## Significant charge splitting of rapidity-odd directed flow slope and its implication on electromagnetic effect in Au+Au, ${}^{96}_{44}$ Ru+ ${}^{96}_{44}$ Ru, and ${}^{96}_{40}$ Zr+ ${}^{96}_{40}$ Zr collisions from STAR

Diyu Shen

## ABSTRACT

Heavy-ion collisions can produce an ultra-strong magnetic field, the evolution of which was predicted to decrease 1 (increase) the directed flow slope,  $dv_1/dy$ , for positively (negatively) charged particles [1, 2]. In this work, we study this 2 effect with large statistics datasets accumulated for Au+Au,  ${}^{96}_{44}$ Ru+ ${}^{96}_{44}$ Ru, and  ${}^{96}_{40}$ Zr+ ${}^{96}_{40}$ Zr isobar collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} =$ 3 200 GeV, and Au+Au collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 27$  GeV. The charge dependent  $dv_1/dy$  splitting,  $\Delta(dv_1/dy)$ , will be 4 presented for  $\pi^{\pm}$ ,  $K^{\pm}$ , and (anti)proton. A finite  $\Delta(dv_1/dy)$  between protons and anti-protons has been observed and 5 it changes from positive to negative as a function of centrality from central to peripheral collisions. This is the first 6 observation of a significant negative  $\Delta(dv_1/dy)$  between proton and anti-protons. A similar decreasing trend of slope 7 difference between  $K^+$  and  $K^-$  has also been observed in Au+Au collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$  GeV and 27 GeV, and in 8 isobar collisions with less significance. The slope difference between  $\pi^+$  and  $\pi^-$  is negative and decreases as a function 9 of centrality in Au+Au collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 27$  GeV, while no significant slope difference is observed in Au+Au and 10 isobar collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$  GeV. Our measurements of significant negative  $\Delta(dv_1/dy)$  cannot be explained by 11 conventional mechanisms (e.g. transported quarks), but qualitatively agree with the theoretical prediction with an 12 ultra-strong electromagnetic field in peripheral collisions. 13

- <sup>14</sup> [1] U. Gursoy, D. Kharzeev, E. Marcus *et al.* Phy.Rev.C, **98** 055201 (2018).
- <sup>15</sup> [2] U. Gursoy, D. Kharzeev and K. Rajagopal. Phy.Rev.C, 89 054905 (2014).