



NN – 7.7, 11.3, and 39 Gev Lokesh Kumar (for the STAR Collaboration)



Outline:

Motivation PID Selection Particle ratios Freeze-out parameters Summary

Critical Point and Onset of Deconfinement (CPOD) 7 - 11 November 2011 at Institute of Particle Physics (CCNU)



Motivation



Experimental study: Heavy-ion collisions at varying beam energies

Goals of RHIC BES program:

- Search for the signals of phase boundary
- Search for the possible QCD Critical Point

Extracting freeze-out parameters from spectra and ratios at BES

http://drupal.star.bnl.gov/STAR/starnotes/public/sn0493: arXiv:1007.2613

STAR Some Other Highlights from BES



- \diamond Difference in v₂ of particles and anti-particles
- ♦ Change in sign of proton v₁ compared to p̄ and π⁻
- Higher moments of net-proton distribution will be used for critical point search

Focus: Ratios and freeze-out parameters

√s_{NN} (GeV)



Chemical Freeze-out Picture

Presented at QM2011 for central collisions:



Results at High Energies: STAR: Phys. Rev. C 83 (2011) 34910



System size effect:



Not much change in T_{ch} values with system size (pp, Cu+Cu, and Au+Au)

Discuss in this talk: Centrality dependence



Data Set and Detectors



Particle identification over 2π in azimuthal angle and more than two units in rapidity

Au+Au Collisions: 7.7, 11.5 and 39 GeV |y| < 0.1 $p_T > 0.1$ GeV/c Centrality: 0-80%



√s _{NN} (GeV)	Events Analyzed (M)
7.7	~ 4
11.5	~ 8
39	~ 10



Particle Identification





Au+Au 39 GeV:

Invariant Yield



We measure ~ 70-80% of π , K and p within our p_T acceptance at mid-rapidity Similar measurements are carried out for 7.7 and 11.5 GeV collisions





۲<u>۲</u>

10-2

50

Centrality Dependence of Particle Ratios



STAR Preliminary

○ 200 GeV
□ 62.4 GeV
¥ 39 GeV

😑 11.5 GeV

7 GeV

300 350 400



Errors: Systematic and statistical added in quadrature, dominated by extrapolation to low p_T sectra

- $\Leftrightarrow K^{+}/\pi^{+}$ and p/ π^{+} increase with decrease in energy

Strange particle ratios: X. Zhang, X. Zhu



Chemical Freeze-out

Statistical-Thermal Model (THERMUS):

$$n = \frac{1}{V} \frac{\partial (T \ln Z)}{\partial \mu} = \frac{V T m_i^2 g_i}{2\pi^2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\pm 1)^{k+1}}{k} \left(e^{\beta k \mu_i} \right) K_2 \left(\frac{k m_i}{T} \right)$$

 β =1/T; -1(+1) for fermions (bosons), Z=partition function; m_i = mass of hadron species i; V = volume; T = Temperature; K₂= 2nd order Bessel function; g_i = degeneracy; μ_i = chemical potential

♦ Fitted particle ratios with THERMUS

♦Used grand-canonical approach

 $\diamond \text{Two main parameters: } \textbf{T}_{\text{ch}} \text{ and } \mu_{\text{B}}$





STAR Centrality Dependence: T_{ch} and μ_B



- The chemical freeze-out temperature increases slightly with increase in energy
- ♦ Baryon chemical potential decreases with increase in energy



Kinetic Freeze-out

Blast-Wave (BW) Model:

$$\frac{dN}{p_T dp_T} \propto \int_0^R r dr m_T I_0 \left(\frac{p_T \sinh \rho(r)}{T_{kin}}\right) \times K_1 \left(\frac{m_T \cosh \rho(r)}{T_{kin}}\right)$$

↔ Spectra are fitted simultaneously with BW ↔ Two main parameters: T_{kin} and <β>



Centrality Dependence: T_{kin} and $<\beta>$

STAR



- Kinetic freeze-out temperature decreases with increase in energy and centrality
- Average flow velocity increases with increase in energy and centrality







First time observed, a clear centrality dependence of freeze-out temperature with baryon chemical potential at lower energies



 T_{kin} vs. < β >



Higher kinetic temperature corresponds to lower value of average flow velocity and vice-versa



Summary

Centrality dependence of identified hadrons (π , K, p, antiparticles) p_T spectra and particle ratios are presented for BES energies (7.7, 11.5, and 39 GeV)

- $\hfill\square$ Particle ratios are used to extract T_{ch} and μ_B
 - First observation of clear centrality dependence of freeze-out parameters at low energies
 - New measurements have extended the μ_{B} range covered by RHIC data from 20-400 MeV in the phase diagram
- $\hfill\square$ p_T spectra are used to extract the kinetic freeze-out parameters
 - T_{kin} decreases with increase in energy and centrality
 - Average flow velocity increases with increase in energy and centrality





Thanks

Thanks to STAR Collaboration

Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439 Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973 University of California, Berkeley, California 94720 University of California, Davis, California 95616 University of California, Los Angeles, California 90095 Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Sao Paulo, Brazil University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60607 Creighton University, Omaha, Nebraska 68178 Czech Technical University in Prague, FNSPE, Prague, 115 19, Czech Republic Nuclear Physics Institute AS CR, 250 68 \v{R}e\v{z}/Prague, Czech Republic University of Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar 751005, India Indian Institute of Technology, Mumbai, India Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana 47408 Alikhanov Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia University of Jammu, Jammu 180001, India Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, 141 980, Russia Kent State University, Kent, Ohio 44242 University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky, 40506-0055 Institute of Modern Physics, Lanzhou, China Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720 Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA Max-Planck-Institut f\"ur Physik, Munich, Germany Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824 Moscow Engineering Physics Institute, Moscow Russia

NIKHEF and Utrecht University, Amsterdam, The Netherlands Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210 Old Dominion University, Norfolk, VA, 23529 Panjab University, Chandigarh 160014, India Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania 16802 Institute of High Energy Physics, Protvino, Russia Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907 Pusan National University, Pusan, Republic of Korea University of Rajasthan, Jaipur 302004, India Rice University, Houston, Texas 77251 Universidade de Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo, Brazil University of Science \& Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong 250100, China Shanghai Institute of Applied Physics, Shanghai 201800, China SUBATECH, Nantes, France Texas A\&M University, College Station, Texas 77843 University of Texas, Austin, Texas 78712 University of Houston, Houston, TX, 77204 Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China United States Naval Academy, Annapolis, MD 21402 Valparaiso University, Valparaiso, Indiana 46383 Variable Energy Cyclotron Centre, Kolkata 700064, India Warsaw University of Technology, Warsaw, Poland University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195 Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan 48201 Institute of Particle Physics, CCNU (HZNU), Wuhan 430079, China Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06520 University of Zagreb, Zagreb, HR-10002, Croatia