

Measurement of non-flow influence on the CMW-sensitive slope parameter from STAR

Haojie Xu (for the STAR Collaboration)

Huzhou University



Chiral Magnetic Wave (CMW):



Trivial linear A_{ch} term from non-flow correlations

> The two-particle Q-cumulant method : $Q_n = \sum_{j=1}^M e^{in\phi_j}$, $q_n = \sum_{j=1}^m e^{in\phi_j}$,

 $d_n\{2\} = \langle q_n Q_n^* / m M \rangle$ where *m*, *M* are the multiplicity of particle of interest (POI) and

references (REF) particles, and $v_n^{\pi}\{2;h\} = d_n\{2;\pi h\}/\sqrt{c_n\{2\}}$

 \blacktriangleright With all charged hadrons as references, d_n {2} can be written in a given A_{ch} as

$$d_n\{2; \pi^{\pm}h\} = \frac{\sum q_n^{\pi^{\pm}}(Q_{n+} + Q_{n-})}{\sum mM} = \frac{1 + A_{ch}}{2} \frac{\sum q_n^{\pi^{\pm}}Q_{n+}}{\sum mN_+} + \frac{1 - A_{ch}}{2} \frac{\sum q_n^{\pi^{\pm}}Q_{n-}}{\sum mN_-}$$

 A_{ch} dependence of π elliptic flow CMW-induced electric $A_{ch} = \frac{N_{+} - N_{-}}{N_{+} + N_{-}}$ quadrupole deformation

- > The CMW is a gapless collective excitation of the QGP stemming from the interplay of the chiral magnetic and chiral separation effect.
- > The CMW could introduce an electric quadrupole moment, giving opposite contributions to the elliptic flow of π^+ and π^- .
- \succ The contribution of CMW to π triangle flow difference is expected to be zero

$$\equiv \frac{d_n\{2; \pi^{\pm}h^+\} + d_n\{2; \pi^{\pm}h^-\}}{2} + \frac{d_n\{2; \pi^{\pm}h^+\} - d_n\{2; \pi^{\pm}h^-\}}{2} A_{ch}.$$

- > An automatic (trivial) linear- A_{ch} term arises, and is non-zero because the **non-flow** differs between like-sign and unlike-sign pairs.
- **Remove the trivial linear-** A_{ch} term: Use positive (h^+) and negative particles (h^-) as

REF separately, and then take the average $v_n^{\pi} = (v_n^{\pi}\{2; h^+\} + v_n^{\pi}\{2; h^-\})/2$.

H. Xu, J. Zhao, Y. Feng, F. Wang, "Complications in the interpretation of the charge asymmetry" dependent π flow for the chiral magnetic wave ", arXiv:1910.02896

The STAR experiment:



 \Box Dataset: Au+Au@ $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 200GeV, 2016

Results: The normalized slope parameter: $r_{\Delta v_n}^{\text{Norm}}$ extracted from Norm. $\Delta v_n(A_{ch}) = 2(v_n^{\pi^-} - v_n^{\pi^+})/(v_n^{\pi^-} + v_n^{\pi^+}).$

> All charge hadrons as REF (previous results): Positive Norm. $\Delta v_2^{\pi}(A_{ch})$ slope, negative Norm. $\Delta v_3^{\pi}(A_{ch})$ slope (central and peripheral). Trivial

Single-sign charges as REF (new results, trivial term removed): For 20-60% centrality, the $r_{\Delta v_2}^{Norm}$ and $r_{\Delta v_3}^{Norm}$ slopes are consistent with each

Summary:

- \succ The CMW flow measurement automatically introduces a trivial linear- A_{ch} term if:
 - (a) there exists non-flow difference between like-sign and unlike-sign pairs; (b) all charged hadrons are used as reference particles.
- > After removing the trivial non-flow contribution by using single-sign charges as references, the $r_{\Delta v_2}^{Norm}$ and $r_{\Delta v_2}^{Norm}$ slopes are consistent with each
 - other within 0.2 σ for 20-60% centrality, dominated by the $r_{\Delta v_3}^{Norm}$ error. The $r_{\Delta v_3}^{Norm}$ is 1.5 σ above zero.
- \triangleright Our results suggest background contributions to the measured CMW-sensitive slope parameter.



The STAR Collaboration: http://drupal.star.bnl.gov/STAR/presentations



