

Supported in part by:





Probing Chiral Magnetic Wave in isobar collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 200 GeV at RHIC-STAR

Aditya Rana

(for the STAR Collaboration) D.A.V College, Chandigarh, India



Outline



- $\hfill \blacksquare$ Introduction
- Motivation
- Methodology
- Data Set
- Results



Introduction



Non-Central Heavy Ion Collision



- QGP Formation: Nucleons in the overlap region interact violently, creating an extremely hot and dense Quark Gluon Plasma (QGP), where quarks and gluons are deconfined.
- Spectator Protons and Magnetic Field: Spectator protons create a strong magnetic field perpendicular to the reaction plane.

Introduction



Chiral Magnetic Effect (CME)

Chiral Separation Effect (CSE)



CME + CSE = Chiral Magnetic Wave (CMW)

The combination of the CME and CSE creates a feedback loop between charge and chiral densities, leading to the formation of the CMW.

Motivation



- As the CMW propagates, it induces an electric quadrupole moment in the QGP medium, where positive charges accumulate at the poles and negative charges at the equator of the nuclear overlap region resulting in chargedependent elliptic flow asymmetry.
- Isobar collisions: Ru+Ru collisions expected to have 10-18% stronger magnetic field than Zr+Zr collisions due to the presence of 4 extra protons in Ru than Zr.
- Enhanced magnetic fields in Ru+Ru collisions are expected to give rise to larger CMW in Ru+Ru collisions compared to Zr+Zr collisions.



Methodology



Electric Quadrupole moment induced by CMW leading to difference in v₂ of charge particles, predicted to be proportional to charge asymmetry (A).

$$v_2^{\pm} - v_{2,base}^{\pm} = \mp \frac{r}{2}A$$

$$A = \frac{N_{+} - N_{-}}{N_{+} + N_{-}}$$

Another way is measuring covariance of v_2^{\pm} and **A**, as a function of centrality (3-point correlator or 3-particle correlator),

$$< v_2^{\pm} . A > - < A > < v_2^{\pm} > \approx \mp r(- < A >^2)/2 \approx \mp r\sigma_A^2/2$$

• Δ Integral Correlator:

$$\Delta IC = \langle v_2^- . A \rangle - \langle A \rangle \langle v_2^- \rangle - (\langle v_2^+ . A \rangle - \langle A \rangle \langle v_2^+ \rangle) \approx r\sigma_A^2$$

* Phys. Rev. C 93 (2016) 044903 * arXiv:2308.16123v1 [nucl-ex]

STAR Detector





https://www.star.bnl.gov

- Solenoid Tracker At RHIC (STAR).
- Time Projection Chamber (TPC) covers $|\eta| < 1$ and full azimuthal angle ($\phi = (0, 2\pi)$).
- TPC and Time Of Flight (TOF) are main detectors used for particle identification.

Data set



Run 18

Collision Type:

Zr+Zr @ 200 GeV (~ 1.6B Events after cuts) Ru+Ru @ 200 GeV (~ 1.6B Events after cuts)

Event and Track cuts:

0.15 < p⊤ < 1 GeV/c.
η < 1.
Vertex cut: $-35 < V_Z < 25$ cm
DCA < 3 cm.



Results

Covariance of v₂ and A



v₂ calculated using Q cumulant method.

- η gap of 0.3 is taken between Reference Particles (RFP) and Particle of Interest (POI).
- \bullet Both Ru+Ru and Zr+Zr show splitting of covariance between v₂ and **A**.
- Both Collision systems shows similar values of $\Delta IC/\sigma_A^2$ (for v₂).

Covariance of v₃ and A



- v₃ calculated using Q cumulant method.
- η gap of 0.3 is taken between RFP and POI.
- Both Ru+Ru and Zr+Zr shows no splitting of covariance between v_3 and **A**.
- Both Collision systems shows similar values of $\Delta IC/\sigma_A^2$ (for v₃).

Ratio



No enhancement is observed in Δ*IC*/σ²_A for Ru+Ru collisions compared to Zr+Zr collisions, despite the Ru+Ru having 4 more protons than the Zr+Zr.
pol0 fit value is 1.0042 +/- 0.0265.

Summary



- South Ru+Ru and Zr+Zr shows similar splitting of integral correlator for positive and negative charged particles.
- Integral covariance of v₃ and A for positive and negative charged particle agrees within errors.
- No enhanced splitting is observed in the Ru+Ru compared to the Zr+Zr, despite the Ru+Ru having 4 more protons than the Zr+Zr.

Outlook

- Output Strain Comparison of results with other collision systems to study system size dependence.
- To determine f_{CMW} using Event Shape Engineering (ESE) technique.

Thank you for your attention