# Exploring Quark Transversity in Polarized Proton-Proton Collisions at STAR

J. Kevin Adkins for the STAR Collaboration

Morehead State University

CIPANP 2022 – Lake Buena Vista, FL

September 2022



MOREHEAD STATE U N I V E R S I T Y





# Describing the Proton's Spin Structure

Distribution	Partons	Name of Distribution	Proton Polarization
f(x)	q, G	Momentum	Unpolarized
Δf(x)	q <i>,</i> G	Helicity	Longitudinal
h1(x)	q	Transversity	Transverse



# Describing the Proton's Spin Structure

Distribution	Partons	Name of Distribution	Proton Polarization	
f(x)	q <i>,</i> G	Momentum	Unpolarized	
∆f(x)	q <i>,</i> G	Helicity	Longitudinal	
h <sub>1</sub> (x)	q	Transversity	Transverse	Least constrained



# Opportunities in p+p Collisions: Hadron-in-Jet Collins Effect

- Transverse single spin asymmetries (TSSA) in p+p collisions are a unique avenue for a detailed study of the proton's transverse spin structure
  - Collins effect in jets
  - Di-hadron asymmetries

# Opportunities in p+p Collisions: Hadron-in-Jet Collins Effect

- Transverse single spin asymmetries (TSSA) in p+p collisions are a unique avenue for a detailed study of the proton's transverse spin structure
  - Collins effect in jets
  - Di-hadron asymmetries
- Collins effect connects the initial state quark spin (transversity) to the final state pion distribution within the jet (Collins FF)
- Pions within jets are asymmetrically distributed
  - Looking at the difference between spin states will allow access to transversity distribution and Collins FF via asymmetry measurements



# Opportunities in p+p Collisions: Hadron-in-Jet Collins Effect

- Transverse single spin asymmetries (TSSA) in p+p collisions are a unique avenue for a detailed study of the proton's transverse spin structure
  - Collins effect in jets
  - Di-hadron asymmetries
- Collins effect connects the initial state quark spin (transversity) to the final state pion distribution within the jet (Collins FF)
- Pions within jets are asymmetrically distributed
  - Looking at the difference between spin states will allow access to transversity distribution and Collins FF via asymmetry measurements
- Asymmetry modulated by sine term in polarized cross section:

$$d\sigma_{UT} \approx d\sigma_{UU} \left[ 1 + A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_S - \phi_H)} \sin(\phi_S - \phi_H) + \ldots \right]$$

Transversity x Collins

# Opportunities in p+p Collisions: Hadron-in-Jet Collins Effect

- Transverse single spin asymmetries (TSSA) in p+p collisions are a unique avenue for a detailed study of the proton's transverse spin structure
  - Collins effect in jets
  - Di-hadron asymmetries
- Collins effect connects the initial state quark spin (transversity) to the final state pion distribution within the jet (Collins FF)
- Pions within jets are asymmetrically distributed
  - Looking at the difference between spin states will allow access to transversity distribution and Collins FF via asymmetry measurements
- Asymmetry modulated by sine term in polarized cross section:

$$d\sigma_{UT} \approx d\sigma_{UU} \left[ 1 + A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_S - \phi_H)} \sin(\phi_S - \phi_H) + \ldots \right]$$

Transversity x Collins



Opportunities in p+p Collisions : Di-hadron or Interference Fragmentation Function (IFF) Asymmetry

- Correlates quark polarization to azimuthal distribution of final state hadron pairs
- IFF process is collinear, where Collins is dependent upon transverse momentum

Opportunities in p+p Collisions : Di-hadron or Interference Fragmentation Function (IFF) Asymmetry

- Correlates quark polarization to azimuthal distribution of final state hadron pairs
- IFF process is collinear, where Collins is dependent upon transverse momentum
- Modulated by a sine term similarly to the hadron-in-jet Collins asymmetry:

$$d\sigma_{UT} \approx d\sigma_{UU} \left[ 1 + A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_{RS})} \sin(\phi_R - \phi_S) + \ldots \right]$$
  
Transversity x IFF



# Why Look to p+p? Kinematic Coverage!

- STAR covers a similar range in x to that of SIDIS experiments but much higher in Q<sup>2</sup>
  - Important for studies of TMD universality
  - We can learn about the evolution of TMDs and factorization breaking
- Collins and di-hadron at different energies allow for self-contained studies of these
  effects as well



#### Kinematic Coverage: STAR's Impact

- Transversity is quite unconstrained, even in valence region
  - Unpolarized and helicity distributions quite well known!
- STAR's kinematic coverage will help constrain transversity where uncertainties are the largest

![](_page_10_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### Kinematic Coverage: STAR's Impact

- Transversity is quite unconstrained, even in valence region
  - Unpolarized and helicity distributions quite well known!
- STAR's kinematic coverage will help constrain transversity where uncertainties are the largest

![](_page_11_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider

![](_page_12_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### Data from Recent RHIC Runs

Year	2011	2012	2015	2017	2022
$\sqrt{s}$ (GeV)	500	200	200	510	508
$L_{int} (pb^{-1})$	25	22	52	350	400
Polarization	53%	57%	57%	58%	~52%

- For this talk, the 200 GeV data has better statistical precision than the 500 GeV data
- 500 GeV data probes lower momentum fraction than that of the 200 GeV data
- Analysis of forward and backward scattered jets with respect to the polarized proton beam gives access to a broad range of momentum fractions
- Note: Collins results from 2012 and 2015 data have been combined!

![](_page_15_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Previous STAR Result: Di-hadron Asymmetry

- 2011 500 GeV data
- 500 GeV results show significant asymmetries comparable to those from the previous 200 GeV measurement
- Higher COM energy probes an x-range on the upper end of SIDIS results, but with a higher effective Q<sup>2</sup>
- Decent agreement with theory that is fit to SIDIS and e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> experiments

![](_page_15_Figure_6.jpeg)

√s = 200 GeV

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Much higher statistical precision than any previous di-hadron asymmetry result
- Multidimensional binning probes transversity in fine details
- Enhancement of the asymmetry in the vicinity of the  $\rho$  mass (M  $\approx$  0.78 GeV/c<sup>2</sup>)

√s = 200 GeV

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Much higher statistical precision than any previous di-hadron asymmetry result
- Multidimensional binning probes transversity in fine details
- Enhancement of the asymmetry in the vicinity of the  $\rho$  mass (M  $\approx$  0.78 GeV/c<sup>2</sup>)

√s = 200 GeV

- Integrated over all  $p_{\rm T}$  and  $M_{\rm inv}$  ranges
- $\eta > 0$  region gives a larger asymmetry
  - Samples larger x region than  $\eta < 0$
  - Parton in this region comes from the polarized proton
- Sampled kinematics from simulation given on the bottom

$$z = \frac{E_{\text{pair}}}{E_{\text{quark}}}$$

![](_page_18_Figure_8.jpeg)

√s = 200 GeV

- Asymmetry integrates over all values of  $p_T$  for  $\eta > 0$
- Good agreement with the previous 200 GeV result (shown earlier) with much better statistical precision
- 200 GeV theory curve agrees well, but overshoots at large M<sub>inv</sub>
  - Predicts larger enhancement in the vicinity of M<sub>o</sub>
  - Opportunity for model improvement with these new results

![](_page_19_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Previous STAR Result: Collins Asymmetry

![](_page_20_Figure_2.jpeg)

Theory curves: D, Alesio, et. al. Phys. Lett. B773 (2017) Kang, et. al. Phys. Lett. B774 (2017)

- 2011 500 GeV data
- First published Collins asymmetry in p+p collisions!
- Models based on SIDIS/e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> data
  - DMP&KPRY: No TMD evolution
  - KPRY-NLL: TMD evolution up to NLL
- Consistency with models suggests universality and that factorization isn't broken in p+p

# New STAR Result: Collins Asymmetry

- Paper submitted to PRD and now on the arXiv!
- 2012 and 2015 analyses finished simultaneously
  - Asymmetries are in excellent agreement!
- Results from both years combined into a single set of asymmetries for publication
- These are the most statistically precise and significant Collins asymmetries in p+p to date

![](_page_21_Figure_6.jpeg)

√s = 200 GeV

√s = 200 GeV

#### New STAR Result: Collins Asymmetry

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

- DMP+2013 model uses transversity distribution from SIDIS and the Collins FF from e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>
  - D'Alesio, et. al. PLB 773, 300 (2017)
  - Undershoots the amplitude, but follows the shape well
- x<sub>F</sub> > 0 jets access larger values of x than x<sub>F</sub> < 0 jets and the parton from the polarized proton
- x-axis values have been corrected to the particle level in simulation

# New STAR Result: Collins Asymmetry

$$z = rac{p_{ ext{hadron}}}{p_{ ext{jet}}}$$

![](_page_23_Figure_3.jpeg)

DMP+2013: D'Alesio, et. al. PLB 773, 300 (2017) KPRY: Kang *et. al.*, PLB 774, 635 (2017);

- Both models assume factorization and universality
- Models do a reasonable job at lower jet  $\ensuremath{p_{\text{T}}}$
- Models underestimate the size at high jet  $\ensuremath{p_{\text{T}}}$ 
  - For all z for  $\pi^-$
  - Low z for  $\pi^+$

New STAR Result: Collins Asymmetry

- In both cases there are large differences between data and model calculations
  - KPRY does a better job of predicting the correct shape

√s = 200 GeV

- z and  $j_{\mathsf{T}}$  dependences are important for understanding the Collins FF

![](_page_24_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### Collins Asymmetry: 200 GeV vs. 500 GeV

STAR, arXiv:2205.11800

![](_page_25_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_4.jpeg)

- Asymmetries agree well in both shape and amplitude for jet  $x_T \ge 0.07$
- Slow evolution with Q<sup>2</sup>

√s = 200 GeV

#### New STAR Result: Collins Asymmetry

→ jet + K<sup>±</sup> + X s = 200 GeV  $\langle p_{\tau}^{jet} \rangle = 13.3 \text{ GeV/c}$ • K<sup>+</sup>  $\langle p_{\tau}^{\text{jet}} \rangle = 13.3 \text{ GeV/c}$ 0.1 < z < 0.8 -0.06 < j<sub>\_\_\_\_,May</sub> j\_ < j\_ T.Max K 0.1 < z < 0.8 + p  $\rightarrow$  jet + p/p + X 0.06 3% Scale Uncertainty Not Shown 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 10-1 j\_ [GeV/c] Particle jet p\_ [GeV/c]

STAR, arXiv:2205.11800

- First measurement of kaon and proton Collins asymmetries inside of jets (2015 data only)
- K<sup>+</sup> shows asymmetry similar to  $\pi^+$  (favored fragmentation) but K<sup>-</sup> is consistent with zero (unfavored fragmentation) within statistical precision
- Proton asymmetries consistent with zero within statistical precision

• Polarized proton collisions offer rich and unique channel to access the transversity distribution

- Polarized proton collisions offer rich and unique channel to access the transversity distribution
- Results from 200 GeV collisions are the most statistically precise asymmetries to date in p+p

- Polarized proton collisions offer rich and unique channel to access the transversity distribution
- Results from 200 GeV collisions are the most statistically precise asymmetries to date in p+p
- Both the Collins and di-hadron channels agree in 200 GeV and 500 GeV collisions, pointing to a slow evolution with Q<sup>2</sup>

- Polarized proton collisions offer rich and unique channel to access the transversity distribution
- Results from 200 GeV collisions are the most statistically precise asymmetries to date in p+p
- Both the Collins and di-hadron channels agree in 200 GeV and 500 GeV collisions, pointing to a slow evolution with Q<sup>2</sup>
- First results on kaon and proton Collins asymmetries in p+p suffer from limited statistics, and will be investigated further soon with datasets approximately 7 times the statistics presented here

- Polarized proton collisions offer rich and unique channel to access the transversity distribution
- Results from 200 GeV collisions are the most statistically precise asymmetries to date in p+p
- Both the Collins and di-hadron channels agree in 200 GeV and 500 GeV collisions, pointing to a slow evolution with Q<sup>2</sup>
- First results on kaon and proton Collins asymmetries in p+p suffer from limited statistics, and will be investigated further soon with datasets approximately 7 times the statistics presented here
- Upcoming measurements of pion yields and unpolarized di-hadron cross section will help better understand the fragmentation

- Polarized proton collisions offer rich and unique channel to access the transversity distribution
- Results from 200 GeV collisions are the most statistically precise asymmetries to date in p+p
- Both the Collins and di-hadron channels agree in 200 GeV and 500 GeV collisions, pointing to a slow evolution with Q<sup>2</sup>
- First results on kaon and proton Collins asymmetries in p+p suffer from limited statistics, and will be investigated further soon with datasets approximately 7 times the statistics presented here
- Upcoming measurements of pion yields and unpolarized di-hadron cross section will help better understand the fragmentation
- Di-hadron and Collins measurements using the 2017 510 GeV dataset are ongoing

- Polarized proton collisions offer rich and unique channel to access the transversity distribution
- Results from 200 GeV collisions are the most statistically precise asymmetries to date in p+p
- Both the Collins and di-hadron channels agree in 200 GeV and 500 GeV collisions, pointing to a slow evolution with Q<sup>2</sup>
- First results on kaon and proton Collins asymmetries in p+p suffer from limited statistics, and will be investigated further soon with datasets approximately 7 times the statistics presented here
- Upcoming measurements of pion yields and unpolarized di-hadron cross section will help better understand the fragmentation
- Di-hadron and Collins measurements using the 2017 510 GeV dataset are ongoing
- Additional transverse single-spin jet asymmetries in p+p from STAR:
  - Inclusive jet asymmetry (200 and 500 GeV; sensitive to twist-3 analogy of gluon Sivers)
  - Hadron-tagged jet asymmetries (200 GeV; sensitive to twist-3 analogy of quark Sivers)
  - Collins-like asymmetries (200 and 500 GeV; sensitive to linearly polarized gluons in transversely polarized protons)
  - All are zero within current statistical precision

# Backup

# Sources of Systematic Uncertainty

- Implement simulation to estimate systematic uncertainties
  - Use PYTHIA and GEANT with embedded zero bias events tosimulate detector response to QCD processes
  - Recreate the analysis in simulation framework with and without detector effects
- The hadron-in-jet and di-hadron analyses have several sources of systematic error in common:
  - Kinematic shifts (i.e., x-axis value shifts)
  - Trigger bias
  - Particle identification
  - Azimuthal smearing
- The Collins analysis receives additional errors due to the effect of one moment "leaking" into another (e.g., leak through of Collins-like to Collins, etc.)

# Jet Reconstruction: Collins Asymmetry

- Anti-k<sub>T</sub> reconstruction algorithm
- Radius R = 0.6
- Jet level cuts:
  - |z<sub>vertex</sub>| < 60 cm
  - 6 < p<sub>T,jet</sub> < 31.6 GeV/c
  - R<sub>T,jet</sub> < 0.95
  - No tracks with p<sub>T,track</sub> > 20 GeV/c
  - Sum of track  $p_T > 0.5$
  - $-0.9 < \eta_{\rm jet} < 0.9$
  - -0.8 <  $\eta_{
    m detector}$  < 0.9

- Hadron cuts
  - $0.05 < j_T < 4.5 \text{ GeV/c}$
  - 0.1 < z < 0.8
  - $-1 < n_{\sigma}(\pi) < 2$
  - $\Delta R > 0.05$  (between track and jet)

#### Pion Pair Selection: Di-hadron Asymmetry

- Jet reconstruction is not required
- Look at all possible  $\pi^+\pi^-$  pairs are formed and examined
  - |z<sub>vertex</sub>| < 60 cm
  - Track DCA < 1 cm
  - p<sub>T,track</sub> > 1.5 GeV/c
  - Track hits > 15
  - $-1 < n_{\sigma}(\pi) < 2$
  - $-1 < \eta_{\text{track}} < 1$
  - Cone size ( $\eta$ - $\phi$  space) < 0.7
  - 0.2 < M<sub>inv</sub> < 4 GeV/c
  - 2.5 < p<sub>T,pair</sub> < 15 GeV/c
  - $-1 < \eta_{\text{pair}} < 1$

#### Simulation Framework

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Simulation: PYTHIA 6.4 Perugia 2012 with additional tuning to STAR data;
  - Three Simulation Levels :
  - Parton hard scattered partons involved in 2->2 hard scatterings from PYTHIA
  - Particle partons propagate and hadronize into stable and color-neutral particles
  - Detector detector response to the stable particles

√s = 200 GeV

#### Previous STAR Result: Di-hadron Asymmetry

![](_page_39_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### J.K. Adkins - CIPANP 2022

#### Previous STAR Result: Collins Asymmetry

![](_page_40_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Multi-dimensional binning scheme gives insight into how the kinematic variables depend upon each other
  - z and  $j_T$  show up in FF,  $p_T \sim Q$  shows up in transversity

# $= \frac{p_{\rm hadron}}{p_{\rm jet}}$

√s = 500 GeV

![](_page_41_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Previous STAR Result: Inclusive Jet Asymmetry

![](_page_41_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Previous STAR Result: Collins-like Asymmetry

![](_page_42_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Previous STAR Result: Collins-like Asymmetry

![](_page_43_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Statistics combines both charge states to maximize precision
  - Still consistent with zero and within model predictions

√s = 200 GeV

- Asymmetry for the highest <p<sub>T</sub>> bin shows the largest asymmetry
- Average sampled kinematics given on the bottom panel

$$z = \frac{E_{\text{pair}}}{E_{\text{quark}}}$$

![](_page_44_Figure_5.jpeg)

√s = 200 GeV

# New STAR Result: Collins Asymmetry (x<sub>F</sub> < 0)

![](_page_45_Figure_2.jpeg)

√s = 200 GeV

# New STAR Result: Collins Asymmetry ( $x_F < 0$ )

![](_page_46_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### √s = 200 GeV

# New STAR Result: Collins Asymmetry ( $x_F < 0$ )

![](_page_47_Figure_2.jpeg)

J.K. Adkins - CIPANP 2022

48

√s = 200 GeV

#### New STAR Result: Inclusive Jet Asymmetry

![](_page_48_Figure_2.jpeg)

J.K. Adkins - CIPANP 2022

√s = 200 GeV

#### New STAR Result: Hadron-Tagged Jet Asymm.

![](_page_49_Figure_2.jpeg)

J.K. Adkins - CIPANP 2022

√s = 200 GeV

#### New STAR Result: Collins-like Asymmetry

![](_page_50_Figure_2.jpeg)

51

J.K. Adkins - CIPANP 2022

√s = 200 GeV

#### New STAR Result: Collins-like Asymmetry

STAR, arXiv:2205.11800

![](_page_51_Figure_3.jpeg)