



## Measurement of transverse single-spin asymmetries for dijet production in polarized p+p collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ = 200 GeV at STAR



24th International Spin Symposium October 18 –22, 2021 Scott Wissink Indiana University for the STAR Collaboration

Supported in part by:





## Transverse Single-Spin Asymmetries: A Puzzle



- Surprisingly large transverse single-spin asymmetries (TSSA's) have been observed in forward meson production from hadronic collisions since the 1970's, for  $\sqrt{s}$  ranging from ~5 to 500 GeV
- Several possible mechanisms have been proposed, most involving partonic transverse motion within the proton
- Two particularly strong candidates lend themselves to experimental investigation at RHIC:
  - Sivers distribution function
  - Collins fragmentation function

Scott Wissink – SPIN2021, Oct. 2021



STAR 🖈

## Partonic $k_T$ in the Initial State: the Sivers Effect

- A mechanism proposed by Sivers (1990) to explain the large hadron TSSA.
- Introduces a correlation (triple product) within a transversely polarized proton among the proton's spin  $\vec{S}$  and momentum  $\vec{P}$  with the transverse momenta  $\vec{k}_T$  of its constituent partons:



- The Sivers function  $f_{1t}^{\perp}$  encodes the correlation between partonic orbital motion and the proton spin
- Related to the twist-3 Qiu-Sterman matrix element (multi-parton correlation) in a collinear framework



#### **Measurements in SIDIS and W production**







✓ *u* and *d* quarks are expected to have  $\langle k_T \rangle$  of opposite sign – observed in SIDIS @Hermes, Compass, JLab

✓ The sign of  $A_N$  is predicted to reverse between SIDIS and DY / W production in pp – hints in STAR data

Scott Wissink – SPIN2021, Oct. 2021

## Observing Sivers Effect in $\vec{p} + p$ Dijet Production





- > Allows for a kinematic detection of a non-zero spin-dependent  $k_T$ , rather than a yield asymmetry
- > Non-zero results would suggest contributions from partonic angular momentum to the proton spin
- > Because the net partonic  $k_{\tau}$  must average to zero for a proton moving longitudinally, expect *u* and *d* contributions to be opposite in sign and different in magnitude
- Probing the Sivers effect via dijet production at RHIC explores physics at a much higher Q<sup>2</sup> than is possible via SIDIS at current and previous facilities

## Facilities: RHIC & STAR at BNL





- RHIC: provides collisions of transversely or longitudinally polarized protons at energies up to  $\sqrt{s} = 510$  GeV
- STAR: allows for charged-particle track reconstruction for  $|\eta| < 1.3$ , and measures EM particle energies for  $-1 < \eta < 2$ , both over the full azimuthal range of  $2\pi$

Scott Wissink – SPIN2021, 0ct. 2021

#### New Observable for Probing the Sivers Effect



The Sivers asymmetry can be probed via the signed opening angle  $\zeta$ .





<u>Key idea</u>: A non-zero Sivers function will lead to a *spin-dependent shift* of the  $\zeta$  distribution. Thus, we seek to extract the spin-dependent asymmetry

$$\Delta \zeta = \frac{\langle \zeta \rangle^+ - \langle \zeta \rangle^-}{P}$$

where  $\langle \zeta \rangle^{+/-}$  is the centroid of the  $\zeta$  distribution for spin-up / spin-down proton beams, respectively, and *P* is the magnitude of the beam polarization.

### Improving on the 2006 Analysis

- A previous analysis of STAR data from 2006 yielded spin asymmetries consistent with zero, though with large statistical uncertainties
- This analysis is based on combined STAR data from 2012 and 2015, and differs by having:
  - ✓ 33 times larger integrated luminosity
  - ✓ Fully reconstructed jets (no tracking for 2006 data) at a higher average p<sub>T</sub>
  - ✓ Use of a charge-tagging method to enhance separately the *u*-quark and *d*-quark signals
- Simulations for the current analysis are based on Pythia6+Geant3, embedded in real zero-bias events for all data/MC comparisons



Asymmetries are plotted versus the sum of the dijet pseudo-rapidities. For  $2 \rightarrow 2$  scattering, note that

$$\eta_3 + \eta_4 = \ln\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right)$$

#### First step: Beam → Jet Association



- To follow the "parton flow" during the scattering, one must first decide which of the reconstructed jets arises from fragmentation of a parton contained initially in the polarized proton beam.
- To do so, we assume the more forward of the two jets (largest |η|) is associated with a fragmenting parton from the beam moving in that direction.

Example: in the event below,  $|\eta|$  for the blue jet is greater than  $|\eta|$  for the yellow jet, so we assume the blue (yellow) jet originates from the parton scattered from a proton in the blue (yellow) beam. Simulations indicate this association is correct about 70-80% of the time.





### Next: Sort All Jets by Net Charge

We calculate a momentum-weighted charge sum for each jet, to yield samples enhanced to different extents in *u*-quarks and *d*-quarks

$$Q = \sum_{\substack{all \ the \ tracks \\ with \ pT > 0.8 GeV}} \frac{track \ |p|}{jet \ |p|} \cdot track \ charge$$

Jets are then sorted into four categories:

- 1. Plus tagging ( $Q \ge 0.25$ ): highest *u* content, lowest *d*
- 2. Zero+ tagging ( $0 \le Q < 0.25$ ): more *u* than *d*
- 3. Zero- tagging (-0.25 < Q < 0): about equal *u* and *d*
- 4. Minus tagging ( $Q \le -0.25$ ): highest *d* content, lowest *u*





#### **Extract Δζ Asymmetry for each Tagged Sample**





- Combine results for blue and yellow beams by 'flipping' signs of both η and asymmetry for yellow beam
- Clear increase with η<sup>total</sup> seen for plus-tagging and minus-tagging
- Average asymmetry systematically shifts from + to – as sampled data moves from *u*-quark to *d*-quark dominated, ~5σ separation between plus-tagging and minus-tagging
- Asymmetries are consistent with zero when averaged over tagging samples, even with 33x more data than the 2006 measurement.

#### Physics: Convert the $\Delta \zeta$ Asymmetry to a $\langle k_T \rangle$

Converting the measured  $\Delta \zeta$  asymmetries to  $\langle k_T \rangle$  values involves 3 steps:

- **1.** First correct detector-level jet  $p_T$  to parton-level  $p_T$  using machine learning
- 2. Assuming a constant  $k_T$ , calculate  $\Delta \zeta$  for the corrected jet  $\rho_T$  distribution in each  $\eta^{\text{total}}$  bin and extract the needed  $\Delta \zeta \langle k_T \rangle$  correlation, as shown below
- 3. Convert  $\Delta \zeta$  vs  $\eta^{\text{total}}$  results to  $\langle k_T \rangle$  vs  $\eta^{\text{total}}$  results, using  $\langle k_T \rangle = \Delta \zeta$  / slope



Scott Wissink – SPIN2021, 0ct. 2021



## Sivers $\langle k_T \rangle$ Values for Tagged Dijet Samples





- Qualitatively very similar to Δζ plot, though we used finer binning in η<sup>tot</sup>
- Scale of effect is small, on the order of ~10 MeV/c. In particular, we note

$$\left\langle k_T^{+tagging} \right\rangle = +6.1 \text{ MeV/c}$$

$$\left\langle k_T^{-tagging} \right\rangle = -7.3 \text{ MeV/c}$$

- Hierarchy of decreasing charge sum correlated with more negative (k<sub>T</sub>) is preserved
- > Without jet sorting by charge sum, average  $\langle k_T \rangle$  statistically consistent with zero

### Convert Tagged $\langle k_T \rangle$ to Parton $\langle k_T \rangle$ in $\eta^{\text{total}}$ bins



- Tagged  $\langle k_T \rangle$  results represent different parton mixtures. Using simulations, these can be converted to the  $\langle k_T \rangle$  of individual partons (*u*, *d*, *g*+sea) using inversion techniques
- We construct the following set of equations, yielding an 8 x 3 matrix:
  - 4 charge-taggings: differentiate among the various parton species
  - Each inversion uses results from a pair of adjacent  $\eta^{total}$  bins: because the parton fraction is dependent on  $\eta^{total}$  as shown previously, this leads to more stability in the inversion process
- The over-constrained system is solved using the Moore-Penrose inversion:

$$\begin{cases} f_{1}^{u} * u + f_{1}^{d} * d + f_{1}^{g} * g = \Delta_{1} \\ f_{2}^{u} * u + f_{2}^{d} * d + f_{2}^{g} * g = \Delta_{2} \\ \dots \\ f_{8}^{u} * u + f_{8}^{d} * d + f_{8}^{g} * g = \Delta_{8} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} c_{1}^{u} * \Delta_{1} + c_{2}^{u} * \Delta_{2} + \dots + c_{8}^{u} * \Delta_{8} = u \\ c_{1}^{d} * \Delta_{1} + c_{2}^{d} * \Delta_{2} + \dots + c_{8}^{d} * \Delta_{8} = d \\ c_{1}^{g} * \Delta_{1} + c_{2}^{g} * \Delta_{2} + \dots + c_{8}^{g} * \Delta_{8} = g \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} f = parton \ fraction \\ u, d, g = parton \ kT > d \\ \Delta = tagged \ kT > d \\ \Delta = tagged \ kT > d \end{bmatrix}$$

8 x 3 matrix

3 x 8 matrix

Scott Wissink - SPIN2021, Oct. 2021

### Results: The Unfolded Parton $\langle k_T \rangle$





First direct evidence for a non-zero Sivers effect in dijet production!

Averaged over η<sup>total</sup>, parton results follow general expectations:

 $\checkmark \langle k_T^u \rangle > 0$ 

- $\checkmark \langle k_T^d \rangle < 0$
- $\checkmark \langle k_T^{g+sea} \rangle \sim 0$
- ➢ Note  $\langle k_T^d \rangle$  /  $\langle k_T^u \rangle$  ~ -2, as needed to bring proton total  $\langle k_T \rangle$  close to 0
- No clear dependency of partonic (k<sub>T</sub>)'s on η<sup>total</sup> within our statistical precision, suggesting a weaker than expected x-dependence for the Sivers functions

#### **Summary and Future Work**

- Preliminary results for Sivers asymmetries from dijet production in high-energy p+p collisions at STAR (2012 and 2015 data sets) are presented
- First observation of non-zero Sivers asymmetries in a purely hadronic reaction channel
- ✤ Using simulations,  $\langle k_T \rangle$  for individual partons have been extracted, yielding  $\langle k_T^u \rangle \approx 30 \text{ MeV/c}, \langle k_T^d \rangle \approx -55 \text{ MeV/c}, and <math>\langle k_T^{g+sea} \rangle$  is statistically consistent with zero
- No strong dependence on η<sup>total</sup> is observed, which suggests a fairly weak *x* dependence in  $f_{1t}^{\perp}$
- Results have been finalized, systematic uncertainties have been evaluated, and a publication is in preparation.







# **Back-up material**

Scott Wissink – SPIN2021, Oct. 2021

#### **Parton Fractions**





- Parton fractions are estimated from STAR embedding.
- $\eta^{\text{total}} = \eta 1 + \eta 2$  is proportional to  $\ln(x1/x2)$
- More u-quarks at higher Q and higher η<sup>total</sup>
- More d-quarks at lower Q, weak dependency on η<sup>total</sup>
- More gluons at lower Q and lower η<sup>total</sup>

#### Parton x Distributions

•  $Q^2 > 160 \text{ GeV}^2$ 







