The 19th International Conference on Strangeness in Quark Matter

May 17-21, 2021, sponsored by Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York

SQM2021

Supported in part by

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY | Office of Science

Measurement of global polarization of Λ hyperons in Au+Au $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}}$ = 7.2 GeV fixed-target collisions at RHIC-STAR experiment

Kosuke Okubo for the STAR collaboration

University of Tsukuba

Strangeness in Quark Matter Conference 2021

20 , May, 2021

筑波大学 University of Tsukuba

STAR

Introduction

✦In non-central collisions…

‣The created matter should exhibit strong vorticity.

-Z.-T.Liang and X.-N. Wang, PRL94, 102301

‣The strong magnetic field would appear in the initial state.

-D. Kharzeev, L. McLerran, and H. Warring, Nucl.Phys.A803, 227 (2008)

-McLerran and Skokov, Nucl. Phys. A929, 184 (2014)





Global polarization



- Large angular momentum transfers to the spin degrees of freedom:
  - Particle and anti-particle's spins are aligned with angular momentum.
- Spin alignment by magnetic field:
  - Particles and anti-particles get aligned in the opposite direction due to the opposite signs of their magnetic moments.

✓ **Both are considered to contribute to the global polarization.**

How to measure the global polarization?

✦Parity-violating decay of hyperon

▸Daughter proton preferentially decays along the Λ's spin (opposite for anti-Λ).

$$\Lambda \rightarrow p + \pi^-$$ (BR:63.9%, cτ~7.9cm)

▸Polarization can be measured via the distribution of the azimuthal angle of the daughter proton (in the hyperon rest frame).



✦Projection onto the transverse plane

$$P_H = \frac{8}{\pi \alpha_H} \frac{\langle \sin(\Psi_1 - \phi^*_p) \rangle}{Res(\Psi_1)}$$

- STAR, PRC76, 024915(2007)

α_H : decay parameter ($\alpha_\Lambda = 0.732 \pm 0.014$)

P.A. Zyla et al. (PDG), Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.2020, 083C01 (2020).

Ψ_1 : 1^{st}-order event plane

ϕ^*_p : azimuthal angle of the daughter proton in the Λ's rest frame



Motivation



- Λ global polarization has been measured from $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.4 GeV to 5.02 TeV.
  - ✓Polarization increases at low collision energy.
  - ✓No significant difference between Λ and anti-Λ.
  - ✓Preliminary Λ polarization measurement at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.4 GeV from HADES is consistent with zero.
- New analysis of global polarization at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 7.2 GeV with fixed-target experiment.
  - ✓209M events at 7.2 GeV > 4M events at 7.7 GeV (BES I).

    (Good minimum bias events)

The STAR detector



- **Time Projection Chamber (TPC)**
  - Main tracking detector, $|\eta| < 1.0$, full azimuth
- **Time-Of-Flight (TOF)**
  - Particle identification, $|\eta| < 0.9$, full azimuth
- **Event Plane Detector (EPD)**
  - Event plane reconstruction, $2.1 < |\eta| < 5.1$

STAR fixed-target program



Fixed-target



Beam pipe



✓The gold target was installed inside the vacuum pipe at z = 2.0 m.

✓Target is 0.25 mm thick and ~1% interaction probability.

✓209M good minimum bias events for Au+Au with fixed-target experiment at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 7.2 GeV.

✓Mid-rapidity for 7.2 GeV is -2.02.

Event plane correlation and resolution



✓First-order event plane was reconstructed by the following formula.

$$\Psi_1 = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\sum w_i \sin(\phi_i)}{\sum w_i \cos(\phi_i)}\right)$$

✓Event plane resolution was calculated by 3-subevent method.

$$\langle\cos([\Psi_1^A - \Psi_1^B])\rangle = \langle\cos([\Psi_1^A - \Psi_1^{\mathrm{true}}])\rangle\langle\cos([\Psi_1^{\mathrm{true}} - \Psi_1^B])\rangle$$

$$= \sigma_n^A \sigma_n^B$$

$$Res(\Psi_1^A) = \sqrt{\frac{\langle\cos([\Psi_1^A - \Psi_1^B])\rangle\langle\cos([\Psi_1^A - \Psi_1^C])\rangle}{\langle\cos([\Psi_1^B - \Psi_1^C])\rangle}}$$

A : EPD most inner 4 rings

B : TPC $(-0.5 < \eta < 0)$

C : TPC $(-1.5 < \eta < -1.0)$

A. M. Poskanzer and S. A. Voloshin,Phys. Rev. C 58, 1671 (1998).

EPD most inner 4 rings



Λ reconstruction

- Charged particles can be identified via specific ionization energy loss in the TPC and mass estimated from TOF.

❖Proton

✓ $|n\sigma| < 3$

✓ $0.5 < m^2 < 1.5$ (GeV/c²)²

❖Pion

✓ $|n\sigma| < 3$

✓ $-0.06 < m^2 < 0.1$ (GeV/c²)²





❖Topological cut

✓p-DCA > 0.4 cm

✓π-DCA > 1.6 cm

✓p-π DCA < 1.1 cm

✓Λ-DCA < 0.8 cm

✓Decay length > 5.0 cm

(Centrality 30-40%)

These values of topological cut are slightly tuned depending on centrality.





Collision energy dependence of P_H



✓First measurement in Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 7.2 GeV.

- Observed positive Λ global polarization!
- The result follows the global trend of the energy dependence.
  - Λ global polarization increases at lower collision energies.

Centrality dependence of PH







✓Polarization increases in more peripheral collisions.

Rapidity dependence of P_H



W.-T.Deng and X.-G Huang:PRC93,064907



Z.T.Liang et al., Chin.Phys.C 45 (2021) 1, 014102



✓Polarization is expected to depend on rapidity but the prediction is different among models.

✓The result does not show significant rapidity dependence within our acceptance.

✓Polarization in large rapidity region can be explored in the future with iTPC and forward upgrade (2023+2025).

p_T dependence of P_H



✓One might expect …

- decrease at low p_T due to the smearing effect caused by scattering at the later stage of the collisions.

- decrease at high p_T due to jet fragmentation.

J. Adams et al. (STAR), PRC98, 14910 (2018)

✓No significant p_T dependence.

Summary

Summary

✦**We presented Λ global polarization in Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 7.2 GeV with fixed-target configuration.**

- Positive polarization is observed.
- Λ polarization increases at lower collision energies.
- Increasing trend towards peripheral collisions as expected.
- There are no significant rapidity and p_T dependences.

Outlook

- Measurement of anti-Λ polarization.

✓We completed the data taking of BES II + FXT.

- $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 7.2 GeV : 267M(now) → 267M + about 300M.

Collider mode data at BES II

Beam Energy (GeV/nucleon)	$\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ (GeV)	μ_B (MeV)	Number Events Requested (Recorded)	Date Collected
13.5	27	156	(560 M)	Run-18
9.8	19.6	206	400 M (582 M)	Run-19
7.3	14.6	262	300 M (324 M)	Run-19
5.75	11.5	316	230 M (235 M)	Run-20
4.59	9.2	373	160 M (162 M)	Run-20+20b
3.85	7.7	420	100 M (100 M)	Run-21

Fixed-target mode data at BES II

Beam Energy (GeV/nucleon)	$\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ (GeV)	μ_B (MeV)	Run Time	Number Events Requested (Recorded)	Date Collected
31.2	7.7 (FXT)	420	0.5+1.1 days	100 M (50 M+112 M)	Run-19+20
19.5	6.2 (FXT)	487	1.4 days	100 M (118 M)	Run-20
13.5	5.2 (FXT)	541	1.0 day	100 M (103 M)	Run-20
9.8	4.5 (FXT)	589	0.9 days	100 M (108 M)	Run-20
7.3	3.9 (FXT)	633	1.1 days	100 M (117 M)	Run-20
5.75	3.5 (FXT)	666	0.9 days	100 M (116 M)	Run-20
4.59	3.2 (FXT)	699	2.0 days	100 M (200 M)	Run-19
3.85	3.0 (FXT)	721	4.6 days	100 M (259 M)	Run-18

Back up

Pile up rejection

✓Pile up events are removed using TOF start timing(T0).





T0 = the average of Time of Flight - Time of Flight of each particle

Step1 : Count number of pion and proton with T0 from -0.3 to 0.3 [nsec].

Step2 : The event where the number of pion and proton is far from average are removed as pile up events in each multiplicity bin.

‣**About 90% pile up events would be removed.**



Extract the polarization signal

Not corrected for resolution and detector effect





✓Observed polarization is more sharply peaked near Λ mass and it dips on the sides mass peak.

The width of the invariant mass depends on the daughter's azimuthal emission angle relative to the Λ.

Extract the polarization signal

✓Calculate polarization each $\phi_\Lambda - \phi_p^*$ bin.

- Invariant mass method
  - The data was fitted with the following formula.

$$\langle\sin(\Delta\phi)\rangle^{\rm obs} = (1 - f^{\rm Bg}(M_{inv}))\langle\sin(\Delta\phi)\rangle^{\rm Sg} + f^{\rm Bg}(M_{inv})\langle\sin(\Delta\phi)\rangle^{\rm Bg}$$

$$\begin{cases} \Delta\phi = \Psi_1 - \phi_p^* \\ f^{Bg}(M_{inv}) = f(M_{inv}^{Bg})/f(M_{inv}^{obs}) \end{cases}$$





✓Observed polarization is described as follows.

$$\frac{8}{\pi\alpha_H}\frac{1}{R^1{}_{EP}}\left\langle\sin\left(\Psi_1 - \phi^*{}_p\right)\right\rangle^{Sg} = P_\Lambda{}^{true} + cv_1\sin\left(\phi_\Lambda - \phi^*{}_p\right)$$

Systematic uncertainty

✓Different topological cut(~1.6%)

- Ten different cuts are applied.

- p-DCA±0.1cm
- π-DCA±0.1cm
- p-π DCA±0.1cm
- Λ-DCA±0.1cm
- Decay length±0.5cm

✓Method comparison for extracting polarization signal (~17.0%)

- Invariant mass method
- Event plane method

✓Background assumption for polarization in the invariant mass method(~0.4%)

✓Uncertainty from decay parameter α_H(~3.2%)

✓Cumulant correction(~3.8%)

✓Efficiency correction(~8.2%)