



### The STAR Heavy Flavor Tracker (HFT) and Upgrade Plan

### Quark Matter 2015 – Kobe, Japan Session: Future Experimental Facilities, Upgrades, and Instrumentation

Giacomo Contin for the STAR Collaboration

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory





# Outline

- Physics motivations
- The MAPS-based PXL detector
- HFT status and performance
- Future "HFT+" Upgrade plan
- Conclusions

# STAR HFT Physics Motivation

Extend the measurement capabilities in the *heavy flavor* domain, good probe to QGP: • Direct topological reconstruction of charm hadrons (small  $c\tau$  decays, e.g.  $D^0 \rightarrow K \pi$ )





Quark Matter 2015 - Kobe, Japan

## STAR Heavy Flavor Tracker (HFT)



TPC – Time Projection Chamber (main tracking detector in STAR)

HFT – Heavy Flavor Tracker

- •SSD Silicon Strip Detector
- IST Intermediate Silicon Tracker
- PXL Pixel Detector

Tracking inwards with gradually improved resolution:



# HFT Subsystems



### **PiXeL** detector (**PXL**)

- Monolithic Active Pixel Sensor technology
- 20.7 µm pitch pixels
- Radius: 2.8 and 8 cm Length: ~20 cm



### Silicon Strip Detector (SSD)

- Double sided silicon strip modules with 95 µm pitch
- Existing detector with new faster electronics
- Radius: 22 cm Length: ~106 cm

### Intermediate Silicon Tracker (IST)

- Single sided double-metal silicon pad with 600 µm x 6 mm pitch
- Radius: 14 cm Length: ~50 cm



First MAPS-based vertex detector at a collider experiment

Quark Matter 2015 - Kobe, Japan



## PXL System Overview



6 G. Contin | gcontin@lbl.gov Quark Matter 2015 - Kobe, Japan



## PXL Sensor

Monolithic Active Pixel Sensor technology

*Ultimate-2:* third generation sensor developed for the PXL detector by the PICSEL group of IPHC, Strasbourg

- High resistivity p-epi layer
  - Reduced charge collection time
  - Improved radiation hardness
    - 20 to 90 kRad / year 2\*10<sup>11</sup> to 10<sup>12</sup> IMeV n eq/cm<sup>2</sup>
- ► S/N ~ 30
- MIP Signal ~ 1000 e<sup>-</sup>
- 928 rows \* 960 columns = ~IM pixel
- Rolling-shutter readout
  - connects row by row to end-of-column discriminators
  - I85.6 μs integration time
  - ~170 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> power dissipation
- Configurable via JTAG
- > 2 LVDS data outputs @ 160 MHz







7 G. Contin | gcontin@lbl.gov Quark Matter 2015 - Kobe, Japan

# PXL Hit Position Resolution

### Ultimate-2 sensor geometry

- $\blacktriangleright$  pixel size: 20.7  $\mu m$  X 20.7  $\mu m$
- ▶ 3-pixel av. cluster size

### Position stability

- Vibration at air cooling full flow:
- Stable displacement at full air flow:
- Stable displacement at power on:
- Global hit resolution:  $\Delta x \sim 6.2 \ \mu m$



- ~6  $\mu m$  geometrical resolution
- ${\sim}3.7~\mu m$  resolution on center-of-mass
- $\textbf{~5} \; \mu m \; \textbf{RMS}$
- ~30 µm
- ~5 µm

$$\Delta x \sim 6.2 \ \mu m$$

$$r_1 = 2.8 \ cm$$

$$\Delta v = \Delta x \cdot \sqrt{\frac{r_2^2 + r_1^2}{(r_2 - r_1)^2}}$$
HFT DCA pointing resolution:  
(10  $\oplus$  24/p)  $\mu m$ 

- Metrology survey
  - 3D pixel positions fully mapped and related to kinematic mounts



- Inserted along rails and locked into a kinematic mount inside the support structure
- Capability to fully replace PXL within 12 hour







# PXL Material Budget

#### Thinned Sensor

- **50** μm
- ▶ 0.068% X<sub>0</sub>

#### Flex Cable

- Aluminum-Kapton
- two 32 μm-thick Al layers
- ▶ 0.128% X<sub>0</sub>
  - ► Copper version  $\rightarrow$  0.232%  $X_0$

### • Carbon fiber supports

- I 25 μm stiffener
- 250 μm sector tube
- 0.193% X<sub>0</sub>

### Cooling

Air cooling: negligible contribution

### Total material budget on inner layer: 0.388% X<sub>0</sub>

(0.492%  $X_0$  for the Cu conductor version)

HFT DCA pointing resolution: (10 ⊕ 24/p) μm

Quark Matter 2015 - Kobe, Japan





- Curved sensor
- 40-60% yield after thinning, dicing and probe testing

# Lessons learned: Latch-up damage on PXL



- Latch-up tests at BASE facility (LBL) to measure latch-up cross-section and reproduce damage
  - 50 μm & 700 μm thick, low and high resistivity sensors; PXL ladders
  - Irradiation with heavy-ions and protons

### Results and observations

- Current limited latch-up states observed (typically ~300 mA)
- $\blacktriangleright$  Damage reproduced only with HI on PXL 50  $\mu m$  thinned sensors

### Safe operations envelope implemented

- Latch-up protection at 80 mA above operating current
- Periodic detector reset

#### Latch-up phenomenon:

- Self feeding short circuit caused by single event upset
- Can only be stopped by removing the power



# Latch-up damage: Sensor Deconstruction

- Deconstructing damaged sensor through a plasma etching technique
- The metal layer appears to be melted





# HFT Status in 2014 and 2015 Run

### Collected minimum bias events in HFT acceptance:

- ▶ 2014 Run
   ▶ 2014 Run
   ▶ 2015 Run:
   ▶ 2015 Run:
   ▶ 1.2 Billion Au+Au
   @  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV}$  @  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV}$  @  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV}$
- Typical trigger rate of ~0.8kHz with dead time <5%
- Sub-detector active fraction
  - PXL
    - > 99% operational at the delivery
    - 2015 Run ended with 5% dead sensors
       (6 damaged sensors + 1 outer ladder off)
  - ► IST
    - 95% channels operational, stable
  - SSD
    - 80% channels operational (one ladder off)



# HFT Performance in 2014 Run

### DCA pointing resolution

- Design requirement exceeded: 46 µm for 750 MeV/c Kaons for the 2 sectors equipped with aluminum cables on inner layer
- $\sim$  30 µm for p > I GeV/c
  - From 2015: all sectors equipped with aluminum cables on the inner layer

 $D^{0} \rightarrow K \pi \text{ production}$  in  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV Au+Au collisions}$ (partial event sample)

- Physics of D-meson productions
  - See QMI5 contributions by:
  - G. Xie (Sept. 28th Open HF and Strangeness II)
  - M. Lomnitz (Sept. 29<sup>th</sup> Collective Dynamics I)
  - Md. Nasim (Sept. 29<sup>th</sup> Open HF and Strangeness IV)





# HFT goals for Au+Au data-taking in 2016

### STAR/RHIC improvements with respect to 2014 Run

- ▶ PXL equipped with all aluminum cables on inner ladders  $0.49\% \rightarrow 0.38\% X_0$
- ▶ SSD at full speed  $\rightarrow$  better track matching / ghosting rate reduction
- > Increased luminosity fraction within  $|V_z| < 5$  cm
- RHIC beam for 2016 Run:
  - ~10 weeks Au+Au 200 GeV run
  - 2 B minimum bias events
    - Physics goals:
      - $\Lambda_{c}$  and  $B \rightarrow J/\psi$  measurements
      - More differential studies on charmed hadron production





# Future HFT+ Upgrade plan (2021-2022)

### HFT+ upgrade motivation:

- Measure bottom quark hadrons at the RHIC energy
- Take data in **higher luminosity** with high efficiency

HFT+ detector requirements:

Faster frame readout of 40  $\mu$ s or less Similar or better pointing resolution ALICE ITS Upgrade S/N ratio MAPS sensor total power consumption P. Riedler (Sept. 29<sup>th</sup> - Future Upgrades) radiation length **Compatible** with the existing insertion mechanism, STAR HFT support structure, air cooling system mechanics and services HFT+ read-out electronics requirements: **STAR + ALICE** new development **Compatible** with STAR DAQ system and trigger G. Contin | gcontin@lbl.gov Quark Matter 2015 - Kobe, Japan 15

## HFT+ simulation



▶ HFT (~200  $\mu$ s) → HFT+ (≤40  $\mu$ s)

•  $R_{AA}$  for  $J/\psi$  and  $D^0$  from B, and b-jets

The planned HFT+ program (2021-2022) is complementary to sPHENIX at RHIC and ALICE HF program at LHC



## Conclusions

- The STAR HFT has been successfully taking data in 2014 and 2015
- State-of-the-art MAPS technology proved to be suitable for vertex detector application
- The HFT enabled STAR to perform a direct topological reconstruction of the charmed hadrons
- A faster HFT+ has been planned in order to measure the bottom quark hadrons at the top RHIC energy

### Thank you for your attention!

