

Energy and centrality dependence of forward-backward transverse momentum correlation from STAR

Tongzhou Guo

For the STAR Collaboration Stony Brook University Apr. 19 2021





Stony Brook University

In part supported by



Outline

- Physical motivations to study the forwardbackward transverse momentum (p_T) correlations for heavy-ion collisions.
- A quick review for the previous results from ALICE Collaboration.
- Results for energy and centrality dependence of p_T correlations.
- Results for pseudorapidity (η) gap width dependence of p_T correlations.
- Simple conclusions and the outlooks.



Physical motivations

- Created predominantly at the early stages, the study of p_T correlations will lead to a better understanding of the early dynamics for heavy-ion collisions.
- p_T correlations are believed to give insight into the mechanism of energy deposition in heavy-ion collisions.
- Forward-backward p_T correlations could serve as a probe of the properties of the medium formed.



Previous results from ALICE Collaboration

- The centrality and energy dependence of p_T correlation coefficient *b* has been studied for Pb+Pb 2.76 TeV and 5.02 TeV collisions at ALICE.
- p_T correlation coefficient: $b([p_T]_F, [p_T]_B) = \frac{Cov([p_T]_F, [p_T]_B)}{\sqrt{Var([p_T]_F)}\sqrt{Var([p_T]_B)}}$ or $b_{corr}^{\overline{p_T} \overline{p_T}} = \frac{Cov([p_T]_F, [p_T]_B)}{Var([p_T]_F)}$
- The variance of transverse momentum: $\operatorname{Var}([p_T]) = \left\langle \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i,j} (p_T^i \langle [p_T] \rangle) (p_T^j \langle [p_T] \rangle) \right\rangle$





• Centrality dependence of **b** with different η selections.

Sandeep Chatterjee et al., Phys. Rev. C 96, 014 906 (2017)

• Centrality dependence of **b** with different energy levels.

```
Igor Altsybeev, arXiv:<u>1711.04844v1</u>
```

Observables

• Notations: the event-average p_T : $[p_T] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_T^i$

and the ensemble-average p_T : $\langle [p_T] \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{ev=1}^{N} [p_T]_{ev}$.

- $Cov([p_T]_a, [p_T]_b) = \langle ([p_T]_a \langle [p_T] \rangle_a)([p_T]_b \langle [p_T] \rangle_b) \rangle$
- Variance excluding the self-correlations applied in the

normalization:
$$C([p_T]) = \left\langle \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i \neq j} (p_T^i - \langle [p_T] \rangle) (p_T^j - \langle [p_T] \rangle) \right\rangle$$

- Pearson coefficient: $\rho([p_T]_a, [p_T]_b) = \frac{Cov([p_T]_a, [p_T]_b)}{\sqrt{C([p_T]_a)}\sqrt{C([p_T]_b)}}$
- $C([p_T])$ eliminates statistical fluctuations from the estimate of the variance of the transverse flow. It has been used in experimental measurements of the p_T fluctuations.
- Applied track cuts: $|\eta| < 1$ 0.2 < $p_T < 2.0 \ GeV/c$



• η_a and η_b : two different η regions.



• STAR TPC: measuring the transverse momentum.

Results for 27 GeV and 54 GeV with different η rigon selections



- > Comparisons between different η gap and η region widths.
- The slope is greater with the increasing of η gap width.
- *ρ* is robust against *η* region width and volume fluctuations, which means *ρ* is an *intensive* observable.
- Comparisons between different collision energies.
- The curves cross each oher for 27 GeV, providing a different η gap width dependence for different centralities.
- The statistical (partly due to the total number of events) and systematic errors are much greater for 27 GeV results.

Pseudorapidity gap width dependence of p_T correlations for 54 GeV

- Basic methods
- Two-particle covariance:

 $Cov(p_T^i, p_T^j) = \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i \neq j} (p_T^i - [p_T]_a) (p_T^j - [p_T]_b).$

- The region of |η|<1 had been devided into 10 regions: (-1.0,-0.8), (-0.8,-0.6),
 ... (0.8,1.0).
- The results are presented with TProfile2D plots for (η_b, η_a, Cov) .
- Quick discussions
- Due to the commutative law of multiplication, η_a and η_b should be symmetric in pattern.
- The distribution of covariance for $|N_{ch}(|\eta| < 0.5)| > 500$ is different due to the total number of events in this centrality and possible detector effects.



Further discussions for p_T correlation effects

STAR Preliminary Au+Au 54 GeV 0.2<p_<2 GeV/c

200

0.5

0.0

- Gap width dependence
- Select the centrality $190 < |N_{ch}(|\eta| < 0.5)| < 210$ and apply the upper-left section: $\eta_a = \eta_b + \eta_{gap}$
- A short range correlation (correlator increases with the decreasing of η_{gap}) can be distinguished (black arrow).
- The p_T correlations are more noticeable for the central η regions (red arrow).
- > A comparison with results from ALICE
- Ignoring the absolute value, the trend of Pearson coefficient ρ and the correlation coefficient \boldsymbol{b} are similar for these two systems.
- Due to the small event numbers and large statistical uncertainties, more calculations and discussions are required to clarify the behaviors for high multiplicity regions.
- The correlations for the central η region $0.0 < |\eta| < 0.2$ are influenced by short range correlations.



Conclusions

- A centrality dependence can be observed in forward-backward p_T correlations.
- The performances of centrality dependence of p_T correlations are similar for different collision energies (27 GeV and 54 GeV) and different systems (Au+Au and Pb+Pb).
- The short range correlation effects can be distinguished when having small η gaps.

Outlooks

- The p_T correlations for other collision energies should be studied to verify the energy dependence effect.
- Model simulations remain to be performed for Au+Au system.
- A more comprehensive study for forward-backward p_T correlation effects should start, including the calculations for azimuthal angle difference ($\Delta \varphi$) dependence and p_T correlation effects for PID compositions.
 - Thanks for listening!