# Fluctuations in Lambda Multiplicity Distribution in Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}=3.0~\text{GeV}$ at STAR

Jonathan Ball

For the STAR Collaboration

University of Houston

The 9th Workshop for Early Career Heavy-ion Physicists(Hot Quarks) 11-17 of October 2022





Supported in part by:







### Motivation: Net-Lambda Fluctuation Analysis



R. Bellwied, et. al. Phys. Rev. Lett, vol. 111, no. 20, p. 202302, 2013.

- Hadron Resonance Gas (HRG) model and LQCD calculations were compared as a function of T.
- χ<sub>4</sub>/χ<sub>2</sub> shows different pseudo-temperature of deconfinement for different quark flavor.

$$\frac{C_2}{C_1} = \frac{\chi^B_{2,\mu}}{\chi^B_{1,\mu}}, \qquad \frac{C_3}{C_2} = \frac{\chi^B_{3,\mu}}{\chi^B_{2,\mu}}, \qquad \frac{C_4}{C_2} = \frac{\chi^B_{4,\mu}}{\chi^B_{2,\mu}}$$

#### Chemical freeze-out:

- Relate lambda fluctuations with freeze-out parameters, from light charged particles and strange particles.
- Study freeze-out parameters in the context of quark-mass dependence as a function of \sqrt{s\_NN}.





 Susceptibilities from protons and kaons show different freeze-out parameters.



# Motivation: Net-Lambda Fluctuation Analysis

$$\begin{array}{ll} C_1 = \langle N \rangle, & C_2 = \langle (\delta N)^2 \rangle, & C_3 = \langle (\delta N)^3 \rangle, & C_4 = \langle (\delta N)^4 \rangle - 3 \langle (\delta N)^2 \rangle^2 \\ C_2 / C_1 = \sigma^2 / M, & C_3 / C_2 = S\sigma, & C_4 / C_2 = \kappa \sigma^2 \end{array}$$

- For the BES-I, net-Lambda fluctuations were calculated.
- Lambda C<sub>2</sub>/C<sub>1</sub> and C<sub>3</sub>/C<sub>2</sub> follow closely the results from protons.
- Higher order cumulants were not calculated due to lack of statistics.



STAR, Phys. Rev. C 102, 024903 (2020)

- Does C<sub>4</sub> / C<sub>2</sub> from lambda also follow closely the results from protons?
- Do we expect to see critical behaviour from lambda particles?



STAR, Phys. Rev. Lett. 128, (2022) 202303

- Continue with the comparison between lambda and proton fluctuations at low \sqrt{s\_NN}.
- Extend Lambda fluctuation analysis to higher orders.



### STAR FXT Setup at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 3.0$ GeV and Analysis Information



#### Event selection in vertex position:



At  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 3.0$  GeV, **mid-rapidity** is  $y_{cm} = 1.045$ . **Events**: 308M minimum bias readable, 270M after cuts.

Vz distribution, peaked at 200.7cm. Cut ±0.7cm



#### Lambda Particle Reconstruction

Lambda particle reconstruction was done using the Kalman Filter particle package (S. Gorbunov, On-line reconstruction algorithms for the CMB and ALICE experiments, 2013)(I. Kisel (CBM), J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 1070, 012015 (2018)).

 $\Lambda \longrightarrow p + \pi^ c\tau = 7.86 \text{ cm}$ 

#### The KF-Package:

- Uses the state vector r
  = (x, y, z, px, py, pz, E, s) and the covariance matrix of the particles to calculate the decay vertex, momentum and energy of the mother particle.
- Instead of using DCA and pointing angle θ, it uses the χ<sup>2</sup>-criterion, used to estimate the quality of the reconstruction.



#### Cuts used in KF-Package:

- DCA(PV to Lambda-vertex) < 1.0 cm</p>
- DCA(p to π) < 1.0 cm</p>
- Other cuts were optimized by KF-Package



Lambda invariant mass distribution.



(1)

Purity in the signal, Purity=0.91 and S/B=49

# **Centrality Definition**

The **reference multiplicity** (FxtMult3) uses the number of charged particles  $N_{ch}$  between  $\eta : [-2, 0]$  excluding protons.



Visualization of a heavy ion collision

% Central	N <sub>ch</sub> Cut	$\langle N_{part} \rangle$	$\langle b(fm) \rangle$
50-60	4	$47 \pm 5$	10.6± 0.3
40-50	6	70 ± 11	$9.7\pm0.4$
30-40	10	$106 \pm 15$	8.6± 0.4
20-30	16	$155 \pm 21$	$7.2\pm0.5$
10-20	26	$220 \pm 14$	$5.6\pm0.5$
5-10	38	$283 \pm 30$	$3.9\pm0.5$
0-5	48	$328\pm27$	$2.5\pm0.6$

Table of cuts



FxtMult3 Distribution including single and pileup events.

A cut above 80 is used to reduce the contribution of pile up events.



# Acceptance and Raw Multiplicity Distribution





- The acceptance used is 0.5 < p<sub>T</sub> < 2.0 GeV/c and -0.5 < y < 0.</p>
- Low multiplicity of lambda at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 3$  GeV.
- While protons show high multiplicity.



#### Corrections Applied to the Raw Cumulants

**Centrality Bin Width Correction**(J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 40 105104(2013)), accounts for volume fluctuation effects from binning.

$$C_r = \frac{\sum_i n_i C_r^i}{\sum_i n_i}$$

Lambda efficiency correction: Accounts for efficiencies in the TPC. It is based on the "track-by-track" efficiency correction.

(X. Luo and T. Nonaka, Phys Rev. C. 99, 044917 (2019)) (T. Nonaka et al, Phys. Rev. C. 95.064912 (2017)).

$$\tilde{P}(n) = \sum_{N} P(N) B_{\varepsilon,N}(n)$$

$$B_{\varepsilon,N}(n) = \frac{N!}{n!(N-n)!} \varepsilon^n (1-\varepsilon)^{N-n}$$

Using the binomial response, a relation between measured and true factorial cumulants is obtained in terms of the efficiency.

$$\langle n^m \rangle_{fc} = \varepsilon^m \langle N^m \rangle_{fc}$$

Statistical Uncertainties: Statistical uncertainties are calculated using the Bootstrap method. The analysis is randomly sampled and the variance of the sample provides the uncertainty.

Systematic Uncertainties: The sources of systematic uncertainty considered are:

- Purity in the charged daughters PID(nσ)
- Topological cuts from lambda reconstruction.( $\chi^2$  in KF-Package)
- Track quality (NHitsFit)
- Efficiencies



# Single Cumulants as a function of $\langle N_{Part} \rangle$



- Single cumulants from UrQMD show deviations from data.
- UrQMD seems to have better agreement with data for most peripheral bins.
- UrQMD results show similar trend with data for low order cumulants.



# Cumulant Ratios as a function of $\langle N_{Part} \rangle$

Lambda is compared with proton results (STAR, Phys. Rev. Lett. 128, (2022) 202303)(STAR, arXiv : 2209.11940) as a function of centrality.





- Lambda cumulant ratios for data and UrQMD approach the poissonian limit for most peripheral bins.
- Lambda data approach the possionian limit from below, contrary to proton data.
- UrQMD cumulant ratios show a weak suppression with respect the poissonian baseline in the most central bin.
- Lambda data show suppression from baseline for most central bins, which is also shown in proton data.



## Comparison of Lambda with Proton as a function of $\Delta y$

Comparison of lambda and proton(STAR, Phys. Rev. Lett. 128, (2022) 202303)(STAR, arXiv : 2209.11940) as a function of rapidity window.





- Poissonian limit is approached at low coverage.
- Both lambda and proton UrQMD show deviations from data. The trend is similar.
- Strong decrease of lambda cumulant ratios from baseline with increasing of Δy for lambda, due to baryon and strangeness conservation laws.
- Proton results show different trends compared to lambda, likely due to the effects of baryon stopping and effects of nuclear production(Phys. Rev. C 93, 054906(2016)).



### Effects of Number Conservation for Lambda Particle



Normalized  $k_2$  value as a function of accepted fraction of baryon. arXiv:1907.03032. Based on ALICE results.

- α is defined as the ratio between baryons inside the acceptance and baryons in full phase space
   α = ⟨N<sub>B</sub>⟩ / ⟨N<sup>4π</sup><sub>B</sub>⟩.
- This behavior shows the effect of global baryon conservation for increasing Δy.
- Rapidity range decreases with decreasing  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ .

- For lambda particles one should consider the effects of both strangeness and baryon quantum number, so α = α<sub>S</sub> + α<sub>B</sub>.
- The ad-hoc relation is used C<sub>2</sub>/C<sub>1</sub> = 1 (α<sub>B</sub> + α<sub>S</sub>). (JHEP10(2020)089)(STAR, Phys. Rev. C 102, 024903 (2020))

Where  $\alpha_S = \langle N_\Lambda \rangle / \langle N_S^{4\pi} \rangle$  and  $\alpha_B = \langle N_\Lambda \rangle / \langle N_B^{4\pi} \rangle$ .



 $C_2/C_1$  compared with conservation laws prediction.

- Trend of data is captured by the ad-hoc relation.
- α<sub>S</sub> plays a more important role for lambda particles at √s<sub>NN</sub> = 3.0 GeV.



# Net-Lambda Cumulant Ratios as a function of $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$

Results from lambda data were compared with the thermal model in both cases, the ideal HRG and QvdW-HRG(includes Quantum Van der Waals interactions)(Thermal-FIST V. Vovchenko, et. al. Comput. Phys. Commun. 224, 295 (2019))(R. V. Poberezhnyuk, et. al. Phys. Rev. C 100, 054904 (2019)).



- C<sub>3</sub> / C<sub>2</sub> shows strong suppression with respect to the ideal HRG baseline at low √S<sub>NN</sub> for net-lambda distributions.
- Deviations of the QvdW-HRG from the Ideal-HRG model shows the effect of hadronic interactions.



# Flavor Dependence of Cumulant Ratios

- Freeze-out conditions for light particles(π, p, K) and strange particles(K, Λ, Ξ, Ω, K<sup>0</sup><sub>s</sub>, φ) and the corresponding antiparticles are calculated using GCE and HRG-QvdW model.
- Freeze-out conditions at √S<sub>NN</sub> = 3.0 GeV are calculated from preliminary results of light particles(π, p, K) and strange particles(Λ, K, Ξ, φ), using SCE and HRG-QvdW model.



Strange and Light GCE fits to STAR data using PDG2016+ hadronic spectrum( P. Alba, et al. PRD. 96 (2017) 034517) and QvdW model (R. V. Poberezhnyuk, et. al. Phys. Rev. C 100, 054904 (2019))

- Different freeze-out conditions **agree** with each other at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 3.0$  GeV.
- No expected differences in lambda cumulants for different freeze-out conditions at \sqrt{s\_NN} = 3.0 GeV.



# Summary

- Lambda cumulants were measured as a function of centrality and rapidity, which were compared to BES-I results.
- Lambda C<sub>2</sub>/C<sub>1</sub> shows a decreasing behaviour with increasing Δy mainly due to strangeness conservation effects.
- Lambda high order cumulant ratios show strong suppression with respect to the Poissonian baseline at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 3.0$  GeV.
- Lambda and proton cumulant ratios with respect Δy show different trends, mainly due to the effects of baryon stopping and conservation laws.
- The suppression of lambda  $C_3/C_2$  is also observed with the HRG-QvdW model at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 3$  GeV, indicating evidence of **hadronic interactions**.
- Obtained freeze-out parameters for different conditions(light and strange) suggest no flavor hierarchy at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 3$  GeV.

**Outlook:** Continue with the lambda fluctuation analysis for different FXT energies for better understanding of high baryonic matter.

