One-dimensional pion femtoscopy in d+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV from STAR

Eugenia Khyzhniak

(for the STAR collaboration)

National Research Nuclear University MEPhI

NUCLEUS-2020

Outline

- Motivation
- Femtoscopy
- Correlation functions and their fits
- Systematic uncertainty
- k_T dependence of R_{inv} and λ
- System comparison

Motivation







Examination of the spatial and temporal scales of the particle-emitting source is one of the ways to study the process of particle production.

M. Podgoretky 1989 Particles & Nuclei 20 630-68

In small systems (like p+p or d+Au) a collision area size is sensitive to fluctuations of initial conditions. Therefore, the detailed nature of particle production becomes important.

A. Bzdak et al. 2013 Phys. Rev. C 87, 064906

C. Plumberg 2020 arXiv:2008.01709

Femtoscopy



Extracted radii measure the homogeneity lengths of the source
Akkelin SV, Sinyukov YM. Phys. Lett. B356:525 (1995)

- Femtoscopy allows one to measure:
  - Size of the emission source
  - Source shape & orientation
  - Lifetime & Emission duration
- System expansion dynamics are influenced by:
  - Transport properties
  - Phase transition/Critical point
  - Initial-state event shape

Analysis technique

Schematic view



1) Construction of the correlation function:

$$C(Q_{inv}) = \frac{A(Q_{inv})}{B(Q_{inv})}$$

$$Q_{inv} = \sqrt{(\vec{p_1} - \vec{p_2})^2 - (E_1 - E_2)^2}$$

- $A(Q_{inv})$ – Q_{inv} distribution with Bose-Einstein statistics (and final-state interactions – Coulomb and strong)
- $B(Q_{inv})$ – Q_{inv} distribution without it

2) Fit of the correlation function:

$$C(Q_{inv}) = N\left(1 - \lambda + \lambda K_{Coul}(Q_{inv})\left(1 + G(Q_{inv})\right)\right) D(Q_{inv})$$

$$G(Q_{inv}) = e^{-q_{inv}^2 R_{inv}^2}$$

N – normalization factor
λ – correlation strength parameter
K_{Coul} - Coulomb correction factor
$D(Q_{inv}) = 1$ (*in this analysis*) – Non-femtoscopic correlations

The STAR experiment



- Colliding system:
  - d+Au@200 GeV

- Pion identification:
  - Time Projection Chamber (TPC) - main tracking detector, $|\eta| < 1.0$, full azimuth

Example of the correlation functions and fits



Gaussian fit assumption:

$$G(Q_{inv}) = e^{-q_{inv}^2 R_{inv}^2}$$

$$\vec{k}_T = \frac{\overrightarrow{p_1}_T + \overrightarrow{p_2}_T}{2}$$

Correlation functions and their fits look reasonable

Lorentzian fit assumption:

$$G(Q_{inv}) = e^{-q_{inv} R_{inv}}$$

Statistical and systematic uncertainty

- For almost all cases statistical uncertainty smaller than marker size
- Sources of the systematic uncertainty:
  - Selection criteria of the events (position of the primary vertex): < 5%
  - Selection criteria of the tracks (momentum of the tracks, tracking efficiencies): < 6%
  - Selection criteria of the pairs (two track effects – merging, splitting): < 2%
  - Fit range: < 3%
  - Coulomb radius: < 3%
- Plan to investigate single track momentum resolution

Example:



k_T dependence of R_{inv} and λ





- Radius decreases with increasing k_T
- Radius increases with increasing particle multiplicity

- Correlation strength parameter decreases with particle multiplicities
  - Influences of the resonances increases?

Summary

- Femtoscopic parameters were obtained for d+Au colliding system
- The k_T dependence of the R_{inv} shows the dynamic of the system and allows to probe the different regions of the homogeneity in d+Au system
- Radius increases with increasing particle multiplicity
- Correlation strength parameter decreases with particle multiplicities

Thank you for your attention!

Back-up slide

Selection criteria

Event cuts	Track cuts	Pair cuts	Pion TPC cuts
$\lvert Z_{TPC} \rvert$ (cm) < 40	$N_{Hits} > 15$	-0.5 < Splitting Level (quality) < 0.6	$\lvert n\sigma_{pion} \rvert$ < 2
$\sqrt{X_{TPC}^2 + Y_{TPC}^2}$ (cm) < 2	$N_{Hits}/N_{HitsFit} > 0.51$	0.15 < k_T (GeV/c) < 1.05	$\lvert n\sigma_{other} \rvert$ > 2
$\lvert Z_{TPC} - Z_{VPD} \rvert$ (cm) < 5	DCA < 2 cm	Average Separation of two tracks within TPC volume (cm) > 10	
	$\lvert \eta \rvert$ < 0.5	-1.1 < Fraction of Merged Hits (%) < 0.1	
	0.15 < p (GeV/c) < 0.8		