Constraining Quark Transversity through Collins Asymmetry Measurements at STAR



Renee Fatemi University of Kentucky for the STAR Collaboratio

Parton Dynamics inside of Matter



Number density of partons with flavor f and momentum fraction x inside a nucleon



Number density of longitudinally polarized partons inside longitudinally polarized nucleons (Helicity)



Number density of transversely polarized partons inside a transversely polarized nucleon (Transversity)



Nucleon Momentum

At **LEADING TWIST** in a **COLLINEAR FRAMEWORK** these functions form a **COMPLETE** description of partonic kinematics

Momentum and Helicity Distributions



Transversity is less constrained



- First indications are $\Delta_T f(x) < \Delta f(x)$.
- Soffer bound requires:

$$\left| \Delta_T f(x) \right| \le \frac{1}{2} \left[f(x) + \Delta f(x) \right]$$

 Kinematic x range smaller and fits generally less constrained



Nucl.Phys.Proc.Suppl. 191 (2009) 98-107

Why don't we know more ?

 $\Delta_T f(x)$ is CHIRAL ODD. In a helicity basis this corresponds to a helicity flip of both the incoming nucleon and parton.





- Not observable in inclusive DIS because flipping of chirality is highly suppressed!
- Must access Δ_Tf(x) by coupling to a second chiral odd function.
- Ralston and Soper proposed Drell-Yan but ...
 - low rates compared to other hadronic processes
 - anti-quark transversity is probably very small
- Could also look at inclusive jet A_{TT} however ...
 - Gluons are abundant and have ZERO Δ_T distribution
 - A_{TT} must be smaller than already small A_{LL}

Another Experimental Approach: Single spin asymmetries

 Coupling of a chiral odd fragmentation function with the beam Δ_Tf(x)

$$A \approx \sum_{f_1 f_2} \frac{\Delta_T f_1(x_1) f_2(x_2) \hat{a}(s, t, u) \Delta D_{f_1}^{\pi}(z, j_T)}{f_1(x) f_2(x) D_{f_1}^{\pi}(z, j_T)}$$

 Collins Functions – spin of transversely polarized quark is correlated with jT kick given to fragmentation hadron



- Measured at Belle and in SIDIS and extracted in a Global Analysis.
- Relatively large Increasing with fragmentation z.
- Interference Fragmentation Functions another Chiral-odd FF. Measurement involves SSA between correlated charged and neutral pions. Won't be discussed here but analysis is proceeding in STAR Collaboration.

Mid-Rapidity Collins Asymmetry Analysis at STAR

- RHIC provides the transversely polarized protons at $\sqrt{s} = 200 \text{ GeV}$
- STAR provides the full mid-rapidity jet reconstruction and charged pion identification
- Look for spin dependent azimuthal distributions of charged pions inside the jets! First proposed by F. Yuan in
 Phys.Rev.Lett.100:032003.
- Measure average weighted yield:

$$A_{\exp} = \frac{2\int N\sin(\phi_C)d\phi_c}{P_{Beam}N}$$

$$d\sigma \approx d\sigma^{UU} \left[1 + A_N \sin(\phi_h - \phi_s) \right]$$

Pjet

Dbeam



2006 Transverse Run: ~58% polarization and 2.2 pb⁻¹ integrated lumi









Jet Reconstruction

- Collect Data with Jet Patch Trigger
- Reconstruct with Mid-point Cone Algorithm
- Radius = 0.7 and split/merge = 0.5
- Require Jet pT > 10 GeV to minimize gluon dilution







Minimize acceptance of jets from the unpolarized beam by only taking jets in the forward (WRT polarized proton) half of detector

Charged pion identification



- Use dE/dx in TPC to isolate pions
- Apply -1 < n_σ(π) < 2 Cut</p>
- Require π to be the leading charged particle
- Contamination from Kaons and Electrons < 6%</p>



Nearly inclusive sample because 90% of pions are "leading" for z > 0.3



Detector Effects on A/2 = $\langle sin(\phi_h - \phi_s) \rangle$



- Offsets are consistent with zero
- No apparent z or jT dependence
- Limited by simulation statistics!
- Current leading Systematic Error

Use Simulation (PYTHIA + GEANT) to calculate asymmetry at the particle A_{PART} and detector A_{DET} level. Look for offsets in $\Delta A = A_{PART} - A_{DET}$

Note factor of 2 is over here!



Trigger Bias



- The mix of partonic subprocesses is changed by detector reconstruction and trigger bias.
- **qq** scattering enhanced
- gg scattering suppressed
- Measured asymmetries will be ELEVATED compared to theoretical expectations.
- Asymmetric systematic error of ~10% on asymmetry

What causes the quark enhancement?







Looking at jet pT instead of z we see that the bias for quark jets isn't that big

The average jet pT is higher in triggered jets than in particle jets.

And the fraction of quark jets increase with increasing jet pT!

Systematic Error Table $2 < sin(\varphi_h - \varphi_s) >$

ERROR	MAGNITUDE	EFFECT ON MEASURED ASYMMETRY
Kinematic Accuracy	2.3 X 10 ⁻²	★ ↓
Trigger Bias	2.52 X 10 ⁻³	
Kinematic Resolution	1.74 X 10 ⁻³	-
K+/- e+/- contamination	1.2 X 10 ⁻³	+
Spin Orientation	7.0 X 10 ⁻⁴	+
Relative Luminosity	4.0 X 10 ⁻⁵	
Beam Polarization	4.8%	

Azimuthal Asymmetry of Leading Charged Pions in Mid-Rapidity Jets at STAR



Average π⁺ asymmetry = 0.02082 +/- 0.0064 +/- 0.02306 Average π⁻ asymmetry = -0.0040 +/- 0.0068 +/- 0.02306 Expected asymmetry from global analysis ~ +/- 0.07

Azimuthal Asymmetry of Leading Charged Pions in Mid-Rapidity Jets at STAR

RUN 12 Projections



Conclusions

- Knowledge about the Transversity Distributions is essential for a complete picture of how partons live inside of matter
- The chiral odd nature of the transversity distributions makes them challenging to measure .
- In proton-proton collisions, the spin dependent azimuthal distribution of hadrons inside of jets is sensitive to the convolution of the transversity and Collins distributions
- Asymmetries presented here are TANTALIZNG but limited at high (low) z by statistical (systematic) errors.
- These errors will be reduced with continued running, new analysis methods and additional simulation statistics.



Run 2006 Inclusive Jet A_N

0.0 < η < 0.5 ₹^{0.1} 0.08 0.06 0.04 0.02 a -0.02 -0.04 -0.06 **STAR** Preliminary -0.08 -0.1 10 30 р_{_} (GeV/c) 15 20 25 -0.9 < η <- 0.5 ₹^{0.1}[0.08 0.06 0.04 0.02 0 -0.02 -0.04 **STAR** Preliminary -0.06 -0.08 -0.1 30 p_{_} (GeV/c) 10 15 20 25

-0.5 < η < 0.0



On average Detector kinematics track with Particle kinematics



Detector Resolution Effects

- Detector resolution SMEARS the asymmetry.
- Effect proportional to size of asymmetry.
- Width of $\Delta A = A_{PART} A_{DET}$ gives resolution



Take largest RMS out of all jT and z bins. Use it to smear A = 0.01.

Result: 8.7% reduction in A

