

Identified Hadron Production from the RHIC Beam Energy Scan Program

Lokesh Kumar (for the STAR Collaboration)

Outline:

Motivation Identified hadron yields and average m_T Particle ratios Freeze-out parameters Nuclear modification factor R_{AA} (from PHENIX) Summary



Motivation-I

QCD Phase Diagram (Hadrons-Partons):



Experimental study: Heavy-ion collisions at varying beam energies

- ➤ Goal of RHIC BES program:
- Search for the phase boundary
- Search for the possible QCD Critical Point

We will discuss the bulk properties of the matter through the measurements of particle yields, average p_T , particle ratios and freeze-out parameters (T_{ch} , μ_{B_i} , T_{kin} and < β >)



Motivation-II

Jet Quenching:



STAR: PRL 97, 152301 (2006); PLB 655, 104 (2007); PLB 637, 161 (2006).

PHENIX: PRC 83,024909 (2011); PRC 82, 011902 (R) (2010); PRL 101, 232301 (2008); PRL 96, 202301 (2006).

$$R_{AA} = \frac{dN_{AA}/d\eta d^2 p_T}{T_{AB} d\sigma_{NN}/d\eta d^2 p_T}$$
$$T_{AB} = N_{binary}/\sigma_{inelastic}^{PP}$$

 $N_{\it binary}$: Number of binary collisions

Large suppression of high p_T meson production in central Au+Au collisions
No suppression in d+Au experiment
Similar suppression in π, η and φ: suppression is at partonic level

No suppression for direct photons: final state effect

What is the energy dependence of R_{AA} for the BES data ??



STAR: Data Set and Detectors Used



Particle identification over 2π in azimuthal angle and more than two units in rapidity

Au+Au Collisions: 7.7, 11.5 and 39 GeV |y| < 0.1 $p_T > 0.1$ GeV/c Centrality: 0-80%



√s _{NN} (GeV)	Good events (proposed) Million MB	
5.0		
7.7	~5(5) - 2010	
11.5	~11 (5) - 2010	
19.6	~17 (15) - 2011	
27	~130 (150) - 2011	
39	~170 (25) - 2010	



STAR: $\pi^{+/-}$, K^{+/-} and p/p̄ Identification





STAR: Large and Uniform Acceptance



Similar acceptance at midrapidity - Crucial for all analyses



Results: Identified hadrons measurements from STAR



Invariant Yield







Errors: statistical and systematic added in quadrature

References for other energies: NA49 : PRC 66 (2002) 054902, PRC 77 (2008) 024903, PRC 73 (2006) 044910 STAR : PRC 79 (2009) 034909, arXiv: 0903.4702; PRC 81 (2010) 024911 E802(AGS) : PRC 58 (1998) 3523, PRC 60 (1999) 044904 E877(AGS) : PRC 62 (2000) 024901 E895(AGS) : PRC 68 (2003) 054903

Results consistent with the published energy dependence
<m_T> - m remains constant for BES energies (7.7, 11.5, and 39 GeV)



Centrality Dependence of Yields & $< p_{T} >$







Au+Au

🏷 7.7 GeV

11.5 GeV

39 GeV

 $\diamond < p_{\tau} >$ increases with centrality - collectivity increases with centrality

STAR Preliminary



Anti-Particle to Particle Ratios





Particle Ratios



Weak decay contribution for π are estimated from HIJING. Error due to this effect included in final errors.

J. Cleymans et al., Eur. Phys. J. A 29, 119 (2006); A. Andronic et al., Phys. Lett B 673, 142 (2009); J. Rafelski, et al. J. Phys. G 35, 044011 (2008); B. Tomasik et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 49, 115 (2007) S. Chatterjee et al., Phys. Rev. C 81, 044907 (2010)

- \succ K/ π ratio indicates the strangeness enhancement
- \succ K⁺/ π ⁺ vs. $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ seems to be best explained using HRG+Hagedorn model
- \succ K/ π at BES energies are consistent with published energy dependence



Spectra and ratios used to obtain freeze-out parameters: μ_B , T_{ch} , T_{kin} , and < β > central collisions



STAR : PRC 79 (2009) 034909 and references therein; STAR : NPA 757 (2005) 102; Andronic et al. NPA 834 (2010) 237

- Baryon chemical potential decreases with energy
- Chemical freeze-out temperature increases with energy at low energies and becomes almost similar at higher energies
- > Kinetic freeze-out temperature decreases with energy after $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \sim 7.7$ GeV
- Average flow velocity increases with energy



Results: Nuclear modification factor R_{AA} from PHENIX







Central collisions: Strong suppression for √s_{NN} = 39, 62.4 and 200 GeV
Peripheral collisions: 62.4 and 200 GeV are suppressed but not 39 GeV

p+p reference at 39GeV taken from E0706 taking care of rapidity acceptance difference



Centrality and Energy Dependence of R_{AA}



 \succ For central collisions:

$$R_{AA}(39) > R_{AA}(62.4) > R_{AA}(200)$$

For peripheral collisions:

$$\mathsf{R}_{\mathsf{A}\mathsf{A}} \; (62.4) \sim \mathsf{R}_{\mathsf{A}\mathsf{A}} \; (200); \; \mathsf{R}_{\mathsf{A}\mathsf{A}} \; (39) \! \geq \! 1$$

Lokesh Kumar

Novitzky



Summary

Bulk Properties:

- dN/dy increases with $\sqrt{S_{NN}}$ and consistent with published energy dependence trend. Inverse slopes of spectra follow: $\pi < K < p$

Baryon Density:

- Reflected in energy dependence of K/ π ratio
- K⁻/K⁺ correlation with \overline{p}/p

□ Freeze-out Conditions:

- New measurements extend the μ_{B} range covered by RHIC data from 20-350 MeV in the phase diagram
- Collectivity increases with beam energy, centrality and mass





Summary Contd.

Nuclear modification factor:

- R_{AA} < 1 is also observed for central Au+Au collisions at √s_{NN} = 39 GeV
- For 0-10% centrality and p_T:4-6 GeV/c: R_{AA} (39) > R_{AA} (62.4) > R_{AA} (200)
- □ More results coming from $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 19.6 and 27 GeV collisions



Thank You



Back-up



Models: K/π

Stati	istical	l Mod	lel

J. Cleymans, H. Oeschler, K. Redlich and S. Wheaton, Eur. Phys. J. A 29, 119 (2006)

- Entropy/T³ as function of collision energy - increases for mesons, and decreases for baryons
- ✓ Thus, a rapid change is expected at the crossing of the two curves, as the hadronic gas undergoes a transition from a baryon- dominated to a meson-dominated gas,T=140 MeV, μ_B =410 MeV, energy ~ 8.2 GeV

Thermal Model

A. Andronic, P. Braun-Munzinger and J. Stachel , Phys. Lett B 673, 142 (2009)

 Includes many higher resonances (m > 2 GeV) and σ-meson which is neglected in most of the models

Statistical Hadronization Model

J. Rafelski, I. Kuznetsova and J. Letessier, J. Phys. G 35, 044011 (2008)

- ✓ Strong interactions saturate particle production matrix elements
- ✓ Below 7.6 GeV system in chemical non-equilibrium, above over saturation of chemical composition

Hadronic nonequilibrium Kinetic Model

B. Tomasik and E. E. Kolomeitsev, Eur. Phys. J. C 49, 115 (2007)

- Surplus of strange particles are produced in secondary reactions of hadrons genera--ted in nuclear collisions.
- ✓ Amount of kaons depend on the lifetime of the whole system

Hadron Resonance Gas + Hagedorn Model

S. Chatterjee, R. M. Godbole, and S. Gupta, Phys. Rev. C 81, 044907 (2010)

- ✓ Assumes a bag of hadron gas. Includes all hadrons up to masses 2 GeV as given in PDG
- ✓ Unknown hadron resonances are included through Hagedorn formula
- ✓ Assumes that the strangeness in the baryon sector decays to strange baryons and does not contribute to kaon production

Lokesh Kumar ICPAQGP 2010

