on  $p_T$  or  $y$ , within uncertainties, and a monotonic in-  
168 crease of  $P_H$  with collision centrality. The  $P_H$  difference between Zr+Zr and  
169 Ru+Ru collisions, which may also signal a late-stage magnetic field, is not  
170 measured by STAR to be significant. Transverse-flow-driven  $P_z$  is measured  
171 in these collisions, however, with drastically improved precision. Also stud-  
172 ied in these systems is  $P_z$  relative to  $\Psi_3$ , and STAR reports here vorticity  
173 measurements driven by triangular flow. The behavior of second- and third-  
174 order  $P_z$  is consistent with predictions which now agree due to the inclusion  
175 of shear terms. Measurements of hyperon polarization have opened the door  
176 for a variety of important studies shedding light on the nature of vorticity  
177 formation within the medium formed in heavy-ion collisions, and call for  
178 further experimental and theoretical studies.

#### REFERENCES

- 179 [1] M. S. Abdallah et al. Global  $\Lambda$ -hyperon polarization in Au+Au collisions at  
180  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}=3$  GeV. *Phys. Rev. C*, 104(6):L061901, 2021.
- 181 [2] S. Acharya et al. Global polarization of  $\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}$  hyperons in Pb-Pb collisions at  
182  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$  and 5.02 TeV. *Phys. Rev. C*, 101(4):044611, 2020.
- 183 [3] J. Adam et al. Global polarization of  $\Lambda$  hyperons in Au+Au collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$   
184  $= 200$  GeV. *Phys. Rev. C*, 98:014910, 2018.
- 185 [4] J. Adam et al. Polarization of  $\Lambda$  ( $\bar{\Lambda}$ ) hyperons along the beam direction in  
186 Au+Au collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$  GeV. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 123(13):132301,  
187 2019.

- 188 [5] L. Adamczyk et al. Global  $\Lambda$  hyperon polarization in nuclear collisions: evi-  
189 dence for the most vortical fluid. *Nature*, 548:62–65, 2017.
- 190 [6] F. Becattini, M. Buzzegoli, G. Inghirami, I. Karpenko, and A. Palermo. Lo-  
191 cal Polarization and Isothermal Local Equilibrium in Relativistic Heavy Ion  
192 Collisions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 127(27):272302, 2021.
- 193 [7] F. Becattini, G. Inghirami, V. Rolando, A. Beraudo, L. Del Zanna, A. De Pace,  
194 M. Nardi, G. Pagliara, and V. Chandra. A study of vorticity formation in  
195 high energy nuclear collisions. *Eur. Phys. J. C*, 75(9):406, 2015. [Erratum:  
196 *Eur.Phys.J.C* 78, 354 (2018)].
- 197 [8] F. Becattini and Iu. Karpenko. Collective Longitudinal Polarization in  
198 Relativistic Heavy-Ion Collisions at Very High Energy. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*,  
199 120(1):012302, 2018.
- 200 [9] F. Becattini and M. A. Lisa. Polarization and Vorticity in the Quark-Gluon  
201 Plasma. *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.*, 70:395–423, 2020.
- 202 [10] W.-T. Deng and X.-G. Huang. Vorticity in Heavy-Ion Collisions. *Phys. Rev.*  
203 *C*, 93(6):064907, 2016.
- 204 [11] B. Fu, Shuai Y. F. Liu, L. Pang, H. Song, and Y. Yin. Shear-Induced Spin  
205 Polarization in Heavy-Ion Collisions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 127(14):142301, 2021.
- 206 [12] Y. B. Ivanov. Global  $\Lambda$  polarization in moderately relativistic nuclear colli-  
207 sions. *Phys. Rev. C*, 103(3):L031903, 2021.
- 208 [13] Y. Jiang, Z.-W. Lin, and J. Liao. Rotating quark-gluon plasma in relativistic  
209 heavy ion collisions. *Phys. Rev. C*, 94(4):044910, 2016. [Erratum: *Phys.Rev.C*  
210 95, 049904 (2017)].
- 211 [14] Z.-T. Liang, J. Song, I. Upsal, Q. Wang, and Z.-B. Xu. Rapidity dependence  
212 of global polarization in heavy ion collisions. *Chin. Phys. C*, 45(1):014102,  
213 2021.
- 214 [15] L. McLerran and V. Skokov. Comments About the Electromagnetic Field in  
215 Heavy-Ion Collisions. *Nucl. Phys. A*, 929:184–190, 2014.
- 216 [16] Y. Sun and C. M. Ko.  $\Lambda$  hyperon polarization in relativistic heavy ion collisions  
217 from a chiral kinetic approach. *Phys. Rev. C*, 96(2):024906, 2017.
- 218 [17] S. A. Voloshin, A. M. Poskanzer, and R. Snellings. Collective phenomena in  
219 non-central nuclear collisions. *Landolt-Bornstein*, 23:293–333, 2010.
- 220 [18] H.-Z. Wu, L.-G. Pang, X.-G. Huang, and Q. Wang. Local spin polarization in  
221 high energy heavy ion collisions. *Phys. Rev. Research.*, 1:033058, 2019.
- 222 [19] X.-L. Xia, H. Li, Z.-B. Tang, and Q. Wang. Probing vorticity structure in  
223 heavy-ion collisions by local  $\Lambda$  polarization. *Phys. Rev. C*, 98:024905, 2018.
- 224 [20] Y. Xie, D. Wang, and L. P. Csernai. Fluid dynamics study of the  $\Lambda$  polarization  
225 for Au + Au collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$  GeV. *Eur. Phys. J. C*, 80(1):39, 2020.