

MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY

## Local Parity Violation or Local Charge Conservation/Flow?

A Reaction-Plane-Dependent Balance Function Study

Allo

Hui Wang for the STAR Collaboration



- In heavy ion collisions, most of the detected charge is created during the evolution of the system.
- Balance functions are sensitive to charge formation mechanisms and relative diffusion
- A three point correlator has been proposed to measure the possible Chiral Magnet Effect

 $\gamma_{\alpha\beta} = \left\langle \cos\left(\phi_{\alpha} + \phi_{\beta} - 2\Psi_{EP}\right) \right\rangle$ 





10/19/11



 $B(\phi, \Delta \phi) = \frac{1}{2} \{ \frac{N_{+-}(\phi, \Delta \phi) - N_{++}(\phi, \Delta \phi)}{N_{+}(\phi)} + \frac{N_{-+}(\phi, \Delta \phi) - N_{--}(\phi, \Delta \phi)}{N_{-}(\phi)} \}$ 



Data Set



- 200 GeV Au+Au events from STAR Run 4
- 14 million events analyzed
- All charged particles
  - $|\eta| < 1.0$
  - Full azimuthal acceptance
  - $0.2 < p_t < 2.0 \text{ GeV/c}$
  - Electrons are suppressed
  - 2<sup>nd</sup> order event plane from TPC

### **Balance Function**



- 40-50% centrality
- 45° to event plane balance function is biased toward negative  $\Delta \phi$  region
- The out-of-plane balance function is wider than the inplane balance function

Compare to blast wave model calculations<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>S. Schlichting and S. Pratt Phys. Rev. C 83, 014913 (2011)

## Blast Wave Model

#### R STAR parameterization (STAR, PRC, 72, 14904(2005))

#### 

S. Schlichting and S. Pratt Phys. Rev. C 83, 014913 (2011)



β<sub>x</sub>, β<sub>y</sub>



### Three Point Correlator



 γ<sub>P</sub> is the difference between unlike- and like-sign correlations

 Blast wave model reproduces observed difference between unlike- and like-sign azimuthal correlations

$$\gamma_p = \frac{1}{2} (2\gamma_{+-} - \gamma_{++} - \gamma_{--}) = \frac{2}{M^2} \int d\phi d\Delta\phi \frac{dM}{d\phi} B(\phi, \Delta\phi) [\cos 2\phi \cos \Delta\phi - \sin 2\phi \sin \Delta\phi]$$

### Three Point Correlator



 $\gamma_P$  is the difference between unlike- and like-sign correlations

Blast wave model reproduces observed difference between unlike- and like-sign azimuthal correlations

10/19/11

$$\gamma_{\alpha\beta} = \langle \cos(\varphi_{\alpha} + \varphi_{\beta} - 2A_{RP}) \rangle$$
$$\gamma_{p} = \frac{1}{2} (2\gamma_{+-} - \gamma_{++} - \gamma_{--}) = \frac{2}{M^{2}} \int d\phi d\Delta\phi \frac{dM}{d\phi} B(\phi, \Delta\phi) [\cos 2\phi \cos \Delta\phi - \sin 2\phi \sin \Delta\phi]$$

Hui Wang for the STAR Collaboration

mmary

The reaction-plane-dependent balance function analysis gives the same difference between the like-sign and unlike-sign charge dependent azimuthal correlations as the three point correlator results published by STAR

This thermal blast wave model reproduces most of the difference between like- and unlike-sign charge-dependent azimuthal correlation incorporating local charge conservation and flow

 $\mathbf{e}$ 

## Back Up

Hui Wang for the STAR Collaboration

10/19/11



Hui Wang for the STAR Collaboration

10/19/11

# Weighted Average



- Compare data (points) with blast wave model calculations (solid lines)
- Data are not corrected for event plane resolution (differences between data and model)
- $c_b$  is related to the balance function width, while  $s_b$ quantifies the asymmetry of balance function

Data show a stronger collective behavior in plane, while the asymmetry is most significant 45° to the reaction plane

Hui Wang for the STAR Collaboration

Calculate  $v_{2c}$  and  $v_{2s}$ 





- Red arrows are the first particle in balance function calculation, while green ones are its opposite sign charge pairs
- $v_2 < c_b >$  is positive if more charged pairs are found in plane
- v<sub>2c</sub> is positive if charges are more correlated in plane
- v<sub>2s</sub> is negative if charges are more correlated on the in plane side Hui Wang for the STAR Collaboration

 $v_{2c} \equiv < c_h(\phi) \cos(2\phi) > -v_2 < c_h(\phi) >$  $v_{2s} \equiv \langle s_b(\phi) \sin(2\phi) \rangle$  $< f(\phi) > \equiv \frac{1}{M} \int d\phi \frac{dM}{d\phi} z_b(\phi) f(\phi)$ 

> S. Schlichting and S. Pratt Phys. Rev. C 83, 014913 (2011)