

30th International Workshop on Deep Inelastic Scattering

March 27–31, 2023

Conference Topics

Structure Functions and Parton Densities
Small- x Diffraction and Vector Mesons
EW Physics and BSM
QCD with Heavy Flavours
Spin and 3D Structure
Future Experiments

Michigan State University
East Lansing, MI, USA

<http://pa.msu.edu/conf/DIS2023>



Local Organizing Committee

Abhay Deshpande (SUNY / BNL)
Aschenauer Elke-Caroline (BNL)
Joey Huston (MSU)
Cynthia 'Thia' Keppel (JLab)
Huey-Wen Lin (MSU, Chair)
Paul E Reimer (ANL)
Reinhard Schwienhorst (MSU)
Chien-Peng Yuan (MSU)

International Advisory Committee

Halina Abramowicz (Tel Aviv)
Néstor Armesto (Santiago de Compostela)
Barbara Badelek (Warsaw)
Olaf Behnke (DESY)
Sergio Bertolucci (INFN)
Jan Brock (Bonn)
Allen Caldwell (MPI Munich)
Amanda Cooper-Sarkar (Oxford)
Abhay Deshpande (SUNY / BNL)
Dmitri Denisov (BNL)
John Dainton (Lancaster)
Cristinel Diaconu (Marseille)
Eckhard Elsen (DESY)
Rolf Ent (JLAB)
Joel Feltesse (Saclay)
Stefano Forte (Milano)
Elisabetta Gallo (DESY)
Haiyan Gao (BNL)
Beate Heinemann (DESY)
Robert Klanner (Hamburg)
Max Klein (Liverpool)
Aharon Levy (Tel Aviv, Co-Chair)
Bob McKeown (JLAB)
Joachim Mnich (CERN)
Rosario Nania (Bologna)
Paul Newman (Birmingham, Co-Chair)
Fred Olness (SMU Dallas)
Marta Ruspa (INFN/Torino)
Juan Terron (Madrid)
Robert Thorne (UCL London)
Katsuo Tokushuku (KEK)
Matthew Wing (DESY / UCL London)
Yuji Yamazaki (Kobe)

Measurements of W^+ / W^- cross-section ratio in pp collisions at STAR

Jae D. Nam

Temple Univ.

For the STAR collaboration

Supported in part by:


U.S. DEPARTMENT OF
ENERGY

Office of Science


1



Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider



RHIC energies, species combinations and luminosities (Run-1 to 22)




- RHIC continues to serve as the world's first and only polarized pp collider.
- Features pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 500/508/510$ GeV and $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV.
- $pA \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV and $AA \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 3 \sim 200$ GeV collisions
- At RHIC, protons can be polarized either:
 - Longitudinally (along the direction of the beam)
 - Proton spin composition
 - Transversely (perpendicular to the beam)
 - 3D image of the proton
 - Or can be unpolarized (if we choose not to look at the polarization)
 - **Parton distribution functions**
 - Non-linear gluon effects




Physics case: \bar{d}/\bar{u} asymmetry

NuSea, Phys.Rev.D 64 (2001) 052002



- While the valence quark (d, u) structure of the proton is well understood, the anti-quark counterpart (\bar{d}, \bar{u}) is much less constrained.
- Non-diminishing asymmetry between the anti-quarks in the proton sea \bar{d}, \bar{u} is a purely non-perturbative phenomenon.
- The anti-quark ratio \bar{d}/\bar{u} is typically measured in Drell-Yan type experiments with deuterons.

SeaQuest, Nature 590 (2021) 7847, 561-565



- Inconsistencies among these measurements have been found, especially in the proton momentum fraction range $x > 0.2$.
- W measurements at RHIC may provide insight around the same x region at higher Q^2 .



W production in pp collisions

- W^\pm cross sections at LO
 - $d\sigma^{W^+} \propto u(x_1)\bar{d}(x_2) + u(x_2)\bar{d}(x_1)$
 - $d\sigma^{W^-} \propto \bar{u}(x_1)d(x_2) + \bar{u}(x_2)d(x_1)$

$$\rightarrow R_W = \frac{\sigma^{W^+}}{\sigma^{W^-}} \sim \frac{u(x_1)\bar{d}(x_2) + u(x_2)\bar{d}(x_1)}{\bar{u}(x_1)d(x_2) + \bar{u}(x_2)d(x_1)}$$

• At LO, momentum scale set by the W mass, $Q^2 \sim M_W^2$.

• Leptonic decay via $W \rightarrow ev$

- $\frac{d\sigma(W^\pm \rightarrow ev)}{dp_{T,e}^2} \propto \frac{(1 \pm \cos \theta)^2}{M_W \cos \theta}$ * θ = angle between W pol. and electron

- $p_{T,e} \sim \frac{M_W}{2} \sin \theta$




→ Jacobian peak at $p_{T,e} \sim M_W/2$

- $y_e \sim y_W + \frac{\ln 1 + \cos \theta}{\ln 1 - \cos \theta}$

→ Charge discrimination as a function of y_e .

• Key features in experiment

- High p_T electron.
 - Electron/hadron discrimination needed.
- Large imbalance in p_T due to missing ν .




P. M. Nadolsky and C.P. Yuan, Nucl.Phys. B666 (2003) 31.

Jae D. Nam


Solenoid Tracker At RHIC (STAR)

- For measurements of W bosons, it is important to achieve near- 4π detector acceptance.
- Time Projection Chamber (TPC)
 - Acceptance of $|\eta| < 1.3$.
 - Provides tracking & PID.
 - charge discrimination
- Electro-Magnetic Calorimeter
 - Barrel (BEMC): $|\eta| < 1$.
 - Endcap (EEMC): $1 < \eta < 2$.
 - Assists in electron/hadron discrimination.
 - Assists in electron charge discrimination.
- Luminosity monitoring & Vertexing



- The W bosons detected in the TPC + BEMC (barrel region) arise from a kinematic region of $0.1 < x < 0.3$.
- EEMC provides coverage in the intermediate rapidity region $1 < \eta < 2$, extending the kinematic reach to $0.06 < x < 0.4$.

RHIC pp Run Overview




Run	\sqrt{s} (GeV)	L (pb^{-1})
2009	500	10
2011	500	25
2012	510	75
2013	510	250
2017	510	350
2022	508	450


- $L \sim 700 + 450 \text{ pb}^{-1}$ of pp collisions with $\sqrt{s} \geq 500$ GeV has been collected at STAR.
 - Initial measurement based on Run 2009 with $L \sim 10 \text{ pb}^{-1}$. (STAR, PRD 85 092010)
 - Follow up study with Run 2011-2013 with $L \sim 350 \text{ pb}^{-1}$ has been published. (STAR, PRD 103,012001)
 - Preliminary study based on Run 2017 with $L \sim 350 \text{ pb}^{-1}$.
 - New dataset with $L \sim 450 \text{ pb}^{-1}$ from Run 2022.



W tagging method





TPC track extrapolated to
BEMC tower grid



- W bosons that undergo the leptonic decay process, $W \rightarrow e\nu$, are tagged.
- Imbalance in p_T due to the missing neutrino. High $\vec{p}_{T,bal}$ ($= \vec{p}_{T,e} + \Sigma \vec{p}_{T,recoil}$) events are selected.
- Unlike hadrons, electrons deposit their energy in a highly concentrated region in the EMC. This isolated electron energy deposit is selected by requiring $E_T^{2 \times 2} / E_T^{4 \times 4} \sim 1$.
- Charge separation from TPC + EMC ($Q_e \times E_T / p_T$).
- Although not in this measurement, full W kinematics can be reconstructed.
 - Used for measurements of Sivers effect.


Signal/background description



- Electroweak ($N_{Z \rightarrow ee}$, $N_{W \rightarrow \tau \nu}$) background
 - Z decays with one unidentified electron
 - Leptonic decay of τ^W
 - Estimated with MC (Pythia)
- QCD background
 - Due to the limited acceptance and kinematic coverage, imbalance in p_T may appear in QCD events.
 - Two methods employed to estimate their contributions:
 - Second EEMC (N_{EEMC})
 - Accounts for missing backward coverage ($-2 < \eta < -1$)
 - Estimated by mirroring the effect of existing EEMC in the forward direction.
 - Data-driven QCD (N_{QCD})
 - Remaining background contribution that passes the selection process.
 - Distribution obtained by using events that do not pass the $p_{T, bal}$ cut.



Efficiencies



- In the W cross-section ratio measurement, the expression of the ratio reduces to:

$$\sigma_{W^+}/\sigma_{W^-} = \frac{N_{obs}^+}{\epsilon^+ \int L dt} / \frac{N_{obs}^-}{\epsilon^- \int L dt}$$


$$= \frac{\epsilon^-}{\epsilon^+} \cdot \frac{N_{sig}^+ - N_{bg}^+}{N_{sig}^- - N_{bg}^-}$$

where ϵ represents the product of the efficiencies of our selection process.

- Lower efficiency in Run 2012 and 2013 (compared to Run 2011) due to higher material budget and event pile-up caused by higher instantaneous luminosity.
- Higher tracking efficiency in 2013 than in 2012 due to improvements in tracking algorithm.
- MC study suggests that the efficiency ratio ϵ^-/ϵ^+ is consistent with unity and the deviation from unity is taken as a source of systematic uncertainty.




Results



- Measurement with STAR 2011-2013 data set has been published (PRD 103 (2021) 1, 012001).
- Additional data set taken in 2017 has been analyzed and is in preliminary release.
- These measurements are consistent with each other within their uncertainties.




Results (continued)



- Shown here is the result from the combined STAR 2011-2013 + 2017 data set.
 - Represents combined statistics of $L \approx 700 \text{ pb}^{-1}$.
 - Overall good agreement with the PDF distributions.
 - Latest PDF sets will be included in the final paper.
 - Unlike the other predictions, JAM19 result extracts both FF and sea quarks.




Results (projection)



- Projection for STAR 2022 data set
 - Combined statistics $\sim 1.15 \text{ fb}^{-1}$
 - Data preparation (detector calibrations, etc.) has been initiated.
 - Concludes 500/510 GeV pp program at STAR

Absolute cross sections




STAR, PRD 103 (2021) 1, 012001

- Measurement of the total cross sections.

$$\sigma_{W/Z}^{fid} = \frac{N_{sig} - N_{bg}}{\epsilon \int L dt}$$

$$B \cdot \sigma_{W/Z}^{tot} = \sigma_{W/Z}^{fid} / A_{W/Z}$$

- Phase space correction on 2011 sample ($\sqrt{s} = 500$ GeV) to match 2012 and 2013 samples ($\sqrt{s} = 510$ GeV) by using FEWZ [PRD 86 (2012) 094034].



Z reconstruction


- The leptonically decaying $Z \rightarrow e^+e^-$ bosons are tagged by looking for electron-positron pairs.
- Additional selection process based on the reconstructed mass M_Z .

- Results with 2017 data set in progress.




PDF impacts

JAM, PRD 104 (2021) 7, 074031



S.Park, DIS2021



- Recent publication (STAR 2011+2012+2013) has been included in global fits.
- STAR data have a moderate impact on the sea quark distributions around $x \sim 0.2$, reducing the uncertainty by $\sim 20\%$.



Summary & Outlook

- W^+ / W^- cross-section ratio has been measured with STAR pp $\sqrt{s} = 500, 510$ GeV datasets.
 - Probe \bar{d}/\bar{u} asymmetry in the proton sea at higher Q^2 , complementary to Drell-Yan measurements.
 - Results based on STAR 2011+2012+2013 ($L \approx 350 \text{ pb}^{-1}$) have been published.
 - STAR 2017 (adds additional $L \approx 350 \text{ pb}^{-1}$) dataset in preliminary state.
 - Combined results consistent with the current PDF distributions.
 - Reduction of uncertainty by $\sim 20\%$ seen from global fit analyses.
- Outlook
 - STAR 2017 results nearing publication.
 - Measurement will reach systematic limit upon inclusion of new STAR 2022 dataset.

