Measurements of the Chiral Magnetic Effect with Background Isolation in 200 GeV Au+Au Collisions at STAR

Jie Zhao (for the STAR collaboration)

May 16 2018

Purdue University, West Lafayette

Outline

- Chiral Magnetic Effect (CME)
- RHIC-STAR experiment
- Background issue
- Invariant mass dep. of the $\Delta\gamma$ correlator
- $\Delta\gamma$ with respect to Ψ_{RP} (ZDC) and Ψ_{PP} (TPC)
- Summary

Another method, poster #593
by Niseem Magdy (for STAR)

Ψ_{RP}: reaction plane ; Ψ_{PP}: participant plane

Chiral Magnetic Effect (CME)

Kharzeev, *et al*. NPA 803, 227 (2008)
Voloshin, PRC 70, 057901 (2004)





$j_V = \frac{N_c e}{2\pi^2}\mu_A B,$ ⇨ electric charge separation along the B field

- Gluon configuration with non-zero topological charge (Q_w) converts left (right)-handed fermions to right (left)-handed fermions, generating electric current along B direction and leading to electric charge separation
- Experimentally, $\boxed{\gamma = \cos(\phi_\alpha + \phi_\beta - 2\psi_{RP})}$ used to search for the CME

The STAR detector







- ➢**Time Projection Chamber** (ϕ=0-2π, |η|<1)

  Tracking - momentum

  Ionization energy loss - dE/dx (particle identification)

- ➢**Time Of Flight detector** (ϕ=0-2π, |η|<0.9)

  Timing resolution <100ps - PID improvement

Background issue

STAR, PRL 103,251601 (2009); PRC 81,54908 (2010); PRC 88,64911 (2013)





STAR, PRC 89,044908 (2014)



Jie Zhao (STAR), ISMD2017

ϕ_α, ϕ_β, ϕ_c are the azimuthal angles of the charged particles measure by STAR TPC

- $\Delta\gamma = \gamma_{OS} - \gamma_{SS}$ correlator consistent with CME expectation
- Recent measurements of charge correlations suggest dominant, if not all, background contribution
- What is the background?

Resonance decay background

STAR, PRL 92,092301 (2004)

F. Wang, J. Zhao, PRC 95,051901(R) (2017)
STAR, PRL103,251601 (2009)



$+ \boxed{v_2} \rightarrow$



$$\langle \cos(\phi_\alpha + \phi_\beta - 2\psi_{RP}) \rangle$$

$$= \langle \cos(\phi_\alpha + \phi_\beta - 2\phi_{reso.} + 2\phi_{reso.} - 2\psi_{RP}) \rangle$$

$$\approx \boxed{\langle \cos(\phi_\alpha + \phi_\beta - 2\phi_{reso.} \rangle} \times \boxed{v_{2,\,reso.}}$$

- ➢ Resonance background: resonance decay + v_2 ➔ CME-like Δγ
- ➢ Can we remove/isolate the background?
- ➢ Exploiting invariant mass dependence of Δγ J. Zhao, *et al*, arXiv:1705.05410
- ➢ Δγ with respect to Ψ_{RP} and Ψ_{PP} H.-J. Xu, *et al*, arXiv:1710.07265

Identify resonance Bkg by $m_{inv}(\pi^+\pi^-)$



Full TPC acc. (|η|<1), pion PID by TOF

$$\gamma = \cos(\phi_\alpha + \phi_\beta - 2\phi_c)/v_{2,c}\{2\}$$





- Data show resonance structure in Δγ as function of invariant mass (m_{inv})
- At high m_{inv} >1.5 GeV/c², Δγ is (5±2±4)% of the inclusive Δγ in 200 GeV Au+Au 20-50%
- Systematic uncertainty currently estimated by run differences and different ways of combining runs (combine the Δγ first or the fractions directly)

Bkg shape by event shape engineering





$$\gamma = \cos(\phi_\alpha + \phi_\beta - 2\phi_c)/v_{2,c}\{2\}$$

$$\vec{q}_2 = \frac{1}{N}\sum(\cos 2\phi, \sin 2\phi)$$

$\Delta\gamma(m) = \boxed{r(m)^*\cos(\alpha+\beta-2\phi_{reso.})^* v_{2,reso.}} + \text{CME}$

Bkg Δγ mass shape

ESE select events with diff. v_2 by q_2 class (A, B)

Bkg Δγ mass shape: $\Delta\gamma_A$-$\Delta\gamma_B$

CME the same for events from different q_2 classes

Fit $\Delta\gamma = k^*(\Delta\gamma_A-\Delta\gamma_B)$ + CME

Fit range m_{inv} from 0.4 to 1.5 GeV/c^2

- TPC sub-event, one side for ESE (other side for ref.), pion PID by TPC dE/dx
- Obtain the Bkg Δγ m_{inv} shape by event shape engineering (ESE)

Bkg shape by event shape engineering



Fit range m_{inv} from 0.4 to 1.5 GeV/c^2



$$\gamma = \cos(\phi_\alpha + \phi_\beta - 2\phi_c) / v_{2,c}\{2\}$$

$$\vec{q}_2 = \frac{1}{N}\sum(\cos 2\phi, \sin 2\phi)$$



Bkg Δγ mass shape: **$\Delta\gamma_A$-$\Delta\gamma_B$**

$\Delta\gamma = k^*(\Delta\gamma_A - \Delta\gamma_B) + CME$

To properly handle errors, fit $\Delta\gamma_A$ vs. $\Delta\gamma_B$ with

$\Delta\gamma_A = b^*\Delta\gamma_B + (1-b)^*CME$

- TPC sub-event, one side for ESE (other side for ref.), pion PID by TPC dE/dx
- Obtain the Bkg Δγ m_{inv} shape by event shape engineering (ESE)

Bkg + CME fit at low invariant mass



$\Delta\gamma_A = b*\Delta\gamma_B + (1-b)*CME$



- ➢ Bkg subtracted $\Delta\gamma$ / inclusive $\Delta\gamma$:

(2±4±6)% in 200 GeV 20-50% Au+Au

Use Ψ_{PP} and Ψ_{RP} to solve Bkg and CME

- Ψ_{PP} maximizes flow, ➔ flow background
- Ψ_{RP} maximizes the magnetic field (B), ➔ CME signal
- Ψ_{PP} and Ψ_{RP} are correlated, but not identical due to geometry fluctuations

H-J. Xu, *et al*, arXiv:1710.07265

$\Delta\gamma$ w.r.t. TPC Ψ_{EP} (proxy of Ψ_{PP}) and ZDC Ψ_1 (proxy of Ψ_{RP}) contain different fractions of CME and Bkg



$$\Delta\gamma\{\psi_{\mathrm{TPC}}\} = \mathrm{CME}\{\psi_{\mathrm{TPC}}\} + \mathrm{Bkg}\{\psi_{\mathrm{TPC}}\}$$

$$\Delta\gamma\{\psi_{\mathrm{ZDC}}\} = \mathrm{CME}\{\psi_{\mathrm{ZDC}}\} + \mathrm{Bkg}\{\psi_{\mathrm{ZDC}}\}$$

$$\boxed{\mathrm{CME}\{\psi_{\mathrm{TPC}}\} = a * \mathrm{CME}\{\psi_{ZDC}\},\ \mathrm{Bkg}\{\psi_{\mathrm{ZDC}}\} = a * \mathrm{Bkg}\{\psi_{\mathrm{TPC}}\}}$$

$$a = v_2\{\psi_{\mathrm{ZDC}}\} / v_2\{\psi_{\mathrm{TPC}}\},\ A = \Delta\gamma\{\psi_{\mathrm{ZDC}}\} / \Delta\gamma\{\psi_{\mathrm{TPC}}\}$$

Both are experimental measurements

$$r = \frac{\mathrm{CME}\{\psi_{\mathrm{ZDC}}\}}{\mathrm{Bkg}\{\psi_{\mathrm{TPC}}\}} = \left(\frac{a-1}{a+1} - \frac{A-1}{A+1}\right) \Big/ \left(\frac{a-1}{a+1} + \frac{A-1}{A+1}\right) = \frac{A-a}{1-Aa}$$

$$\boxed{f_{\mathrm{EP}}(\mathrm{CME}) = \mathrm{CME}\{\psi_{\mathrm{TPC}}\} / \Delta\gamma\{\psi_{\mathrm{TPC}}\} = r / (r + 1/a) = (A/a - 1)/(1/a^2 - 1)}$$

Δγ with respect to Ψ_{PP} and Ψ_{RP}

TPC sub-event (east and west) method to reduce non-flow effects









$\gamma = \cos(\phi_\alpha+\phi_\beta-2\psi)/R$, $v_2 = \cos(2\phi - 2\psi)/R$

$\Psi = \Psi_{PP}$ or Ψ_{RP}, R the corresponding resolution

Ψ_{PP} from TPC ψ_{EP1} ($-1<\eta<-0.075$) or ψ_{EP2} ($0.075<\eta<1$)

Ψ_{RP} from combined ZDC ψ_{zdc1} and ψ_{zdc2}



Poskanzer, Voloshin, PRC 58, 3 (1998);
STAR, PRC 86, 054908 (2012)

$\Delta\gamma$ with respect to Ψ_{PP} and Ψ_{RP}

nevertheless also look at full TPC acceptance



CME (EP) fraction	20-50% centrality
TPC sub-event	(9±4±7)%
TPC full-event	(12±4±11)%

- CME fractions are (9±4±7)% and (12±4±11)% from TPC sub-event and TPC full-event methods in 200 GeV 20-50% Au+Au collisions, respectively

Summary

- Identify resonance Bkg by $\pi\pi$ invariant mass.
  Observation of resonance structure in $\Delta\gamma$ at m_{inv}<1.5 GeV/c^2.
  Isolate the possible CME from Bkg by invariant mass + ESE.
- $\Delta\gamma$ with respect to Ψ_{PP} and Ψ_{RP}, isolate possible CME from Bkg

Year	Minbias events
Run11	~0.5B
Run14	~0.8B
Run16	~1.2B



- These data-driven estimates indicate that:
  possible CME signal is small, within 1-2σ from zero

Summary

- Identify resonance Bkg by $\pi\pi$ invariant mass.
  Observation of resonance structure in $\Delta\gamma$ at m_{inv}<1.5 GeV/c^2.
  Isolate the possible CME from Bkg by invariant mass + ESE.
- $\Delta\gamma$ with respect to Ψ_{PP} and Ψ_{RP}, isolate possible CME from Bkg

Year	Minbias events
Run11	~0.5B
Run14	~0.8B
Run16	~1.2B

- More Au+Au data (+isobar)
- Consider ZDC upgrades for Ψ_{RP}



- These data-driven estimates indicate that:
  possible CME signal is small, within 1-2σ from zero