

Direct virtual photon measurements in Au+Au collisions with STAR BES-II data

Xianwen Bao (for the STAR collaboration)

(baoxianwen@mail.sdu.edu.cn)

Shandong University


Quark Matter, Apr. 6-12, 2025, Frankfurt, Germany

Supported in part by



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF
ENERGY

Office of
Science



STAR Collaboration


Introduction




Why direct virtual photon?

- No strong interaction with medium
- Emitted from initial stage to hadron gas phase stage
- Carry information on energy density, effective temperature and collective motion of QGP

Direct virtual photon production





Chun Shen et al, *Comput. Phys. Commun.*, 199:61–85, 2016



$$\frac{d^2N_{ee}}{dM^2} = \frac{\alpha}{3\pi} \frac{L(M)}{M^2} S(M, q) dN_\gamma \quad S(M, q) = dN_{\gamma^*}/dN_\gamma$$

- High p_T : mainly **prompt photon** from **earlier stage**
- Low p_T : mainly **thermal photon** from **later stage**


Thermal radiation



Direct photon:

- **Thermometer**: extract $T_{effective}$ from p_T spectra (affected by blue shift effect)
- **Chronometer**: integrated yield is sensitive to lifetime

The Solenoidal Tracker At RHIC



Time Projection Chamber

- Tracking
- Momentum and energy loss
- Acceptance: $|\eta| < 1; 0 \leq \varphi \leq 2\pi$



Time Of Flight

- Time of flight
- Particle identification
- Acceptance: $|\eta| < 1; 0 \leq \varphi \leq 2\pi$

Collision energies (Au+Au)

- $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 54.4 \text{ GeV}$ ($\sim 430M$ events)
- $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 27 \text{ GeV}$ ($\sim 250M$ events)
- $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 19.6 \text{ GeV}$ ($\sim 213M$ events)
- $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 14.6 \text{ GeV}$ ($\sim 110M$ events)

Beam Energy Scan II




γ_{direct} : a possible probe of Critical End Point (CEP)

- Expect more thermal radiation close to CEP
- Need high statistics at lower collision energies

➤ BES-II: 10-20 times higher statistics than BES-I


Signal and Hadronic cocktail



Hadronic cocktail :

two-body decay	$\omega \rightarrow e^+e^-$, $\phi \rightarrow e^+e^-$, $J/\psi \rightarrow e^+e^-$, $\psi' \rightarrow e^+e^-$
Dalitz decay	$\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma e^+e^-$, $\eta \rightarrow \gamma e^+e^-$, $\eta' \rightarrow \gamma e^+e^-$, $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+e^-$, $\phi \rightarrow \eta e^+e^-$
heavy-flavor decay	$c\bar{c} \rightarrow e^+e^-X$
Drell-Yan process	$DY \rightarrow e^+e^-$


STAR, Phys. Rev. C 86 (2012) 024906



- Hadronic cocktail was examined in previous dielectron spectra studies in p+p collisions


Data vs. Cocktail

STAR, *Phys.Rev.C* 107 (2023) 6, L061901
 STAR, *arXiv:2402.01998*



- Dielectron signal is consistent with cocktail in π^0 mass region
- Observed significant excess yield contributed by γ_{dir}^* , in-medium ρ at low mass region
(LMR, $0.1 < M_{ee} < 0.76 \text{ GeV}/c^2$)

Extract direct virtual photon



➤ Fit method:

$$R \frac{\eta}{\pi^0}(p_T) = A \frac{(e^{-a*p_T - b*p_T^2} + \left(\frac{R^\infty}{A}\right)^{-\frac{1}{n}} \frac{p_T}{p_0})^{-n}}{(e^{-a*p_T - b*p_T^2} + \frac{p_T}{p_0})^{-n}}$$

Yuanjin Ren et al, *Phys.Rev.C* 104 (2021) 5, 054902


➤ η/π^0

- Parametrized using Tsallis Blast Wave (TBW) function
- Fixed to **0.470±0.017** at 5 GeV/c


Two component fit

PHENIX, *Phys.Rev.C* 81 (2010) 034911

- A clear enhancement at η mass region contributed by γ_{dir}^*
- Extract direct virtual photon fraction r by fitting cocktail and γ_{dir}^* templates to the data in M_{ee} range [0.10,0.28] GeV/c²




Direct virtual photon p_T spectrum



- First measurement of γ_{dir}^* in Au+Au collisions at BES-II in different centrality intervals

Direct virtual photon dN/dy vs. $dN_{ch}/d\eta$



- New measurements of $dN_{\gamma_{dir}}/dy$ at STAR
- Strong $dN_{ch}/d\eta$ dependence
- The yields at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 14.6, 19.6, 27, 54.4, 200 \text{ GeV}$ measured by STAR follow a common scaling, with

$$\alpha = 1.43 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.04$$

STAR, *Phys. Lett. B* 770 (2017) 451-45

PHENIX, *Phys. Rev. C* 109 (2024) 044912


ALICE, *arXiv*: 2308.16704

ALICE, Jerome Jung, Hard Probe 2024 presentation

Thermal photon enhancement

Patrick Aurebche et al, Phys.Rev. D73:094007,2006
PHENIX, Phys.Rev.C 107 (2023) 2,024914

Contribution of Xiang-Yu on April 9 at QM




- Enhancement observed compared with prompt photon yield in 0-80% Au+Au at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 14.6 - 54.4$ GeV
- Indication of **thermal photon** contribution
- **Measured direct photon yield** can be well described by **theoretical calculation** from Xiang-Yu's talk

Direct virtual photon mass shape

STAR, *Phys.Lett.B* 770 (2017) 451-458
Peter Lichard, *Phys.Rev.D* 51 (1995) 6017-6035

For $p_T > 1.5 \text{ GeV}/c$ the fit gives good χ^2/NDF , demonstrating that the shape of the excess is consistent with $1/m_{ee}$ as expected for internal conversion.

Since the shape of $f_{\text{dir}}(m_{ee})$ is $1/m_{ee}$ smeared by the detector effects, a fit of $R = (\text{data} - \text{cocktail})/f_{\text{dir}}(m_{ee})$ to a constant can be used to test that the excess has the shape expected for internal conversion of direct photons.



- Mass Shape:
 - Power-law ($1/M$) ← dominated by internal conversion from **earlier stage**
 - Exponential (e^{-M}) ← dominated by thermal radiation from **later stage**
- Are the mass shapes in different p_T ranges sensitive to these contributions from different stages of evolution?

Strategy:

For γ_{dir}^* mass:

$$\frac{dN}{dM} = C * [1 + (q - 1) \frac{M_{ee}}{\beta}]^{-\frac{1}{q-1}}$$

- Apply one **unified** mass shape to fit dielectron mass spectrum **in different p_T ranges**
- **Different values of q parameter:**
 - **Power-law ($1/M$): $q \sim 2$**
 - **Exponential (e^{-M}): $q \sim 1$**

$q \in [1, 2.5]$ $\beta \in (0, 0.25)$ 


Direct virtual photon production stage

For γ_{dir}^* mass:

$$\frac{dN}{dM} = C * [1 + (q - 1) \frac{M_{ee}}{\beta}]^{-\frac{1}{q-1}}$$


Exponential $q \sim 1$
Power-law $q \sim 2$

$$\frac{dN_{ee}}{dM_{ee}} = \gamma^{direct} + C_{ckt} * f_{ckt(w/o \omega, \phi)} + H_{In-medium} + C_\omega * f_\omega + C_\phi * f_\phi$$



- As the transverse momentum increases, its shape changes from **exponential** to **power-law**, corresponding to the direct photon produced in **later** to **earlier** stages respectively

Direct virtual photon production stage




- Acquire 1, 2, 3 σ contours by scanning different q and β
 - $1 \leq q \leq 2.5$
 - $0 < \beta \leq 0.25$
- Transition from exponential to power-law is observed

Summary

- First measurements of direct virtual photons in Au+Au collisions with BES-II data at RHIC
- The measured yields from STAR follow a common scaling
 - Strong $dN_{\text{ch}}/d\eta$ dependence
 - Scaling power $\alpha = 1.43 \pm 0.04$ (stat.) ± 0.04 (sys.)
- Direct virtual photon mass spectrum shape:
 - High p_T ($>1\text{GeV}/c$) → **power-law** → earlier production
 - Low p_T ($<1\text{GeV}/c$) → **exponential** → later production

Outlook:

- Fixed-target data at lower collision energies
 - High η region
- Direct virtual photon polarization/ v_2 in Au+Au collisions at 200 GeV from Run23 and Run25



Summary


Thanks for your attention!

Thanks for theoretical contribution by X. Wu, A. Alaoui, C. Gale, S. Jeon, J-F. Paquet, B. Schenke and C. Shen

- First measurements of direct virtual photons in Au+Au collisions with BES-II data at RHIC
- The measured yields from STAR follow a common scaling
 - Strong $dN_{\text{ch}}/d\eta$ dependence
 - Scaling power $\alpha = 1.43 \pm 0.04$ (stat.) ± 0.04 (sys.)
- Direct virtual photon mass spectrum shape:
 - High p_T ($>1\text{GeV}/c$) → **power-law** → **earlier production**
 - Low p_T ($<1\text{GeV}/c$) → **exponential** → **later production**

Outlook:

- Fixed-target data at lower collision energies
 - High η region
- Direct virtual photon polarization/ v_2 in Au+Au collisions at 200 GeV from Run23 and Run25




Backup

Compared with theoretical calculation

Contribution of Xiang-Yu on April 9 at QM

The direct virtual photon p_T spectra
compared with Jean-Francois Paquet
theoretical calculation



Effective temperature based on Jetphox


Prompt photon distribution can be comparable with data in

μ : factorisation/renormalisation/fragmentation scale

p+p collision at 200 GeV and 63 GeV when $\mu = 1.1 p_T$



Table 1: Effective temperature extraction after different prompt photon estimation by jetphox

μ	54.4 GeV	27 GeV	19.6 GeV	14.6 GeV
0.5 p_T	316 \pm 44 \pm 11	298 \pm 78 \pm 26	259 \pm 42 \pm 5	240 \pm 46 \pm 9
0.6 p_T	301 \pm 36 \pm 11	282 \pm 71 \pm 25	255 \pm 40 \pm 5	234 \pm 44 \pm 9
0.7 p_T	300 \pm 33 \pm 11	278 \pm 68 \pm 25	248 \pm 38 \pm 5	233 \pm 42 \pm 9
0.8 p_T	291 \pm 31 \pm 11	275 \pm 67 \pm 19	248 \pm 38 \pm 2	233 \pm 42 \pm 8
0.9 p_T	288 \pm 30 \pm 11	282 \pm 66 \pm 20	246 \pm 37 \pm 2	233 \pm 41 \pm 8
1.0 p_T	291 \pm 30 \pm 11	280 \pm 65 \pm 20	245 \pm 37 \pm 2	234 \pm 41 \pm 8
1.1 p_T	289 \pm 29 \pm 11	279 \pm 64 \pm 16	244 \pm 36 \pm 2	233 \pm 41 \pm 8
1.2 p_T	289 \pm 29 \pm 11	277 \pm 63 \pm 16	244 \pm 36 \pm 2	233 \pm 41 \pm 8
1.3 p_T	289 \pm 29 \pm 11	278 \pm 63 \pm 16	242 \pm 36 \pm 2	232 \pm 41 \pm 8
1.4 p_T	288 \pm 29 \pm 11	276 \pm 63 \pm 16	242 \pm 36 \pm 2	232 \pm 41 \pm 8
1.5 p_T	286 \pm 28 \pm 11	277 \pm 63 \pm 16	242 \pm 36 \pm 2	232 \pm 40 \pm 8
1.6 p_T	287 \pm 28 \pm 11	277 \pm 63 \pm 16	242 \pm 36 \pm 2	232 \pm 40 \pm 8
1.7 p_T	289 \pm 28 \pm 11	277 \pm 62 \pm 15	241 \pm 36 \pm 2	232 \pm 40 \pm 8
1.8 p_T	289 \pm 28 \pm 11	278 \pm 63 \pm 15	241 \pm 35 \pm 2	232 \pm 40 \pm 8
1.9 p_T	288 \pm 28 \pm 10	278 \pm 63 \pm 15	242 \pm 36 \pm 2	232 \pm 40 \pm 8
2.0 p_T	289 \pm 28 \pm 11	278 \pm 62 \pm 16	240 \pm 35 \pm 2	232 \pm 40 \pm 8




Observed that the effective temperature **decreases** as the energy **decreases** in different estimation of prompt photon by Jetphox

Direct virtual photon mass shape (In-Med Hadron, PHSD)



dN/dy vs. $dN_{ch}/d\eta$



Energy(GeV)	200	54.4	27	19.6
A	6.81e-5	2.83e-5	2.37e-5	1.26e-5
error	5.45e-5	1.49e-5	1.41e-5	8.39e-6

- Obvious $dN_{ch}/d\eta$ dependence in energies from 200 to 19.6 GeV
- Hint of increasing α with decreasing collision energies from 200 to 19.6 GeV

- ✓ **Hint that increasing proportion of prompt photon at lower collision energies at high p_T**
- ✓ **Thermal photons emitted by QGP rarely extend to higher p_T at lower collision energies**