

Baryon-to-meson Ratios in Jets from Au+Au and p+p collisions at 200 GeV

*Gabriel Dale-Gau for the STAR Collaboration
University of Illinois at Chicago*



Quark Matter 2025, Frankfurt, Germany

Supported in part by



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF
ENERGY


Office of
Science

UNIVERSITY/LAB LOGO/ADDITIONAL FUNDING SOURCES



STAR Collaboration

Motivation



[PRL97(2006)152301]

STAR


d+Au $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV

Au+Au $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV

$e^+ + e^- \sqrt{s} = 91.2$ GeV

- Two prominent signatures of QGP:
 - **Baryon enhancement**
 - Jet quenching/Jet modification

Motivation




[PRL97(2006)152301]

STAR

d+Au $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV
 Au+Au $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV
 $e^+ + e^- \sqrt{s} = 91.2$ GeV

- Two prominent signatures of QGP:
 - Baryon enhancement
 - Jet quenching/Jet modification




CMS

Pb+Pb $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV
 p+p $\sqrt{s} = 2.76$ TeV
 Jet $p_T > 120$ GeV/c

- PbPb Leading Jets
- pp Leading Jets

[JHEP 11 (2016) 055]

Motivation





[PRL97(2006)152301]

STAR

d+Au $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV
 Au+Au $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV
 $e^+ + e^- \sqrt{s} = 91.2$ GeV

- Two prominent signatures of QGP:
 - Baryon enhancement
 - Jet quenching/Jet modification

Shower Parton Recombination
 [PR(2004)0312271]





CMS

Pb+Pb $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV
 p+p $\sqrt{s} = 2.76$ TeV
 Jet $p_T > 120$ GeV/c

[JHEP 11 (2016) 055]

FIG. 4: Distributions of π^+ in p_T arising from thermal-shower recombination (solid line) and shower-shower recombination, i.e. fragmentation (dash-dot line).

Motivation



STAR

d+Au $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV
 Au+Au $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV
 $e^+ + e^- \sqrt{s} = 91.2$ GeV

[PRL97(2006)152301]

- Two prominent signatures of QGP:
 - Baryon enhancement
 - Jet quenching/Jet modification
- Shower Parton Recombination [PR(2004)0312271]
- **AMPT simulations: baryon/meson is modified for jets in QGP** [PLB(2022)137638]






FIG. 4: Distributions of π^+ in p_T arising from thermal-shower recombination (solid line) and shower-shower recombination, i.e. fragmentation (dash-dot line).

Motivation



[PRL97(2006)152301]

STAR

d+Au $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV
 Au+Au $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV
 $e^+ + e^- \sqrt{s} = 91.2$ GeV

- Two prominent signatures of QGP:
 - Baryon enhancement
 - Jet quenching/Jet modification

- Shower Parton Recombination [PR(2004)0312271]
- **AMPT simulations: baryon/meson is modified for jets in QGP** [PLB(2022)137638]








FIG. 4: Distributions of π^+ in p_T arising from thermal-shower recombination (solid line) and shower-shower recombination, i.e. fragmentation (dash-dot line).

STAR Detector

dE/dx from TPC




β from ToF




Measurement Technique

2D jet-track correlation



Fully reconstructed jets with tracks identified by Time of Flight (ToF) and Time Projection Chamber (TPC) information
=> Particle Identification in jets

Particle Identification



Data Samples

- p+p collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV (2015)
- 0-10% central Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV, (2014)


Jet Reconstruction

- Anti- k_T
- Jet $R = 0.2, 0.3, 0.4$
- Constituent selections
 - $p_T^{\text{const}} > 2.0$ GeV/c
 - $p_T^{\text{const}} > 3.0$ GeV/c
- Jet $p_T^{\text{raw}} > 9$ GeV/c
- Inclusive Jets


Jet-Track Correlation




Raw Correlation



Mixed Event



After acceptance correction




- Run Anti- k_T algorithm to identify Jet Axis
- Perform correlations with all tracks within $|\eta_{\text{track}}| < 0.5$
- Build Mixed event for pair acceptance correction
- Divide signal correlation by mixed event
- Select regions of equal area for jet and underlying event for every p_T bin from 2.0 GeV/c to 5.0 GeV/c


Particle Identification




Underlying Event Subtraction



Low p_T regime




High p_T regime



- Subtract UE from Jet in $d\phi$, $d\eta$, $n\sigma_\pi$, and m^2
- Identify Pion, Proton, Kaon yields from remaining Jet Signal
- Low p_T regime: $p_T < 3.0 \text{ GeV}/c \rightarrow$ bin-count protons
- High p_T regime: $p_T > 3.0 \text{ GeV}/c \rightarrow$ triple Gaussian fit
- Divide proton yield by pion yield to measure ratio


Correlated Background Removal



The Challenge:

Jet selection threshold coupled with upward fluctuation in underlying event causes the jetfinder algorithm to pick up background tracks at a higher rate

Correlated Background Removal




The Challenge:

Jet selection threshold coupled with upward fluctuation in underlying event causes the jetfinder algorithm to pick up background tracks at a higher rate

The Solution:

Pseudo-embedding: take $p+p$ jets down to low $p_T \rightarrow$ overlay with mixed constituent Au+Au event \rightarrow run jet finder \rightarrow match to original $p+p$ jet \rightarrow construct jet+track correlations with Au+Au event and perform uncorrelated UE subtraction

Correlated Background Removal








The Challenge:



Jet selection threshold coupled with upward fluctuation in underlying event causes the jetfinder algorithm to pick up background tracks at a higher rate

The Solution:

Pseudo-embedding: take $p+p$ jets down to low $p_T \rightarrow$ overlay with mixed constituent Au+Au event \rightarrow run jet finder \rightarrow match to original $p+p$ jet \rightarrow construct jet+track correlations with Au+Au event and perform uncorrelated UE subtraction



Evaluating Contribution from Combinatorial Jets




Correlated Background Removal: Embed into Mixed Constituent Event

p+p event

embedded in

Au+Au Mixed Event



+

Procedure:




- Run Jetfinder on $p+p$ event
- Create Mixed event by taking one track from different events until a reasonable nTrack value is reached
- Combine $p+p$ event (with jet) and Mixed Event
- Run Jetfinder on resulting mixed event
- Perform correlations with mixed event

Pseudo-embedding → Matched Jets
Combinatorials → Unmatched jets


Fake Rate Determination:

- Fake Rate = $\frac{n_{jet}^{combi}/n_{event}^{combi}}{n_{jet}^{signal}/n_{event}^{signal}}$
- Scale per-jet combinatorial yields by Fake Rate
- Scale per-jet fluctuation yields by (1-Fake Rate)
- Subtract correlated background from jet signal


$$\frac{1}{N_{jet}} (dn_{track}/d\phi)$$



-- p+p
 -- Au+Au
 -- BG fluctuation
 -- Combinatorial



Preliminary plots from HP24




- We present the first ever in-Jet p/π study with jet R dependence from STAR
- Study shows jets with $p_T^{const} > 2.0$ GeV/c and jet $p_T^{raw} > 9.0$ GeV/c
- In p+p collisions, the in-jet p/π ratio sits below the p/π ratio from inclusive hadrons, with no dependence on jet R
- For every jet R studied, in-jet p/π ratios measured in central Au+Au are consistent with those from p+p, with no evidence for enhancement between the two systems

Yields as a function of Δr



$2.0 < p_T < 3.0$




$$\Delta r = \sqrt{(\eta_{\text{jet}} - \eta_{\text{track}})^2 + (\phi_{\text{jet}} - \phi_{\text{track}})^2}$$

- All previous results are integrated using $\Delta r = R$
- Fixed Anti- k_T $R = 0.3$, integrate yields for $\Delta r = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3$
- $2.0 < p_T < 3.0$ GeV/c is chosen for clean PID


Yields as a function of ΔR




Raw Charged Hadron Yield



Raw Pion Yield




Raw Proton Yield




- Raw (before correlated background correction) yields for charged hadrons, identified protons and pions from jets with $R = 0.3$ at $\Delta r = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3$
- To isolate yield for each ring in Δr , we subtract smaller Δr yields from larger Δr yields



Correlated Background correction in ΔR



- Subtract inner from outer radii to measure yield as a function of Δr
- The same procedure is followed for combinatorial "jets" and BG fluctuation contamination





Identified Yields as a function of Δr




- Per-Jet Identified hadron yields are shown as function of Δr for jets with $R = 0.3$ in 0-10% central Au+Au collisions at 200 GeV
- Total charged hadron yield is shown to provide reference for the overall radial distribution

Identified Yields as a function of Δr





- Per-Jet Identified hadron yields are shown as function of Δr for jets with $R = 0.3$ in 0-10% central Au+Au collisions at 200 GeV
- Total charged hadron yield is shown to provide reference for the overall radial distribution

Identified Yields as a function of Δr



- Per-Jet Identified hadron yields are shown as function of Δr for jets with $R = 0.3$ in p+p and 0-10% central Au+Au collisions at 200 GeV
- Total charged hadron yield is shown to provide reference for the overall radial distribution

p/π Δr Dependence




- For Tracks with $2.0 < p_T < 3.0 \text{ GeV/c}$ in jets with $R = 0.3$, $p_T^{\text{const}} > 2.0 \text{ GeV/c}$ and jet $p_T^{\text{raw}} > 9.0 \text{ GeV/c}$, we observe no significant difference in the in-cone radial evolution of p/π between 0-10% Au+Au and p+p collisions at 200 GeV


Backup

Au+Au p/ π ΔR Systematics


p/ π Systematic Uncertainty from Calibration, R = 0.3



p/ π Systematic Uncertainty, R = 0.3




Au+Au Charged Hadron Yield Δr Systematics




Au+Au Pion Yield Δr Systematics


Systematic Uncertainty from Calibration, $R = 0.3$





Au+Au Pion Yield Systematic Uncertainty, $R = 0.3$



Au+Au Proton Yield Δr Systematics




p+p p/ π Δr Systematics




p+p Pion Yield Δr Systematics

Systematic Uncertainty from Calibration, $R = 0.3$




p+p Pion Yield Systematic Uncertainty, $R = 0.3$




p+p Proton Yield Δr Systematics


Systematic Uncertainty from Calibration, $R = 0.3$



p+p Proton Yield Systematic Uncertainty, $R = 0.3$



Combinatorial Evaluation Uncertainty



Sample	nEvents	Mean nTracks
Full	20,058,323	6.691
Events w/o Jet	19,898,309	6.471

- When building Mixed events we match the n_{Track} per event distribution from signal.
- Constructing Mixed Events with non-jetty n_{track} distribution yields a 0.2% variation in resulting Fake rate