



The Fixed Target Program at STAR: Results and Plans

Daniel Cebra University of California, Davis



Daniel Cebra 10/06/2016 For the STAR Collaboration



Slide 1 of 30

Disclaimers



Important Caveats:

- 1) The STAR Fixed-target program has not yet been officially proposed or approved
- 2) Most of the results to date come from the analysis of background collisions
- 3) Data taking during the 2015 test runs was limited to roughly half an hour
- 4) The possible future directions that will be presented are only my opinions of what would make a good program, not the official view of the STAR collaboration
- 5) It is expected that the STAR fixed-target proposal will be included in the next Beam Use Request in May of 2017

Fixed-Target Program

The Fixed-Target Program will extend the reach of the RHIC BES to higher μ_{B} .

Goals:

1) Search for evidence of the first entrance into the mixed phase

 Control measurements for BES collider program searches for Onset of Deconfinement

 Control measurements for Critical Point searches



Baryon Chemical Potential μ_B

Daniel Cebra	
10/06/2016	

STAR

History of Low Energy Running at RHIC



RHIC Runs at or Below Nominal Injection Energy:

1. Au+Au 19.6 GeV 2001 100 k events 2. Cu+Cu 22.4 GeV 2005 250 k events 3. Au+Au 9.0 GeV 2007 0 events We learned that background was a 7 k events 4. Au+Au 9.2 GeV 2008 serious concern 5. Au+Au 7.7 GeV 2010 4 M events 6. Au+Au 11.5 GeV 2010 12 M events 0 events 7. Au+Au 5.5 GeV 2010 We conclude that RHIC can not achieve a 8. Au+Au 19.6 GeV 2011 36 M events useful collider event rate at 5.0 GeV 9. Au+Au 5.0 GeV 2011 1 event 10. Au+Au 14.5 GeV 2014 20 M events

STAR and RHIC recognized the importance to run at energies down to and below 7.7 GeV



Studies using Beam Halo Background

2010 - 2014

Goals:

- •Understand the Beam Halo Background
- •Determine the applicability of STAR for fixed target
- First publication of FXT results → Au+Al

Daniel Cebra	
10/06/2016	

Beam Halo on Al Vacuum Pipe



Daniel Cebra 10/06/2016

INT Beam Energy Scan Workshop Institute of Nuclear Theory, University of Washington

6

Slide 6 of 30





Target Design 2014 and 2015

STAR

The success of the beam pipe studies motivated installing an internal gold target

Target design:

Gold foil 1 mm Thick (4%) ~1 cm High ~4 cm Wide ~2 cm below beam axis 210 cm from IR





Daniel Cebra 10/06/2016

INT Beam Energy Scan Workshop Institute of Nuclear Theory, University of Washington Slide 8 of 30

3.9 GeV Au halo + Au target





Daniel Cebra 10/06/2016

INT Beam Energy Scan Workshop Institute of Nuclear Theory, University of Washington Slide 9 of 30

Coulomb Analysis



Daniel Cebra 10/06/2016

INT Beam Energy Scan Workshop Institute of Nuclear Theory, University of Washington

Slide 10 of 30

STAR



Direct Beam Test Run 2015

Goals:

- Check the conclusion that there are gold ions in the halo
- Determine if the direct beam is a better conduct of operations
- Acquire enough data for significant feasibility studies
- Planned Analyses:
 - Reproduction of AGS results → paper draft by January
 - Particle ratio fluctuations → paper draft in working group review
 - Higher Moments analysis → expect first results by April 2017



Daniel Cebra 10/06/2016

INT Beam Energy Scan Workshop Institute of Nuclear Theory, University of Washington

Slide 12 of 30

Out-of-time Pile-up



Daniel Cebra 10/06/2016

INT Beam Energy Scan Workshop Institute of Nuclear Theory, University of Washington Slide 13 of 30



Z Vertex Histogram

Centrality Determination



Daniel Cebra 10/06/2016



In-time Pile-up





In-time pile-up in 0.8% of central events

Can be reduced by using a thinner target and filling more buckets

Daniel Cebra 10/06/2016

INT Beam Energy Scan Workshop Institute of Nuclear Theory, University of Washington

Slide 15 of 30







INT Beam Energy Scan Workshop Institute of Nuclear Theory, University of Washington Slide 17 of 30

Directed and Elliptic Flow





Femtoscopy





HBT results are in working group review: The radii are compared to the published data as a function of collision energy and k_{T}

Daniel Cebra
10/06/2016



Draft of paper of Dynamical Fluctuations is in working group review Dynamical Relative Charge Number Fluctuations Across Multiple Regimes of QCD Matter in Augu-Al, Al+Au, and Au+Au Collisions From $\sqrt{s_{RR}} = 3.0 - 200 \text{ GeV}$

L. Adamszyk¹, J. K. Adkins²⁰, C. Apakishiov²⁸, M. M. Aggarwal⁴⁰, Z. Ahammod⁴⁰, I. Alokonv^{24,20} D. M. Anderson⁴¹, R. Aoyama¹, A. Aparin¹⁸, D. Arkhipkin¹, R. C. Aschengsor³, M. U. Astraf⁴², A. Attri⁴², C. S. Averichev¹⁰, X. Hal⁷, V. Hairachi²⁰, R. Hellwisel⁴⁰, A. Hhazin¹⁷, A. K. Hhazin⁴⁰, P. Hazzaral⁴⁴, J. Helch¹⁰ J. Hieleikow¹⁰, L. C. Hand⁴, I. G. Bordynshin¹⁰, J. Bouchet¹⁰, J. D. Brandesburg¹⁰, A. V. Brandin¹⁰ Burnarov¹⁰, J. Butterworth¹⁰, H. Cainer¹⁰, M. Calderin de la Barta Sánches¹, J. M. Campbell¹⁰, D. Celra¹ Chakaberia¹, F. Chaloupka¹⁰, Z. Charg⁴⁰, A. Chattorjee⁴⁰, S. Chattorpachyay⁴⁰, X. Chen²⁰, J. H. Chen⁴⁰ J. Cheng⁴⁴, M. Cherney⁸, W. Christie¹, G. Comin²⁰, H. J. Crawlori⁴, S. Dar¹⁸, L. C. De Silva⁹, R. R. Debbe¹ T. G. Dodovich¹⁰, J. Dong¹⁰, A. A. Derovechikov¹⁰, R. d. Ruma¹, L. Didecko¹, G. Dilke¹⁰, X. Dong¹¹, J. L. Drachenberg²¹, J. H. Drazer², C. M. Du²², L. H. Dunkelberge², J. C. Dunke³, L. C. Himov³, J. Harwign⁴ G. Eppley¹⁰, R. Baha⁶, S. Esum⁸, O. Evdokimov⁸, O. Eyser⁴, R. Fateri²⁰, S. Fateri¹⁰, J. Federisin¹⁰ Z. Pag¹, P. Pilp¹⁰, Y. Pisyak⁵, G. R. Pices⁴, L. Paiek¹, G. A. Caglard⁴⁰, D. Garard⁴⁰, F. Guras⁴⁰, A. Clisen⁴⁰ M. Grard¹², L. Greiner²⁰, D. Grossick¹⁰, D. S. Gunaradne⁴⁰, Y. Gur¹⁰, S. Gupta¹¹, A. Capta¹¹, W. Gurys¹, A. I. Harnel⁴⁰, A. Harnel⁴⁰, R. Harpel⁴¹, J. W. Harris¹³, L. He⁴⁰, S. Hoppelmann¹⁰, S. Hoppelmann¹¹, A. Hirsch⁴¹ G. W. Hoffmans⁴⁴, S. Horvat⁴³, R. Ruarg⁴, X. Huarg⁴⁴, H. Z. Ruarg⁴, T. Huarg³⁶, P. Huck¹, T. J. Humanis⁴⁶ G. 1994, W. W. Jacobs¹⁴, A. Jentsch¹⁴, J. Jul^{4,4}, K. Jiang¹⁰, S. Jownaes¹², H. G. Jocki⁴, S. Kabara¹⁴, D. Kalinkin¹⁴ K. Kara⁴², K. Karder⁴¹, H. W. Ke³, D. Kenne¹⁵, A. Kethedryan¹⁸, Z. H. Khan³, D. P. Kikela¹⁰, I. Kisel¹², A. Kisio¹⁰, L. Koshenda²⁰, D. D. Kostin⁴⁰, L. K. Kosarawski¹⁰, A. F. Kraishan⁴⁰, F. Kravisov²⁰, K. Krosge² L. Kurner¹⁰, M. A. C. Lamont⁴, J. M. Landgraf⁴, K. D. Landy⁴, J. Lauret⁴, A. Lebelev⁴, R. Lebricky¹⁰ J. H. Lee¹, Y. Li⁴⁴, C. Li⁴⁶, W. Li⁴⁶, X. Li⁴⁶, X. Li⁴⁶, T. Liu⁴¹, M. A. Liu⁴⁶, Y. Liu⁴¹, P. Liu⁵, T. Liubiele³ W. J. Liopell, M. Lorentz¹⁰, R. S. Lorgarrel, X. Loo¹, S. Loo¹, G. L. Ma⁴⁰, R. Ma¹, Y. G. Ma⁴⁰, L. Ma⁴⁰, N. Margiy⁴⁴, R. Majka²³, A. Marion²⁰, S. Margeis¹⁸, C. Markert⁴⁴, H. S. Marke²⁰, D. McDenald⁴², S. McKinzie²⁰, K. Mashan², J. C. Mei¹⁰, Z. W. Miller⁴, N. C. Minaev¹⁰, S. Mixdoanweid⁴¹, D. Maira²⁰, H. Mohariy²⁰, M. M. Mordal⁴¹, D. A. Morano⁴⁴, M. K. Mustala²², H. K. Navd¹⁴, Md. Nasira⁴, T. K. Navak⁴⁴, C. Navatkulov²⁷ T. Nitiz¹¹, I. V. Nogath¹⁴, T. Nonaka³, J. Novak³⁴, S. H. Nurashev³⁴, G. Odynice³³, A. Ogasu³, K. Ob³⁴ V. A. Okerskow, D. Ovit, Jr.S. H. S. Pans, R. Paki, Y. X. Parsi, Y. Pandoli, Y. Panderswill, R. Paville, H. Phi⁸, C. Perkira⁶, P. Pile⁷, J. Pinta²⁰, K. Penintzeeka¹⁰, J. Perter²⁰, M. Petik⁴⁰, A. M. Peskarar²⁰ N. K. Prathf¹⁰, M. Praybysien¹, J. Putsetike¹¹, H. Qiu¹⁰, A. Quintero⁴², S. Ramachardran²⁰, R. L. Ray⁴⁴, R. Roef^{20,24}, M. J. Robbin⁶, H. C. Ritter²⁰, J. R. Roberts⁴⁰, O. V. Rozachovskiv²⁰, J. L. Romers⁵, J. D. Rath⁵ L. Roser¹, J. Rosenk¹¹, O. Rosenskova¹⁰, N. R. Sahoo⁶¹, P. K. Sahu¹¹, I. Sakrejda²¹, S. Sahu²¹, J. Santwise¹¹ A. Sarkaris, J. Schamhachts, E. P. Schamhorys, A. M. Schmidts, W. H. Schmidtel, N. Schmitzw, J. Sovers F. Seytoth²⁰, N. Shah⁴⁰, H. Shahalev¹⁴, F. V. Sharmagarathan¹⁰, M. Shao⁴⁰, A. Sharma¹¹, M. K. Sharma¹¹ H. Sharma¹⁰, W. Q. Shen⁴⁰, S. S. Shi¹, Z. Shi¹⁰, Q. Y. Shou⁴⁰, R. P. Sehiarmann³¹, R. Sikora¹, M. Simko¹¹, Singha¹⁰, M. J. Skoby¹¹, D. Smirnov¹, N. Smirnov¹³, W. Solya¹¹, I. Song⁴¹, P. Soronen¹, H. M. Spinka² H. Srivastan¹⁰, T. D. S. Stanislaus⁴⁰, M. Stepanov⁴⁰, R. Stock¹¹, M. Strikhanov¹⁰, R. Stringfollow¹⁰, T. Sugiura¹, M. Sumbera¹¹, H. Summa¹⁰, Z. Sun²⁰, Y. Sun³⁰, X. M. Sun⁷, H. Surrow⁴⁰, D. N. Svirida²⁴, A. H. Tang⁸, Z. Tang⁴⁰, T. Tarnowsky²², A. Tawik²³, J. Thider²³, J. H. Thomas²⁶, A. R. Timnins⁴⁵, D. Thety¹⁰, T. Tedorold⁸, M. Tekarev¹⁰, S. Trenalange⁴, R. E. Teibble⁴¹, P. Teibble⁴¹, S. K. Teipaige¹¹, O. D. Tea⁴, T. Ullrich¹, D. G. Underwood⁴, I. Upad⁴⁰, G. Van Hume³, C. van Neuwenhuizen⁴, R. Varma¹⁴, A. N. Vasiliev⁴⁶, R. Versei¹¹ F. Vilobak¹, S. Vikal¹⁴, S. A. Voloshin¹¹, A. Voswn¹², G. Wang², F. Wang²⁰, J. S. Wang²⁰, Y. Wang², H. Wang⁴, Y. Warg⁴², J. G. Webb³, G. Webb³, L. Wen², G. D. Wesfall²², H. Wienne²⁰, S. W. Wiesink¹², R. Win⁴⁷ Y. Wu¹⁰, Z. G. Xinu⁴⁴, W. Xin⁴⁶, G. Xin⁴⁶, K. Xin⁴⁶, Q. H. Xu¹⁰, Y. F. Xu⁴⁵, H. Xu²¹, Z. Xu⁵, N. Xu²¹, J. Ku⁴, C. Yang⁴⁰, Y. Yang⁴¹, S. Yang⁴⁰, Y. Yang⁴⁰, Q. Yang⁴⁰, Y. Yang⁴⁰, Z. Yu⁴, Z. Yu⁴, L. Yi¹⁴, K. Yip⁴, I.-K. Yoo¹⁶, N. Yu¹, H. Ebrosneyk¹⁰, W. Zha¹⁰, J. Ehang²¹, X. P. Zhang⁴⁰, S. Zhang¹⁰, Y. Zhang¹⁰, J. B. Zhang¹¹, Z. Thang⁴⁰, S. Thang⁴⁰, J. Thang⁴⁰, J. Thang⁴⁰, C. Thong⁴⁰, L. Thon⁴⁰, X. Thu⁴⁰, Y. Zoukarawan¹⁴, M. Zymk¹³ ACH University of Science and Technology, FIACE, Crosser 20,004, Polond "Argonics National Laboratory, Argonics, Illinois 10527 Trucklason National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11977 University of California, Berkeley, California a 100 University of California, Davis, California 88510 ¹University of California, Los Anados, California 1998

Prospects for Higher Moments





4.9 GeV Al + Au Test Run and Performance



3.3 Million Al+Au Events• Will compare to AGS Si+Au results • Expect preliminary results by September 2017

Slide 22 of 30

10/06/2016

INT Bea Institute of Nuclea



Plans for the Future 2018-2020

- Full FXT proposal will be inlcuded in the May 2017 Beam Use Request
- Will likely include a request for a single energy in 2018
- Will request an FXT energy scan to run during BES-II
- → Remember my early caveats....







Institute of Nuclear Theory, University of Washington

Slide 24 of 30

The STAR Upgrades and the FXT program

nner T



upgrade Endcap TOF / Event Plane Detector

iTPC Upgrade:

- Rebuilds the inner sectors of the TPC
- Continuous Coverage
- Improves dE/dx
- Extends η coverage from 1.5 to 2.2
- Lowers p_T cut-in from 125 MeV/c to 60 MeV/c
- Ready in 2019

EndCap TOF Upgrade:

- Rapidity coverage is critical
- PID at forward rapidity
- Allows higher energy range
- of FXT program
- Ready 2019



EPD Upgrade:

- Improves trigger
- Reduces background

• Allows a better and independent reaction plane measurement critical to BES physics

• Ready 2018

Daniel Cebra 10/06/2016

INT Beam Energy Scan Workshop Institute of Nuclear Theory, University of Washington Slide 25 of 30



FXT Program

Collider Energy	Fixed- Target Energy	Single beam AGeV	Center- of-mass Rapidity	μ _в (MeV)
62.4	7.7	30.3	2.10	420
39	6.2	18.6	1.87	487
27	5.2	12.6	1.68	541
19.6	4.5	8.9	1.52	589
14.5	3.9	6.3	1.37	633
11.5	3.5	4.8	1.25	666
9.1	3.2	3.6	1.13	699
7.7	3.0	2.9	1.05	721
5.0	2.5	1.6	0.82	774

- Data rate is DAQ limited
- Would need 100 Million Events at each energy to make the sensitivity of BES-II
- Roughly one to two days per energy



Daniel Cebra 10/06/2016

Comparison of Facilities



Facilty	RHIC BESII	SPS	NICA	SIS-100 SIS-300	J-PARC HI
Exp.:	STAR	NA61	MPD	CBM	JHITS
	+FXT		+ BM@N		
Start:	2019-20	2009	2020	2022	2025
	2018		2017		
Energy:	7.7–19.6	4.9-17.3	2.7 - 11	2.7-8.2	2.0-6.2
√s _{NN} (GeV)	2.5-7.7		2.0-3.5		
Rate:	100 HZ	100 HZ	<10 kHz	<10 MHZ	100 MHZ
At 8 GeV	2000 Hz				
Physics:	CP&OD	CP&OD	OD&DHM	OD&DHM	OD&DHM
	Collider	Fixed Target	Collider	Fixed Target	Fixed Target
	Fixed larget	Lighter ion	Fixed larget	= Critical Point	
		collisions	OE) = Onset of Deco	onfinement
Daniel Cebra 10/06/2016		INT Beam En	ergy Scan Workshop		Slide 28 of 30

Institute of Nuclear Theory, University of Washington

Physics Goals of the FXT Program

The Onset of Deconfinement:

- •High p_T suppression
- $\bullet N_{CQ}$ scaling of Elliptic Flow
- •LPV through three particle correlators (CME)
- •Balance Functions
- •Strangeness Enhancement

Compressibility -> First Order Phase Transition

- Directed flow
- •Tilt angle of the HBT source
- •The Volume of the HBT source
- •The width of the pion rapidity distributions (Dale)

No

- •The zero crossing of the elliptic flow (~6 AGeV)
- •Volume measures from Coulomb Potential

Criticality:

- •Higher moments
- •Particle Ratio Fluctuations

<u>Chirality:</u>

•Dilepton studies

<u>Hypernuclei:</u> \rightarrow Lifetime of the hypertriton

What a STAR FXT Program will not do:

- Omega's
- Charm
- Doublely Hyper nuclei
- p+p scan
- p+A scan
- peripheral collisions
- > 100 Million event per energy
- > two weeks of beam time

measurements in

this energy range



Conclusions



- STAR works well for Fixed-target events
- FXT program will allow for key measurements below
 7.7 GeV
- Acceptance and physics have been demonstrated in test runs and other studies
- Will require a small amount of dedicated beam time
- Expect to include a full proposal in BUR18/19



Extras

Daniel Cebra 10/06/2016

INT Beam Energy Scan Workshop Institute of Nuclear Theory, University of Washington Slide 31 of 30





Daniel Cebra 10/06/2016

INT Beam Energy Scan Workshop Institute of Nuclear Theory, University of Washington

Slide 32 of 30



Collision	Single	Fixed	Single	Center of		
Energy	Beam	Target	Beam	Mass	Chemical	Events
(GeV)	Energy	Root s	Rapidity	Rapidity	Potential μ_B	(Millions)
200	100	13.713	5.369	2.685	0.276	NA
130	65	11.083	4.938	2.469	0.325	NA
62.4	31.2	7.737	4.204	2.102	0.420	100
39	19.5	6.170	3.734	1.867	0.487	100
27	13.5	5.185	3.366	1.683	0.541	100
19.6	9.8	4.468	3.042	1.521	0.589	100
14.5	7.25	3.904	2.741	1.370	0.633	100
11.5	5.75	3.528	2.507	1.253	0.666	100
9.1	4.55	3.196	2.269	1.134	0.699	100
7.7	3.85	2.985	2.097	1.049	0.721	100
5.0	2.50	2.320	1.644	0.822	0.774	100

Run 14 and 15 Setup



10/06/2016

INT Beam Energy Scan Workshop Institute of Nuclear Theory, University of Washington Slide 34 of 30

STAR



BES Phase II is planned for two 24 cryo-week runs in 2019 and 2020

√S _{NN} (GeV)	7.7	9.1	11.5	14.5	19.6
μ_{B} (MeV)	420	370	315	250	205
BES I (MEvts)	4.3		11.7	24	36
Rate(MEvts/day)	0.25		1.7	2.4	4.5
BES I <i>L</i> (1×10 ²⁵ /cm ² sec)	0.13		1.5	2.1	4.0
BES II (MEvts)	100	160	230	300	400
Improvement (X)	4	4	4	3	3
Beam Time (weeks)	12	9.5	5.0	5.5	4.5

Yields of Hadrons -> Mapping the Phase Boundary

STAR

Acceptance of π , K, p is good to midrapidity at all FXT energies. Acceptance for weak decay parents should be good as well.

Measurements can be extrapolated to 4π

Will be able to extend the low energy limits of measurements of most strange hadrons

 4π strange hadron yields are needed for chemical equilibrium models to determine T and μ_B



Daniel Cebra 10/06/2016

_N (GeV)

Hypernuclei



Previously only measured at two energies

Dynamic range will exclude searches for doubly strange hypernuclei



Daniel Cebra 10/06/2016

INT Beam Energy Scan Workshop Institute of Nuclear Theory, University of Washington Slide 37 of 30

STAR



Kathryn Meehan, for the STAR Collaboration University of California, Davis



Daniel Cebra 10/06/2016

Slide 38 of 30

STAR