# Overview of quarkonium production studies at the STAR experiment

#### Leszek Kosarzewski for the STAR Collaboration

Faculty of Nuclear Sciences and Physical Engineering, Czech Technical University in Prague, Břehová 78/7, 115 19 Staré Město, Prague, Czech Republic

E-mail: kosarles@fjfi.cvut.cz

Abstract. Quarkonium states can be used to study the properties of the quark-gluon plasma created in heavy-ion collisions. However, Cold Nuclear Matter (CNM) effects need to be taken into account when interpreting the quarkonium suppression observed in these collisions. In addition, the quarkonium production mechanism in elementary collisions need to be better understood. These proceedings contains an overview of recent quarkonium measurements with the STAR experiment. A comprehensive study of both  $J/\psi$  and  $\Upsilon$  production is performed in different colliding systems (p+p, p+Au, Au+Au).

#### 1. Introduction

Quarkonium states like  $J/\psi$  or  $\Upsilon$  are important probes of quark-gluon plasma properties. They are expected to dissociate at high temperature due to Debye-like screening of color charges [1]. A bound state dissociates if its radius is larger than the Debye screening radius  $(r > r_{Debye})$ . As the temperature increases, the  $r_{Debye} \propto T^{-1}$  decreases. Since each quarkonium state has a different radius and binding energy, a sequential suppression is expected, where more tightly bound states dissociate at higher temperatures than the less strongly bound ones. Such a suppression has already been observed at SPS for  $J/\psi$  [2] and at RHIC and LHC for  $J/\psi$  [3] and  $\Upsilon$  [4, 5]. However, the observed suppression may have contribution from a combination of other effects, which complicate the interpretation. In addition, there is a contribution of feed-down from excited quarkonium states. Part of the suppression may be due to cold nuclear matter effects like nuclear absorption, interactions with comovers or modification of parton distributions in nucleons inside nuclei compared to free nucleons. These bound nucleons exhibit phenomena like shadowing and anti-shadowing and are included in the nuclear parton distribution functions - nPDFs, which are modified with respect to free nucleon PDFs. Moreover, the quarkonium production mechanism in elementary proton-proton collisions needs to be fully understood in order to draw firm conclusions.

It is then of importance to perform differential studies of the quarkonia production under varying conditions such as different colliding species and different collision energies and kinematic regions.

#### 2. Quarkonium studies at the STAR experiment

At the STAR experiment reconstruction of quarkonium states is done in both dielectron and dimuon channels. In the dielectron channel, STAR uses Time Projection Chamber (TPC)

for tracking and particle identification and Barrel Electromagnetic Calorimeter for electron identification and triggering on high- $p_T$  electrons. In addition, Time of Flight (TOF) is used for particle identification. These detectors have acceptance of  $|\eta| < 1$  and allow to measure quarkonium production at mid-rapidity within |y| < 1. In the dimuon channel TPC and TOF are also used along with Muon Telescope Detector (MTD), which uses STAR magnet as an absorber. MTD is used for triggering and muon identification and has an acceptance of 45% in  $\phi$  for  $|\eta| < 0.5$ .

#### 3. Quarkonium production in p+p collisions

Studies of quarkonium production in p+p collisions are necessary as a reference for p + A and A + A collisions. Also, they allow to study the quarkonium production mechanism. STAR has measured both  $J/\psi$  and  $\Upsilon$  production cross sections in p+p collisons at  $\sqrt{s} = 200,500$  and 510 GeV [6, 7]. Fig. 1 shows inclusive  $J/\psi$  production cross section vs.  $p_T$  measured at  $\sqrt{s} = 500$ , and 510 GeV [7]. In Fig. 2 are shown the results relative to the Levy fit together with comparison to model calculations, to which  $B \to J/\psi$  feed-down contribution using a FONLL calculation [8, 9] was added to have a fair comparison. Inclusive  $\Upsilon$  production cross sections vs.  $p_T$  for combined  $\Upsilon$  states and separately for  $\Upsilon(1S)$  and  $\Upsilon(2S+3S)$  measured at  $\sqrt{s} = 500$  GeV are shown in Fig. 3. These are also compared to model predictions.



Figure 1. Cross section for inclusive  $J/\psi$  production vs.  $p_T$  measured in p+p collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 500 \text{ GeV}$  and  $\sqrt{s} = 510 \text{ GeV}$  [7] fitted with a Levy function (dashed line) shown along with  $B \rightarrow J/\psi$  feed-down contribution based on FONLL calculation (teal line).



Figure 2. Ratio of the  $J/\psi$  cross section from Fig. 1 [7] to the Levy fit compared to CGC+NRQCD [10] and NLO NRQCD as well as ICEM model [11] calculations. All model calculations include the  $B \rightarrow J/\psi$  feed-down contribution.

In general, quarkonium production is reasonably described by the Color Evaporation Model [12] (CEM) or Improved CEM [11]. The NLO Non-relativistic QCD calculation [13] (NRQCD) also describes the measurements for  $p_T > 4 \text{ GeV/c}$ . When coupled with Color Glass Condensate [10] (CGC+NRQCD) initial conditions, the description is on the edge of uncertainties and even much above for  $\Upsilon$ , in the low- $p_T$  region.

Studies of quarkonium production as a function of charged particle multiplicity may provide information about the production mechanism of quarkonium relative to soft particles. Normalized quarkonium production  $\frac{N_x}{\langle N_x \rangle}$  is also measured as a function of normalized charged particle multiplicity  $\frac{N_{ch}}{\langle N_{ch} \rangle}$ . STAR results are shown in Fig. 4 and compared to measurements at



Figure 3. Inclusive cross section of combined  $\Upsilon(1S + 2S + 3S)$ ,  $\Upsilon(1S)$  and  $\Upsilon(2S + 3S)$  vs.  $p_T$  compared to CGC+NRQCD [10, 14] and CEM model [15] calculations.



**Figure 4.** Normalized yield  $\frac{N_x}{\langle N_x \rangle}$  vs. normalized charged particle multiplicity  $\frac{N_{ch}}{\langle N_{ch} \rangle}$ for  $\Upsilon(1S)$  and  $J/\psi$  [6] measured by STAR compared to  $\Upsilon(1S)$  by CMS [16] and  $J/\psi$  from ALICE [17]. The line corresponds to linear increase  $\frac{N_x}{\langle N_x \rangle} = \frac{N_{ch}}{\langle N_{ch} \rangle}$ .

LHC. A similar, faster than linearly increasing, trend is observed for both  $J/\psi$  and  $\Upsilon$  at RHIC and LHC.

### 4. Quarkonium production in p+A collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV}$

Nuclear modification factor  $R_{pAu}$  has been measured for  $J/\psi$  and  $\Upsilon$  in p+Au at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV}$ . The  $R_{pA}(R_{dAu})$  for  $\Upsilon$  is shown in Fig. 5 and compared to production models incorporating nPDF [18] or energy loss or both [19] effects. The new STAR results have much improved precision over the previous measurement [4] and provide an indication for  $\Upsilon$  suppression in p+Au collisions. The new STAR data are systematically below the model predictions, however on the edge of combined uncertainties.

#### 5. Quarkonium production in Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV}$

STAR has recently measured  $J/\psi$  production in the dimuon channel [21]. The nuclear modification factor  $R_{AA}$  vs.  $N_{part}$  is shown in Fig. 6 along with PHENIX and ALICE measurements and Rapp's [22] (TAMU) and Tsinghua group calculations [24, 25]. The first model uses in-medium binding energies of  $J/\psi$  using T-matrix calculations and includes both regeneration and CNM effects. The latter model describes the  $J/\psi$  behavior in the medium using transport equations and includes regeneration, while neglecting CNM effects. Calculations based on Statistical Hadronization Model (SHM) [23] are also shown.

STAR new results on  $\Upsilon(1S)$  and  $\Upsilon(2S + 3S)$  production in dielectron and dimuon channels have been combined for increased precision and are compared to Rothkopf's [26] and Rapp's [27] models in Fig. 7 and Fig. 8 respectively. The Rothkopf's model describes the behavior of  $\Upsilon$  in the QGP medium using potentials from lattice QCD calculations. This model does not take into account the CNM effects and regeneration. Both models describe the data, with the exception of  $\Upsilon(2S + 3S)$  for 30 - 60% centrality for Rothkopf's model.



Figure 5. Nuclear modification factor  $R_{pAu}$  ( $R_{dAu}$ ) vs. rapidity y. STAR  $\Upsilon$  data in p+Au and d+Au collisions [4] are compared to PHENIX data [20] and model predictions including nPDF [18] and energy loss with nPDF [19] effects.



Figure 7. Nuclear modification factor vs. number of participant nucleons  $N_{part}$ for  $\Upsilon(1S)$  and  $\Upsilon(2S + 3S)$  compared to Rothkopf's model [26].

#### STAR Inclusive: Au+Au @ 200 GeV, |y| < 0.5, p\_ > 0.15 GeV/c 1.8 ive: Pb+Pb @ 2.76 TeV, |y| < 0.8, p\_ > 0 GeV/c 1 6 Au @ 200 GeV, p\_ > 0 GeV/c 1.4 TM I: Tsinghu TM II: TAMU R A 1.2 J,⊌ 0.0 0.4 350 300 250 100 150 200 N<sub>part</sub>

Figure 6. Nuclear modification factor  $R_{AA}$  vs.  $N_{part}$  for  $J/\psi$  measured by STAR [21] and ALICE compared to Rapp's model calculation (TAMU) [22], Statistical Hadronization Model (SHM) [23] and Tsinghua Model [24, 25].



Figure 8. Nuclear modification factor vs.  $N_{part}$  as in Fig. 7 compared to Rapp's model calculation [27].

## 6. Conclusions

STAR has performed a comprehensive study of  $J/\psi$  and  $\Upsilon$  production in different colliding systems (p+p, p+Au, Au+Au) and at different energies in case of p+p:  $\sqrt{s} = 200,500$ , and 510 GeV. The data have been compared to relevant production models. Quarkonium production in p+p collisions can be well described by the CEM, ICEM and NLO NRQCD calculations, however CGC+NRQCD calculations are above the data at low- $p_T$ . In p+Au collisions an indication of  $\Upsilon$  suppression is observed and the  $R_{pAu}$  is overestimated by the models, however on the edge of combined uncertainties. STAR results for both  $J/\psi$  and  $\Upsilon$   $R_{AA}$  are well described by all the model calculations: Rapp's, SHM and Tsinghua models for  $J/\psi$  and Rapp's and Rothkopf's for  $\Upsilon$ . The only exception is for  $\Upsilon(2S+3S)$  for 30-60% centrality, where Rothkopf's model underestimates the data.

### Acknowledgements

Supported by the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic under grant CZ.02.2.69/ $0.0/0.0/16_{-0.027}/0008465$ 

### References

- [1] Matsui T and Satz H 1986 Physics Letters B 178 416 422 ISSN 0370-2693
- [2] Alessandro, B and others (NA50) 2005 Eur. Phys. J. C39 335-345
- [3] Adamczyk, L et al 2013 Physical Review C 90 024906 ISSN 0556-2813
- [4] L Adamczyk et al 2014 Physics Letters B 735 127 137 ISSN 0370-2693
- [5] Chatrchyan, S et al 2012 Physical Review Letters 109 222301 ISSN 0031-9007
- [6] J Adam et al 2018 Physics Letters B 786 87 93 ISSN 0370-2693
- [7] Adam J et al. (STAR) 2019 (Preprint arXiv:1905.06075[hep-ex])
- [8] Cacciari M, Frixione S, Houdeau N, Mangano M L, Nason P and Ridolfi G 2012 Journal of High Energy Physics 2012 137 ISSN 1029-8479
- Cacciari M, Mangano M L and Nason P 2015 The European Physical Journal C 75 610 ISSN 1434-6052
- [10] Ma Y Q and Venugopalan R 2014 Phys. Rev. Lett. 113(19) 192301
- [11] Ma Y Q and Vogt R 2016 Physical Review D 94 114029 ISSN 2470-0010
- [12] Frawley A, Ullrich T and Vogt R 2008 Physics Reports 462 125 175 ISSN 0370-1573
- [13] Ma Y Q, Wang K and Chao K T 2011 Physical Review Letters 106 042002 ISSN 0031-9007
- [14] Han H, Ma Y Q, Meng C, Shao H S, Zhang Y J and Chao K T 2016 Phys. Rev. D 94(1) 014028
- [15] Vogt R 2015 Phys. Rev. C **92**(3) 034909
- [16] Chatrchyan, S et al 2014 Journal of High Energy Physics 2014 103 ISSN 1029-8479
- [17] B Abelev et al 2012 Physics Letters B 712 165 175 ISSN 0370-2693
- [18] Vogt R 2014 private communication
- [19] Arleo F and Peigné S 2013 Journal of High Energy Physics 2013 122 ISSN 1029-8479
- [20] Adare, A et al (PHENIX Collaboration) 2013 Phys. Rev. C 87(4) 044909
- [21] Adam J et al. (STAR) 2019
- [22] Zhao X and Rapp R 2010 Phys. Rev. C 82(6) 064905
- [23] Andronic A, Braun-Munzinger P, Redlich K and Stachel J 2018 Nature 561 321–330
- [24] Yan L, Zhuang P and Xu N 2006 Phys. Rev. Lett. 97(23) 232301
- [25] Zhou K, Xu N, Xu Z and Zhuang P 2014 Phys. Rev. C 89(5) 054911
- [26] Krouppa B, Rothkopf A and Strickland M 2018 Phys. Rev. D 97(1) 016017
- [27] Du X, He M and Rapp R 2017 Phys. Rev. C 96(5) 054901