# The Forward Calorimeter at STAR

# Daniel Brown, for the STAR Collaboration



## Abstract

A forward calorimeter utilizing hadronic and electromagnetic calorimetry at the STAR experiment of RHIC will achieve a variety of physics goals. These goals include studying long-range rapidity and event plane correlations in heavy ion interactions as well as the gluon contribution to the proton spin problem achieved by studying forward di-jets and forward-mid rapidity jet correlations. Upgrades to the AGS E864 leadscintillating fiber calorimeter have increased spatial resolution by utilizing cell pixelization. Pixelization increases spatial resolution by replacing a single photosensor on individual 10cm x 10cm cells by a set of nine photosensors, resulting in 3.3cm x 3.3cm pixels. Pixelizaion was tested with colliding beams at FNAL. Light collection has been optimized and fringe field effects have been minimized by the introduction of Fresnel lenses and mu-metal shielding. These upgrades increase light collection efficiency and reduce fringe field effects to near-negligible levels. A prototype consisting of a 2x3 cell stack was installed into the east end of STAR for the end of run16. This prototype investigated the introduction of these new techniques as well as a trial of Silicon Photomultipliers (SiPMs) as an alternate to traditional Photomultiplier Tubes (PMTs). SiPMs do not suffer from fringe field effects but direct observation of radiation effects was required. The prototype observed Au+Au collisions at 200GeV. There is a proposal to install two 9-column x 12-row cell stacks as forward jet detectors at STAR, with 16 cells in each stack pixelized. This poster discusses the effects of Fresnel lenses on light collection, mumetal shielding effects on PMTs, and radiation effects on SiPMs.

# The Forward Calorimeter

# Physics Motivation

### Event plane detector for all energies

- Strong flow at large  $\eta \rightarrow$  Better event plane resolution
- Large  $\eta$  gap  $\rightarrow$  Smaller non flow contribution to flow analyses Long range near side rapidity correlation Forward jets in asymmetric HI collisions





### Improvements Central pixelization of 4x4 set of cells



What we started with AGS-E864 Collaboration calorimeter previously at AnDy

- Two 9x12 stacks
  - 10 cm x 10 cm x 117 cm per cell
  - Acrylic light guides and Photomultiplier tubes
- Lead blocks with Spaghetti-type scintillators
- 47 x 47 array of fibers per cell
- 7 Nuclear interaction lengths
- Hadronic resolution of 34%/ VE
- 2.7 η to 4.5 η coverage

10 cm



# Run 16 Prototype

# Prototype Location

North side of the east tunnel • Less iron  $\rightarrow$  Lower field effects

# Prototype Purpose

- Reaffirming Run 14 Findings • Retain high stability
- Hardware Tests
- Mu-metal Shielding
- Fresnel Light Guides
- Silicon Photomultipliers (SiPMs) **Neutral Pion Finding**
- Calibration



# Testing Specifications

- 9 Fresnel lenses with SiPMs
- 27 Acrylic Light Guide with PMTs

# Mu-metal Shielding

Magnetic field effects on photomultiplier tubes (PMTs)

- Magnetic field alters cascade in PMTs Magnetic field shielding
- Known passive shielding
- Amount required
- Interaction with optical system







## 54 pixels at 3.3 cm x 3.3 cm

- 18 Fresnel lenses with PMTs



- 3.3 cm x 3.3 cm per pixel
- Fresnel Lenses and Photomultiplier tubes Utilization of Mu-Metal to reduce magnetic field effects



- Increased spatial resolution
- Allows resolution of distinct jet events
- One Di-jet pair resolves to two separate di-jet pairs

# Run 14 Testing

## Test Purpose

Analysis of implementation in the STAR environment

- 6 x 6 cell array in north side of the west tunnel
  - 18 x 18 pixel count
- $Vs_{NN} = 200 \text{ GeV}$  Au+Au collisions for commissioning
- $Vs_{NN} = 200 \text{ GeV} ^{3}\text{He}+\text{Au}$  collisions for calibration and analysis
- Best transverse resolution in forward direction
  - Granularity
  - Hadronic response
  - Large rapidity window









- Commercial item
- Can be machined to fit
- Can be installed after stacking
- Alternative to acrylic light guide
- Comparison of light collection levels



## Silicon Photomultipliers Compared to photomultiplier tubes

- No magnetic field effects
- Lower cost
- Smaller
- Stability over time
- Investigation of radiation resistance

# Results

### **Mu-Metal Shielding**

- Acrylic light guides limit length
- Fits into Fresnel lens system
- 8 degree tilt reduces field effects
- Optimal minimal recess of 5.8 cm
- Reduces magnetic field effects to <5%





### Fresnel Lens Light Guides

- Greater light levels than acrylic light guides
- More secure mounting of photomultiplier tubes
- Allows for proper recession distance Neutral pion finding
- STAR Trigger used





# Test Results

Average energy deposition per run shows stable response throughout the operation

Average energy deposition per run, for entire 200 GeV 3He+Au operation as a function of run number, for three selected pixels which are close to beam pipe.

### Silicon Photomultipliers

- Large gain change due to radiation damage
- Not suitable for this application due to proximity to beam pipe – 1.5 m Magenta: SiPM Blue: PMT 28 Days



- Min bias Au+Au collisions used
- 12% of available data used
- 4 pixels of Run16 data shown





The STAR Collaboration drupal.star.bnl.gov/STAR/presentations

