



Priyanka Roy Chowdhury\*, <u>Katarzyna Gwiździel</u>\* (for the STAR Collaboration) \*Warsaw University of Technology, Poland

42<sup>nd</sup> International Conference on High Energy Physics 18-24 July 2024, Prague, Czech Republic

#### **ICHEP 2024**



Supported in part by





## Motivation $-c/\overline{c}$ interactions with QGP

- ➤ Heavy quarks are produced early in the collisions → good probes to study all stages of the heavy-ion collisions
- Observation in heavy-ion collisions at RHIC: significant D<sup>0</sup> elliptic flow and suppression at high p<sub>T</sub>
  STAR, Phys. Rev
- Strong interaction of charm quarks with the quark-gluon plasma and their thermalisation
- New observables to constrain different models and understand production mechanism
  Final detected



Stages of heavy-ion collisions



STAR, Phys. Rev. C 99 (2019) 34908



D<sup>0</sup> anisotropy vs. transverse momentum

#### Femtoscopic correlation

Femtoscopic correlations are observed between pairs of particles with low relative momentum
 Correlations are measured as a function of the reduced momentum difference (k\*) of the pair of particles in the rest frame:



- $\succ \text{Femtoscopic Correlation} \rightarrow \text{QS} + \text{FSI}$ 
  - Quantum Statistics [QS]: Bose-Einstein and Fermi-Dirac
  - Final-State-Interaction [FSI]: Strong and Coulomb interactions
  - > Only strong interaction contributes to  $D^0/\overline{D^0}$ - $h^{\pm}$  femtoscopy

Femtoscopic correlations,  $\overrightarrow{k^*}$  and  $\overrightarrow{r^*}$  (relative separation vector)

#### Femtoscopy and interaction parameters

The Lednicky–Lyuboshitz analytical model connects the two-particle correlation function with the particle emission source size ( $r_0$ ) and the s-wave strong interaction scattering amplitudes ( $f^{s}(k^*)$ ):

$$C(k^*) = 1 + \sum_{S} \rho_S \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{f^S(k^*)}{r_0} \right|^2 \left( 1 - \frac{d_0^S}{2\sqrt{\pi}r_0} \right) + \frac{2\Re f^S(k^*)}{\sqrt{\pi}r_0} F_1(Qr_0) - \frac{\Im f^S(k^*)}{r_0} F_2(Qr_0) \right]$$
(2)

where for a given total spin S (S = 0 or S = 1):

- $\Re f^{S}(k^{*})$ ,  $\Im f^{S}(k^{*})$  real and imaginary part of the scattering amplitude for singlet or triplet state,
- $\rho_{\rm S}$  the fraction of pairs with a given spin S ( $\rho_0 = \frac{1}{4}$  and  $\rho_1 = \frac{3}{4}$ ),
- $d_0^S$  the effective radius of the strong interaction,

$$Q=2k^*$$
,  $F_1(z)=\int_0^z dx \, e^{x^2-z^2}/z$ ,  $F_2(z)=(1-e^{-z^2})/z$  (3)

This model assumes an average separation vector  $(\vec{r^*})$  from eq. (1), follows Gaussian distribution:

$$dN^{3}/d^{3}r^{*}e^{-r^{*2}/4r_{0}^{2}} \quad (4)$$

where  $r_0$  is the effective radius of the correlated source.

Katarzyna Gwiździel





#### Learning outcomes

- Two-particle femtoscopic correlations are sensitive to the interactions in the final state as well as to the extent of the region from which correlated particles are emitted
- Average distance between emission points of correlated pairs (D<sup>0</sup>-hadron) is known as the 'length of homogeneity'
- Femtoscopy may provide additional information about the correlation between charmed mesons and light mesons at the freeze-out





#### Final-state interaction

- > ALICE data for both p-D and D- $\pi$  pairs are compatible within (1.1-1.5) $\sigma$  with the theory predictions obtained from the hypothesis of Coulomb-only interaction
- Small values of  $a_0^{D\pi}$  (scattering length)  $\rightarrow$  ALICE measurement suggests small strong interactions in the hadronic phase of heavy-ion collision (parameters are consistent with 0)



#### STAR (Solenoidal Tracker At RHIC)



HFT (Heavy Flavor Tracker)

#### **Particle Identification (PID)**



Particle identification using TPC (left) and TOF (right)

The dE/dx bands for π and K overlap around 0.7 GeV/c; K and p bands overlap beyond 1.2 GeV/c
 To distinguish between π, K, and p at higher momenta (> 0.7 GeV/c), TOF information was required

#### Dataset and $D^0$ meson reconstruction



 $<sup>1.6 &</sup>lt; D^0 \ mass < 2.2 \ GeV/c^2$ 

$$D^0 \rightarrow mixture \ of \ D^0 \ (K^-\pi^+) \ and \ \overline{D^0} \ (K^+\pi^-)$$

#### **Dataset:**

- Au+Au, 200 GeV, Run 2014
- Trigger: Minimum bias
- Centrality: 0-80%
- 490M good minimum bias events

#### **D**<sup>0</sup> reconstruction:

- Decay length distance between decay vertex and primary vertex (PV)
- DCA Distance of Closest Approach between:
  - K & π DCA<sub>12</sub>
  - $\pi \& PV DCA_{\pi}$
  - $K \& PV DCA_K$
  - D<sup>0</sup> & PV DCA<sub>D0</sub>
- $\theta$  the angle between the D<sup>0</sup> momentum vector ( $\vec{P}$ ) and the decay length



### $D^0$ invariant mass and signal purity



The pT dependence of  $K\pi$  invariant mass distribution and D<sup>0</sup> signal purity

- Unlike-sign (K<sup>-</sup>π<sup>+</sup>) pairs from SE construct 'signal'
- Like-sign (K<sup>-</sup>π<sup>-</sup> and K<sup>+</sup>π<sup>+</sup>) pairs from SE and unlike-sign Kπ pairs from ME represent 'background'
- Invariant mass range for D<sup>0</sup> signal: 1.82 1.91 GeV/c<sup>2</sup>
   D<sup>0</sup> purity:

#### Signal

Signal + Background

- Higher D<sup>0</sup> signal purity with increasing p<sub>T</sub> bin
- Good S/B ratio for D<sup>0</sup> signal p<sub>T</sub> > 1 GeV/c

![](_page_9_Picture_10.jpeg)

## Correction of raw correlation function

- ➢ Correlation function C(k\*) for D<sup>0</sup>/D<sup>0</sup>-h<sup>±</sup> pairs: C(k\*) = N A(k\*)/B(k\*) (5) where:
  - $A(k^*), B(k^*)$  k\* distribution for correlated and uncorrelated pairs,
  - N normalization factor.

Pair-purity corrected correlation function:

$$C_{measured}^{corr}(k^*) = \frac{C_{measured}(k^*) - 1}{PairPurity} + 1 \quad (6)$$

where:

-  $C_{measured}(k^*)$  - the raw correlations function calculated using Eq. (5),

- PairPurity =  $D^0$  purity \* hadron purity.

![](_page_10_Figure_9.jpeg)

 Kaon purity
 Proto

  $(97 \pm 3 \text{ (syst.)})\%$   $(99.5 \pm 0)$ 
 $p_K < 1 \text{ GeV/c}$   $p_p < 1$ 

Proton purity (99.5  $\pm$  0.5 (syst.))% (9  $p_p < 1.2 \text{ GeV/c}$ 

![](_page_10_Picture_12.jpeg)

## Results: $D^0/\overline{D^0} - K^{+/-}$ correlation

Comparison to theory predictions of C(k\*) for the D<sup>0</sup>-K+ channel: next-to-leading order (NLO) + Heavy Meson Chiral Perturbation Theory (HMChPT) scheme (green and pink bands are for radii of 2 fm and 5 fm, respectively)

![](_page_11_Figure_2.jpeg)

Resonance effect of  $D_{S0}^*$  (2317)<sup>±</sup> state is NOT visible (large source size or large experimental uncertainties)

## Results: $D^0/\overline{D^0} - \pi^{+/-}$ correlation

Comparison to theory calculations of C(k<sup>\*</sup>) for the D<sup>0</sup>-π channel: next-to-leading order (NLO) + Heavy Meson Chiral Perturbation Theory (HMChPT) scheme (green and pink bands are for radii of 2 fm and 5 fm, respectively)

![](_page_12_Figure_2.jpeg)

## Results: $D^0/D^0 - p^{+/-}$ correlation

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

- $\succ$
- Suggesting large emission source size
- Theoretical predictions are needed

## Summary & future plans

- D-meson femtoscopy is applicable to probe the interaction behaviour of charmed hadrons and the phase space geometry of the emission source
- ★ Correlation studies between D<sup>0</sup>-K and D<sup>0</sup>- $\pi$  pairs provide consistent results with no significant correlation and are consistent with large emission source size (~ 5 fm)
- Current statistical precision is not sufficient to make decisive conclusions, however more data are available
- Model study (ex. Lednický–Lyuboshitz) is on the plan to extract interaction parameters and emission source size
- Theoretical inputs are required to connect the observed correlation functions and interaction parameters of charm and light quarks before hadronization

![](_page_15_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Thank you for your attention!

## ICHEP 2024 PRAGUE

42<sup>nd</sup> International Conference on High Energy Physics

18-24 July 2024 Prague Czech Republic

![](_page_15_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Backup

![](_page_16_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Freeze-out dynamics

#### Properties of nuclear medium

- Example source size measured at RHIC with kaons compatible with model calculations employing hydrodynamics
- Local thermal equilibrium

![](_page_17_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_5.jpeg)

Katarzyna Gwiździel

## Theory predictions of CF for $D\pi$ channels

> Isospin combinations for  $D\pi$  channels

$$C_{D^+\pi^0} = \frac{2}{3}C^{D\pi}_{3/2} + \frac{1}{3}C^{D\pi}_{1/2},$$

$$C_{D^0\pi^+} = \frac{1}{3}C^{D\pi}_{3/2} + \frac{2}{3}C^{D\pi}_{1/2},$$

$$C_{D^0\pi^-} = C^{D\pi}_{3/2},$$

- ► Predicted CF for  $D^0\pi^+$  and  $D^+\pi^0$  channels considered only I =  $\frac{1}{2}$  state
- ➤ Depletion at k ~ 215 MeV for R = 1 fm source, produced due to the presence of the lightest  $D_{0}^{*}$  state  $[D_{0}^{*}(2135)]$
- For R = 2 fm and 5 fm sources, the minimum is present but diluted
- > Interaction in I = 3/2 sector (D<sup>0</sup> $\pi$ <sup>-</sup>) is weaker and repulsive.

![](_page_18_Figure_9.jpeg)

Correlation functions for  $D\pi$  channels predicted for R = 1, 2 and 5 fm sources represented by red, blue, and green dashed lines, respectively. Corresponding bands show uncertainties with 68% CL.

![](_page_18_Picture_11.jpeg)

#### Correction of detector effects

**1.** Self correlation: Possible correlation between D<sup>0</sup> candidates and their daughters were removed.

Hadron (chosen for pairing with D<sup>0</sup>) track id  $\neq$  Track id of D<sup>0</sup> ( $\pi^+$ K<sup>-</sup>)

2. Track splitting: Track splitting causes an enhancement of pairs at low relative pair momentum k\*. This enhancement is created by a single track reconstructed as two tracks, with similar momenta. Track splitting mostly affects identical particle combinations (here,  $\pi_{D^0} - \pi$  and  $K_{D^0} - K$ ), as one track may leave a hit in a single pad-row. Due to shifts of pad-rows, it can be registered twice. In order to remove split tracks, we applied the following condition:

No. of hit points / Max no. of hit points > 0.51

![](_page_19_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### Correction of detector effects

#### 3. Track merging

Approach 1:

- $\delta r(i) < mean TPC distance separation \rightarrow `merged' hits$
- δr(i) distance between TPC hits of two tracks
- Pair of tracks with a fraction of merged hits > 5% were removed as 'merged tracks'.
- The technique was adopted from HBT approach. Approach 2:
- $\delta r(i) < \text{threshold} \rightarrow \text{`merged' hits}$ Approach 3:
- SE/ME of  $\Delta \eta$  vs  $\Delta \phi$  distribution  $\rightarrow$  no dip around  $0 \rightarrow$  negligible effect of merged tracks
- $\circ \quad \text{With a variation of merging cuts} \rightarrow \text{Negligible effect} \\ \text{on correlation value, no correction applied.}$

![](_page_20_Figure_10.jpeg)

Merging of tracks inside TPC

![](_page_20_Picture_12.jpeg)

#### Selection criteria

#### **Event cuts**

- $|V_z| < 6.0$  cm.
- $|V_z V_z^{VPD}| < 3.0 \text{ cm.}$
- $|V_x| > 1.0e^{-5}$  cm.
- $|V_y| > 1.0e^{-5}$  cm.
- $\sqrt{[(V_x)^2 + (V_y)^2]} \le 2.0$

#### **Track cuts**

- $p_{T} > 0.5 \text{ GeV/c}$
- |dca| > 0.0050 cm.
- nHitsFit  $\geq 20$
- $|\eta| <= 1.0$

#### **PID** cuts for $\pi$ , *K* & *p*

- $|n\sigma_{\pi}| < 3.0$
- $|n\sigma_{K}| < 2.0$
- $|n\sigma_p| < 2.0$
- $|\frac{1}{\beta} \frac{1}{\beta_{\Pi}}| < 0.03$
- $\left|\frac{1}{\beta} \frac{1}{\beta_{\kappa}}\right| < 0.03$
- $\left|\frac{1}{\beta} \frac{1}{\beta_p}\right| < 0.03$
- $\frac{nHitsFit}{nHitsFitMax} > 0.51$

![](_page_21_Picture_20.jpeg)

#### Hadron purity distributions

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)