

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com





Nuclear Physics A 00 (2020) 1-4

www.elsevier.com/locate/procedia

XXVIIIth International Conference on Ultrarelativistic Nucleus-Nucleus Collisions (Quark Matter 2019)

Search for CME in U+U and Au+Au collisions in STAR with different approaches of handling backgrounds

Jie Zhao (for the STAR collaboration)

Department of Physics and Astronomy, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, 47907, USA

Abstract

The chiral magnetic effect (CME) refers to charge separation along a strong magnetic field between left- and right-1 handed quarks, caused by interactions with topological gluon fields from QCD vacuum fluctuations. We present two 2 approaches to handle the dominant elliptic flow (v_2) background in the three-particle correlator, $\Delta \gamma_{112}$, sensitive to CME. In the first approach, we present the $\Delta \gamma_{112}$ and $\Delta \gamma_{123}$ measurements in U+U and Au+Au collisions. While hydrodynamic simulations including resonance decays and local charge conservation predict that $\Delta \gamma_{112}$ scaled by N_{part}/ν_2 will 5 be similar in U+U and Au+Au collisions, the projected B-field exhibits a distinct difference between the two systems 6 and with varying N_{part} . Therefore, U+U and Au+Au collisions provide configurations with different expectations for both CME signal and background. Moreover, the three-particle observable $\Delta \gamma_{123}$ scaled by N_{part}/v_3 provide baseline 8 measurement for only the background. In the second approach, we handle the v_2 background by measuring $\Delta \gamma_{112}$ with respect to the planes of spectators 10 measured by Zero Degree Calorimeters and participants measured by Time Projection Chamber. These measurements 11 contain different amounts of contributions from CME signal (along B-field, due to spectators) and v_2 background (de-12 termined by the participant geometry). With the two $\Delta \gamma_{112}$ measurements, the possible CME signal and the background 13

¹⁴ contribution can be determined. We report such a measurement at Au+Au 27 GeV with the newly installed event plane ¹⁵ detector, and report the new findings in U+U system where the spectator-participant plane correlations are expected to

differ from those in Au+Au collisions.

Keywords: QCD, heavy-ion collisions, chiral magnetic effect, spectators plane, participant plane

17 **1. Introduction**

Quark interactions with fluctuating topological gluon field can induce chirality imbalance and local parity violation in quantum chromodynamics (QCD) [1, 2, 3]. This can lead to electric charge separation in

the presence of a strong magnetic field (*B*), a phenomenon known as the chiral magnetic effect (CME) [4, 5]. Such a strong *B* may be present in non-central heavy-ion collisions, generated by the spectator protons at

Such a strong *B* may be present in non-central heavy-ion collisions, generated by the spectator protons at early times [6, 7]. Extensive theoretical and experimental efforts have been devoted to the search for the

²³ CME-induced charge separation along *B* in heavy-ion collisions [8, 9, 10, 11].

/ Nuclear Physics A 00 (2020) 1-4

24 2. Results

²⁵ We present two approaches to handle the dominant elliptic flow (v_2) background in the observable, $\Delta \gamma_{112}$ ²⁶ (charge separation across second-order event plane), sensitive to CME.

In the first approach, we present the $\Delta \gamma_{112}$, $\Delta \gamma_{123}$ and $\Delta \gamma_{132}$ measurements in U+U and Au+Au collisions. The systematic studies of the $\Delta \gamma_{112}$, $\Delta \gamma_{123}$ and $\Delta \gamma_{132}$ in those two systems can provide insights on the CME signal and background behaviors. Figure 1 left upper panel show the expected B-field from MC-Glauber calculations [12], which indicate that U+U and Au+Au have large B-field difference at large N_{part} . Charge separation driven by CME should be sensitive to such difference. On the other hand, background model studies using hydrodynamic simulations [13] indicate background to be similar between U+U and

³³ Au+Au as seen in Fig. 1 left lower panel. Furthermore, the third harmonic event-plane (ψ_3) is not expected ³⁴ to be correlated with the magnetic field. One thus does not expect CME contribute to $\Delta \gamma_{123}$.

> $\times 10^3$ 0.6 nent and Δ_{γ112}/v₂ × N_{part} (a) 14 U+U 193 GeV Projected B-field (a) 0.4 12 Au+Au 200 GeV 0.2 10 STAR Preliminary 8 0 U+U 193 GeV Au+Au 200 Ge 6 0.6 $\Delta\gamma_{123}/v_3 \times N_{part}$ 4 (b) 0.4 2 0 0.2 Flow Background (b) 0 0.6 |η_{a,b,} 0.4 0.6 (c) $\Delta\gamma_{132}N_2 \times N_{part}$ U+U Hydro + Max. LCC 0.4 0.2 0.2 0 U+U (Hydro+maxLCC) U+U (Hydro) 0 Au+Au (Hydro+maxLCC) Au+Au (Hydro) rel 200 N_{part} var 0 100 300 400 300 as sha 0 100 200 400 500 N_{part} 1 as vert

Fig. 1. (Left upper) Predictions from MC-Glauber model [12] for projected magnetic field in Au+Au and U+U collisions. (Left bottom) Predictions for flow driven background using hydrodynamic simulations [13]. (Right) The $\Delta \gamma_{112}$, $\Delta \gamma_{123}$ and $\Delta \gamma_{132}$ measurements in U+U and Au+Au collisions.

Figure 1 right panels show the $\Delta \gamma_{112}$, $\Delta \gamma_{123}$ and $\Delta \gamma_{132}$ measurements in U+U and Au+Au collisions. Background contribution based on hydrodynamic simulations with local charge conservation and global momentum conservation are included for comparison. The mixed-harmonic correlations do not follow signal-only or background-only expectations. Interesting features in ultra-central collisions are observed, which need further investigations.

In the second approach, we study the $\Delta \gamma$ measurements with respect to participant plane ($\psi_{\rm PP}$) and 40 spectator plane (ψ_{SP}). The CME refers to charge separation along a strong magnetic field. The magnetic 41 field is mainly produced by spectator protons in heavy ion collisions, strongest perpendicular to the ψ_{SP} . On 42 the other hand, the major elliptic flow background is determined by the participant geometry, largest in the 43 $\psi_{\rm PP}$. The $\psi_{\rm SP}$ and the $\psi_{\rm PP}$ can be assessed, experimentally in STAR, by the spectator neutrons in zero degree 44 calorimeters, ψ_{ZDC} , and by mid-rapidity particles in the time projection chamber, ψ_{TPC} , respectively. The 45 $\Delta\gamma$ measurements with respect to ψ_{ZDC} and ψ_{TPC} can therefore resolve the possible CME signal (and the 46 background). Consider the measured $\Delta \gamma$ to be composed of the v_2 background and the CME signal: 47

$$\Delta\gamma\{\psi_{\text{TPC}}\} = \Delta\gamma_{\text{CME}}\{\psi_{\text{TPC}}\} + \Delta\gamma_{\text{Bkg}}\{\psi_{\text{TPC}}\}, \ \Delta\gamma\{\psi_{\text{ZDC}}\} = \Delta\gamma_{\text{CME}}\{\psi_{\text{ZDC}}\} + \Delta\gamma_{\text{Bkg}}\{\psi_{\text{ZDC}}\}.$$
 (1)

⁴⁸ Assuming the CME is proportional to the magnetic field squared and background is proportional to v_2 [14], ⁴⁹ both projected onto the ψ direction, we have

$$\Delta \gamma_{\rm CME} \{\psi_{\rm TPC}\} = a \Delta \gamma_{\rm CME} \{\psi_{\rm ZDC}\}, \ \Delta \gamma_{\rm Bkg} \{\psi_{\rm ZDC}\} = a \Delta \gamma_{\rm Bkg} \{\psi_{\rm TPC}\}, \tag{2}$$

2

$$u = v_2 \{\psi_{\text{ZDC}}\} / v_2 \{\psi_{\text{TPC}}\}.$$
 (3)

⁵¹ The CME signal relative to the inclusive $\Delta \gamma \{\psi_{\text{TPC}}\}$ measurement is then given by

C

$$f_{\text{CME}}^{\text{EP}} = \Delta \gamma_{\text{CME}} \{ \psi_{\text{TPC}} \} / \Delta \gamma \{ \psi_{\text{TPC}} \} = (A/a - 1)(1/a^2 - 1), \tag{4}$$

52 where

50

$$A = \Delta \gamma \{\psi_{\text{ZDC}}\} / \Delta \gamma \{\psi_{\text{TPC}}\}.$$
(5)

⁵³ Note the only two free parameters a and A can be measured experimentally.

Applying this method, we have previously reported the measurements of possible CME signal fraction in 54 200 GeV Au+Au collisions, revealing dominant background contribution [15]. Here, we report our findings 55 in U+U collisions where the spectator-participant plane correlations are expected to differ from those in 56 Au+Au collisions. Figure 2 upper panels show the measured v_2 (left) and $\Delta \gamma$ (right) with respect to the 57 ψ_{ZDC} and ψ_{TPC} , as functions of the collision centrality. Figure 2 bottom panels show the ratio of v_2 (left) 58 measured with respect to the ψ_{ZDC} and that with respect to ψ_{TPC} , the *a* in Eq. 3, and the ratio of $\Delta \gamma$ (right), 59 the A in Eq. 5, as functions of the collision centrality in Au+Au 200 GeV and U+U 193 GeV. Data indicate 60 difference in v_2 between central U+U and Au+Au. And the "a" and "A" are similar both in trend and 61 magnitude, which indicate background contribution dominants in the $\Delta \gamma_{112}$ measurements. 62



Fig. 2. The centrality dependences of the v_2 (Upper left) and $\Delta \gamma$ (Upper right) measured with respect to the ZDC and TPC event planes. The corresponding ratios of the v_2 (Bottom left) and $\Delta \gamma$ (Bottom right) measured with respect to that two planes.

Figure 3 show the extracted CME fractions (f_{CME}) at Au+Au 200 GeV and U+U 193 GeV. The combined results is $f_{CME} = 8 \pm 4 \pm 8\%$.

⁶⁵ At Au+Au 27 GeV, the differential $\Delta \gamma$ measurements can be achieved by the newly installed Event Plane ⁶⁶ Detector (EPD) (2.1 < $|\eta|$ < 5.1) [16]. At this energy, the rapidity of the colliding beam (y_{beam} =3.4) falls ⁶⁷ in the middle of acceptance of EPD. Therefore the EPD can provide an unique way to search for CME

using both ψ_{PP} , by outer EPD, and ψ_{SP} , by inner EPD. Figure 4 upper panel shows the multiplicity and

 v_2 scaled $\Delta \gamma$ measurements with respect to ψ_{PP} and ψ_{SP} from EPD [17]. The bottom panel show that the

⁷⁰ corresponding ratio of v_2 or $\Delta \gamma$ measurements with ψ_{SP} over the one with ψ_{PP} is consistent with unity with

⁷¹ large uncertainty, indicating CME fraction is consistent with zero. More quantitative studies are in progress.



Fig. 3. The extracted CME fractions (f_{CME}) from Au+Au 200 GeV and U+U 193 GeV.

Fig. 4. (Upper) The multiplicity and v_2 scaled $\Delta \gamma$ measured with respect to participant and spectator planes from EPD, (Bottom) the corresponding ratio between participant and spectator planes.

72 3. Summary

- ⁷³ In summary, we report mixed-harmonic three-particle correlations studies in Au+Au 200 GeV and U+U
- ⁷⁴ 193 GeV collisions. The results indicate that background models capture most of the observed trends.
- ⁷⁵ Meanwhile interesting features are observed in ultra-central Au+Au and U+U collisions, which need further
- ⁷⁶ investigations. We also report v_2 and $\Delta \gamma$ measurements with respect to ψ_{ZDC} and ψ_{TPC} , and extract the

77 possible CME signal fraction assuming the proportionality of the CME and background to the projection

- onto the corresponding plane. The extracted possible CME fraction is $(8 \pm 4 \pm 8)\%$ averaged over 20-50%
- ⁷⁹ centrality in Au+Au 200 GeV and U+U 193 GeV collisions. We further explore the Au+Au 27 GeV data,

⁸⁰ where the newly installed EPD can be sensitive to both spectator and participant planes.

Acknowledgments This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy (Grant No. de-sc0012910).

82 References

- 83 [1] T. D. Lee, G. C. Wick, Phys. Rev. D9 (1974) 2291–2316.
- 84 [2] D. Kharzeev, R. D. Pisarski, M. H. G. Tytgat, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 (1998) 512–515.
- ⁸⁵ [3] D. Kharzeev, R. D. Pisarski, Phys. Rev. D 61 (2000) 111901.
- 86 [4] K. Fukushima, D. E. Kharzeev, H. J. Warringa, Phys. Rev. D78 (2008) 074033.
- ⁸⁷ [5] B. Muller, A. Schafer, Phys. Rev. C 82 (2010) 057902.
- 88 [6] D. E. Kharzeev, L. D. McLerran, H. J. Warringa, Nucl. Phys. A 803 (2008) 227–253.
- 89 [7] M. Asakawa, A. Majumder, B. Muller, Phys. Rev. C 81 (2010) 064912.
- 90 [8] D. E. Kharzeev, J. Liao, S. A. Voloshin, G. Wang, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 88 (2016) 1–28.
- 91 [9] J. Zhao, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A33 (13) (2018) 1830010.
- 92 [10] J. Zhao, Z. Tu, F. Wang, Nucl. Phys. Rev. (2018) 35. 03. 225.
- ⁹³ [11] J. Zhao, F. Wang, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 107 (2019) 200–236.
- 94 [12] S. Chatterjee, P. Tribedy, Phys. Rev. C 92 (1) (2015) 011902.
- 95 [13] B. Schenke, C. Shen, P. Tribedy, Phys. Rev. C 99 (4) (2019) 044908.
- 96 [14] H. Xu, J. Zhao, X. Wang, H. Li, Z. Lin, C. Shen, F. Wang, Chin. Phys. C 42 (2018) 084103.
- 97 [15] J. Zhao, (for the STAR collaboration), Nucl. Phys. A 982 (2019) 535–538.
- 98 [16] J. Adams, et al.arXiv:1912.05243.
- 99 [17] S. Choudhury, (for the STAR collaboration), poster presentation at QM2019.