

# "Soft physics in STAR"

Lee Barnby for the STAR Collaboration July 21st 2005. HEP2005, Lisboa, Portugal





# Overview

- Bulk particle production
  - Spectra, chemistry thermal fits, dynamics blastwave
  - Elliptic flow
- Probing the medium
  - Fluctuations
  - Autocorrelations
- Final state
  - HBT
  - Resonances





### Particle spectra measurements

#### Nucl. Phys. A 757 (2005) 102. Fig. 13 & refs. therein



- Most comprehensive identified particle species dataset.
- Integrate (extrapolated) spectra to get yields.
- Look at shape of transverse momentum spectrum to learn about dynamics.



# Yields: thermal model

Nucl. Phys. A 757 (2005) 102. Fig. 12 & refs. therein



 Ratios of many particle yields successfully reproduced
 Is there true

thermalization or is this statistical filling of phase space?



# Thermal Model Chemical fits



 $\Box$  4 parameters  $T_{ch}$ ,  $\mu_b$ ,  $\mu_s$  &  $\gamma_s$  $\Box$  T<sub>ch</sub> ~ 160 GeV (not shown) constant with centrality – same for 200 & 62 GeV  $\square$   $\mu_{\mathsf{B}}$  changes with energy due to stopping shows dependence on N<sub>ch</sub> at small values of N<sub>ch</sub>  $\Box$   $\gamma_s$  factor shows some centrality dependence fully saturated in most central collisions



Blast wave fit to  $\pi$ , K, p,  $\Lambda$  (and anti-particle) spectra.

□ Able to model changing shape with mass

 $\rho = \tanh^{-1}\beta_r$  $\beta_r = \beta_s (r/R)^{\alpha}$ 



### Kinetic Freezeout: Blast-wave



- Bulk particles show lower T<sub>fo</sub> with more central collisions.
- φ, Ω, Ξ with (assumed)
  lower hadronic crosssections have higher
   T<sub>fo</sub> but still show strong flow.





# Elliptic Flow: low p<sub>T</sub>



 $v_2 = \langle \cos [2(\phi - \psi_r)] \rangle$ 

- Mass ordering described by hydro model
- □ Indicates collective motion
  - What are relevant degrees of freedom
  - Hadrons or quarks and gluons
- Detailed agreement depends on equation of state

#### L.Barnby@bham.ac.uk - HEP2005 Elliptic Flow: moderate $p_{T}$ **STAR** Polynomial Fit v<sub>2</sub> re-plotted per constituent quark i.e. 0.05 v₂/n Divide $v_2$ by n ā+q ○ $\hat{}$ $\pi^+ + \pi^-$ Divide $p_T$ by n $\blacktriangle$ K<sup>0</sup><sub>s</sub> Λ+Λ - n=2 for mesons, n=3 for $\triangle K^+ + K^-$ ¥ Ξ+Ξ baryons This quark number scaling 1.5 Data/Fit may indicate that constituent quarks are the relevant degrees of freedom 0.5 2 $p_T/n$ (GeV/c)



### Spectra summary

Thermal models used to fit yields at chemical freezeout.

- Blast-wave used to fit spectra and extract thermal freezeout parameters.
- Azimuthal anisotropies following hydrodynamic behaviour.



### $\eta,\phi$ autocorrelations

- Correlate all particle pairs
  - No (or all) triggers
  - Construct  $\eta_{\Delta}$ ,  $\phi_{\Delta}$
- □ Subtract  $cos(\phi_{\Delta})$  and  $cos(2\phi_{\Delta})$  terms.
- Away side excess disappears even in peripheral bin
- Peaks become elongated in  $\eta_{\Delta}$  and narrower in  $\phi_{\Delta}$  with increasing centrality.

### Centrality dependence of correlation structure



#### amplitude & volume





1.4



Factor 2.3 width increase

Alternative centrality measure:

$$v \equiv 2N_{bin}/N_{part} \approx (N_{part}/2)^{1/3}$$

# L.Barnby@bham.ac.uk - HEP2005 $\langle p_t \rangle$ Fluctuations and $p_t$ Correlations – 200 GeV Au-Au



#### STAR preliminary



- Minijets: *velocity/temperature* correlation structures on  $(\eta, \phi)$
- $\square$  Strong elongation on  $\eta$  and new negative same-side structure

#### partons and velocity correlations





## Event-by-event fluctuations



- Looking for "excess" fluctuations
- No large fluctuation: chemical & kinetic equilibrium in every event?
- □ √s dependence looks smooth
- Net Charge reflects hadronic d.o.f, no Koch *et al*. QGP.
- Cross-over? Are we way above the transition?

 $K/\pi$ 



# Correlations and Fluctuations Summary

No unusual energy dependence in integral fluctuation measurements
 Correlations in η-φ show interesting

structures which change with centrality indicating interaction with medium.



# $C(q) = 1 + \lambda \cdot e^{-(q_o^2 R_o^2 + q_s^2 R_s^2 + q_l^2 R_l^2)}$

#### □ q decomposed:

- q<sub>long</sub> beam direction
- $q_{out} pair k_T$  direction
- $q_{side}$  perp. to above
- □ Radii relations to source:
  - $-R_{o}$  emission time
  - R<sub>s</sub> transverse extent
  - R<sub>I</sub> longitudinal extent
- □ Radii as a fn. of energy shown
  - Surprising lack of s
    dependence given sensitivity
    to EoS & soft point

# Femtoscopy (HBT)





### Radii and models

Experimental data agree!

Model comparisons shown



 Hydro models are not successful at reproducing radii as a function of k<sub>T</sub>

- Especially
  R<sub>out</sub>/R<sub>side</sub> ratio
- Although they are good for  $p_T$  and  $v_2$ .



# HBT w.r.t reaction plane



- Change of source shape due to expansion
- Still out of plane extended though - another indication of short time scale?

 $\varepsilon = \frac{R_x - R_y}{R_x + R_y}$ 



**STAR** 







 Smooth growth of radii
 Factor 2 expansion for central Au+Au



#### STAR preliminary



### Resonance studies

- Resonance decay products rescattered
  - prevents reconstruction
- Sensitive to lifetime of system
- However additional effects of regeneration to consider





# Conclusions

- Bulk phenomena successfully described by collective behaviour
  - Particle yields fit well by thermal model
  - Changing shape of transverse spectra modelled by blast-wave
  - Elliptic flow follows hydro-like behaviour
  - Number of constituent quarks relevant d.o.f?
- □ Medium can be probed via correlation structures
  - Reveals mini-jet like effects, coupled to bulk and possible evidence of recoil.
- Femtoscopic investigations are currently throwing up some interesting puzzles.



### Acknowledgements

#### **STAR Collaboration Institutions**

Argonne National Laboratory, Institute of High Energy Physics - Bejing, University of Bern, University of Birmingham, Brookhaven National Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, University of California - Berkeley, University of California - Davis, University of California - Los Angeles, Carnegie Mellon University, Creighton University, Nuclear Physics Inst., Academy of Sciences - Prague, Laboratory of High Energy Physics - Dubna, University of Frankfurt, Institute of Physics -Bhubaneswar, Indian Institute of Technology - Mumbai, Indiana University Cyclotron Facility, Institut de Recherches Subatomiques de Strasbourg, University of Jammu, Kent State University, Institute of Modern Physics. Lanzhou, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Max-Planck-Institut fuer Physics, Michigan State University, Moscow Engineering Physics Institute, City College of New York, NIKHEF and Utrecht University, Ohio State University, Panjab University, Pennsylvania State University, Institute of High Energy Physics - Protvino, Purdue University, Pusan National University, University of Rajasthan, Rice University, Instituto de Fisica da Universidade de Sao Paulo, University of Science and Technology of China - USTC, Shanghai Institue of Applied Physics, SUBATECH, Texas A&M University, University of Texas - Austin, Tsinghua University, Valparaiso University, Variable Energy Cyclotron Centre - Kolkata, Warsaw University of Technology, University of Washington, Wayne State University, Institute of Particle Physics - Wuhan, Yale University, University of Zagreb

#### **Funding Agencies**

We thank the RHIC Operations Group and RCF at BNL, and the NERSC Center at LBNL for their support. This work was supported in part by the HENP Divisions of the Office of Science of the U.S.DOE; the U.S. NSF; the BMBF of Germany; IN2P3, RA, RPL, and EMN of France; EPSRC of the United Kingdom; FAPESP of Brazil; the Russian Ministry of Science and Technology; the Ministry of Education and the NNSFC of China; IRP and GA of the Czech Republic, FOM of the Netherlands, DAE, DST, and CSIR of the Government of India; Swiss NSF; the Polish State Committee for Scientific Research; STAA of Slovakia, and the Korea Sci. & Eng. Foundation