Baryon-to-meson Ratios in Jets from Au+Au and p+p Collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\mathrm{NN}}} = 200$ GeV

Gabriel Dale-Gau for the STAR Collaboration
University of Illinois at Chicago

Quark Matter 2025, Frankfurt, Germany
April 6-12, 2025

Supported in part by
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY | Office of Science

STAR Collaboration

Motivation



STAR [PRL97(2006)152301]

STAR

d+Au $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV

Au+Au $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV

$e^{+} + e^{-}$ $\sqrt{s} = 91.2$ GeV

- Two prominent signatures of QGP:
  - **Baryon enhancement**
  - Jet quenching/Jet modification

Motivation



STAR [PRL97(2006)152301]

STAR
d+Au $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 200 GeV
Au+Au $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 200 GeV
$e^{+}+e^{-}$ $\sqrt{s}$ = 91.2 GeV

- Two prominent signatures of QGP:
  - Baryon enhancement
  - **Jet quenching/Jet modification**



[JHEP 11 (2016) 055]

CMS
Pb+Pb $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.76 TeV
p+p $\sqrt{s}$ = 2.76 TeV
Jet p_T > 120 GeV/c

Motivation



STAR
d+Au $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV
Au+Au $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV
$e^{+} + e^{-}$ $\sqrt{s} = 91.2$ GeV

STAR [PRL97(2006)152301]



CMS
Pb+Pb $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV
p+p $\sqrt{s} = 2.76$ TeV
Jet p_{T} > 120 GeV/c

PbPb Leading Jets
pp Leading Jets

[JHEP 11 (2016) 055]

- Two prominent signatures of QGP:
  - Baryon enhancement
  - Jet quenching/Jet modification

- **Is jet hadro-chemistry modified by QGP?**

Motivation



STAR
d+Au $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV
Au+Au $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV
$e^{+}+e^{-}$ $\sqrt{s} = 91.2$ GeV

STAR [PRL97(2006)152301]

- Two prominent signatures of QGP:
  - Baryon enhancement
  - Jet quenching/Jet modification
- Is jet hadro-chemistry modified by QGP?
- **Thermal-Shower Recombination**
  R.C. Hwa & C. B. Yang [PRC(2004)0312271]



FIG. 4: Distributions of π^{+} in p_T arising from thermal-shower recombination (solid line) and shower-shower recombination, i.e. fragmentation (dash-dot line).



CMS
Pb+Pb $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV
p+p $\sqrt{s} = 2.76$ TeV
Jet $p_T > 120$ GeV/c

PbPb Leading Jets
pp Leading Jets

[JHEP 11 (2016) 055]

Motivation



STAR [PRL97(2006)152301]

STAR
d+Au $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV
Au+Au $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV
$e^{+}+e^{-}$ $\sqrt{s} = 91.2$ GeV



FIG. 4: Distributions of π^+ in p_T arising from thermal-shower recombination (solid line) and shower-shower recombination, i.e. fragmentation (dash-dot line).



AMPT
Pb+Pb
p+p
$\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV

- Two prominent signatures of QGP:
  - Baryon enhancement
  - Jet quenching/Jet modification
- Is jet hadro-chemistry modified by QGP?
- Thermal-Shower Recombination
  R.C. Hwa & C. B. Yang [PRC(2004)0312271]
- **AMPT simulations: p/π is modified for jets in QGP**
  A. Luo et al. [PLB(2022)137638]

Motivation



STAR
d+Au $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV
Au+Au $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV
$e^{+}+e^{-}$ $\sqrt{s} = 91.2$ GeV

STAR [PRL97(2006)152301]



FIG. 4: Distributions of π^{+} in p_T arising from thermal-shower recombination (solid line) and shower-shower recombination, i.e. fragmentation (dash-dot line).



AMPT
Pb+Pb
p+p
$\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV

- Two prominent signatures of QGP:
  - Baryon enhancement
  - Jet quenching/Jet modification
- Is jet hadro-chemistry modified by QGP?
- Thermal-Shower Recombination
  R.C. Hwa & C. B. Yang [PRC(2004)0312271]
- AMPT simulations: p/**π** is modified for jets in QGP
  A. Luo et al. [PLB(2022)137638]
- **We measure p/π in jets using jet-hadron correlations**

STAR Detector

dE/dx from Time Projection Chamber (TPC)



- Tracking
- PID

β from Time Of Flight (TOF)



- PID



Measurement Technique

2D jet-track correlation



Particle Identification



Fully reconstructed jets with tracks identified by **Time Projection Chamber (TPC)** and **Time of Flight (TOF)** information

=> **Particle Identification in jets**

Data Samples

- *p*+*p* collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ = 200 GeV (2015)
- 0-10% central Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 200 GeV, (2014)

Jet Reconstruction

- **Anti-k_T**
- Jet R = 0.2, 0.3, 0.4
- p_T^{cons} > 2.0 GeV/*c*
- Jet p_T^{raw} > 9 GeV/*c*
- $|\eta_{jet}|$ < 1.0 - R

Jet-Track Correlation

Raw Correlation



Mixed Event



After acceptance correction



- Run Anti-k_{T} algorithm to identify Jet Axis
- Perform correlations with all tracks within $|\eta_{\mathrm{track}}|$ < 0.5
- Build Mixed Event for pair acceptance correction
- Divide signal correlation by Mixed Event
- Select regions of equal area for jet and underlying event for every $\mathrm{p_T}$ bin from 2.0 GeV/c to 5.0 GeV/c

Particle Identification



- Subtract UE from jet in dφ, dη, $n\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\boldsymbol{\pi}}$, and m^2
- Identify pion, proton, kaon yields from remaining jet signal
- Low p_T regime: p_T < 3.0 GeV/c → bin-count protons
- High p_T regime: p_T > 3.0 GeV/c → triple Gaussian fit
- Divide proton yield by pion yield to measure ratio

Correlated Background Removal



The Challenge:

Jet selection threshold coupled with upward fluctuation in underlying event causes the jet finder algorithm to pick up background tracks at a higher rate

Correlated Background Removal



The Challenge:

Jet selection threshold coupled with upward fluctuation in underlying event causes the jet finder algorithm to pick up background tracks at a higher rate

The Solution:

Pseudo-embedding: take *p+p* jets down to low p_T → overlay with minimum bias Au+Au event → run jet finder → match to original *p+p* jet → construct jet+track correlations with Au+Au event and perform uncorrelated UE subtraction

Correlated Background Removal



The Challenge:

Jet selection threshold coupled with upward fluctuation in underlying event causes the jet finder algorithm to pick up background tracks at a higher rate

The Solution:

Pseudo-embedding: take *p+p* jets down to low p_T → overlay with minimum bias Au+Au event → run jet finder → match to original *p+p* jet → construct jet+track correlations with Au+Au event and perform uncorrelated UE subtraction



-- *p+p*
-- Au+Au
-- BG fluctuation



Evaluating Contribution from Combinatorial Jets



Procedure:

- Create mixed constistuent event by taking one track from different Au+Au events until reaching an n_{track} value sampled from the signal distribution
- Embed *p+p* event with identified jet seed into mixed event
- Run jet finder on resulting combined event
- Identify jets that are **not matched** to a *p+p* jet seed
- Construct jet+track correlations with Au+Au mixed constituent event only

Correlated Background Removal: Embed into Mixed Constituent Event

p+p event
embedded in
Au+Au Mixed Event



+



Procedure:

- Run jet finder on *p+p* event
- Create mixed constituent event by taking one track from different Au+Au events until a reasonable nTrack value is reached
- Combine *p+p* event (with jet) and mixed constituent event
- Run jet finder on resulting mixed event
- Perform correlations with mixed event

Pseudo-embedding → Matched Jets
Combinatorials → Unmatched jets

Fake Rate Determination:

- Fake Rate = $\frac{n_{\mathrm{jet}}^{\mathrm{combi}}/n_{\mathrm{event}}^{\mathrm{combi}}}{n_{\mathrm{jet}}^{\mathrm{signal}}/n_{\mathrm{event}}^{\mathrm{signal}}}$
- Scale per-jet combinatorial yields by Fake Rate
- Scale per-jet fluctuation yields by (1-Fake Rate)
- Subtract correlated background from jet signal

-- *p+p*
-- Au+Au
-- BG fluctuation
-- Combinatorial





p/π Ratios In-Jet vs Inclusive Hadron

R = 0.2, Jet $p_T^{raw} > 9$ GeV/c, $p_T^{cons} > 2$ GeV/c



R = 0.3, Jet $p_T^{raw} > 9$ GeV/c , $p_T^{cons} > 2$ GeV/c



R = 0.4, Jet $p_T^{raw} > 9$ GeV/c , $p_T^{cons} > 2$ GeV/c



- In *p+p* collisions, the in-jet p/**π** sits below p/**π** from inclusive hadrons, with no dependence on jet R

p/π Ratios In-Jet vs Inclusive Hadron



- In *p+p* collisions, the in-jet p/**π** sits below p/**π** from inclusive hadrons, with no dependence on jet R
- For every jet R studied, in-jet p/**π** measured in central **Au+Au** are consistent with those from *p+p*, with no evidence for enhancement between the two systems

Yields as a Function of Δr

$2.0 < p_T^{track} < 3.0$ GeV/c



$$\Delta r = \sqrt{(\eta_{jet} - \eta_{track})^2 + (\varphi_{jet} - \varphi_{track})^2}$$

- All previous results are integrated using Δr = R
- Fixed Anti-k_T R = 0.3, integrate yields for Δr = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3
- $2.0 < p_T^{track} < 3.0$ Gev/c is chosen for clean PID

Correlated Background Correction in Δr



- Subtract inner from outer radii to measure yield as a function of Δr
- The same procedure is followed for combinatorial "jets" and BG fluctuation contamination



Identified Yields as a Function of Δr



- Per-Jet Identified hadron yields are shown as function of Δr for jets with R = 0.3 in *p+p* and 0-10% central Au+Au collisions at 200 GeV

p/π Δr Dependence





AMPT, Pb+Pb
$\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 5.02 TeV
p_T^{track}< 6.0 GeV/c
A. Luo et al.
[PLB(2022)137638]

- In our selected kinematic regime, **we do not observe the predicted linear trend** in the difference of the in-cone radial evolution of p/**π** between 0-10% Au+Au and *p+p* collisions measured at 200 GeV
- Different collision energies between our measurement and AMPT prediction

Summary





- We present the **first in-jet baryon-to-meson ratio measurement from STAR**, with both Jet R and Δr dependence
- For every jet R studied, in-jet p/**π** measured in central Au+Au are consistent with those from *p+p*
- **we do not observe a linear trend** in the difference of the in-cone radial evolution of p/**π** between 0-10% Au+Au and *p+p* collisions
- **We observe no evidence for enhancement of the in-jet p/π between central Au+Au and *p+p* collisions for our kinematic selections,** aside from a hint of deviation at Δr = 0.15

Backup

Yields as a function of ΔR



- Raw (before correlated background correction) yields for charged hadrons, identified protons and pions from jets with R = 0.3 at Δr = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3
- To isolate yield for each ring in Δr, we subtract smaller Δr yields from larger Δr yields

Identified Yields as a function of Δr





- Per-Jet Identified hadron yields are shown as function of Δr for jets with R = 0.3 in 0-10% central Au+Au collisions at 200 GeV
- Total charged hadron yield is shown to provide reference for the overall radial distribution

Identified Yields as a function of Δr





- Per-Jet Identified hadron yields are shown as function of Δr for jets with R = 0.3 in 0-10% central Au+Au collisions at 200 GeV
- Highlighted region shows our radial coverage

Au+Au p/π Δr Systematics





Au+Au Charged Hadron Yield Δr Systematics



Au+Au Pion Yield Δr Systematics





Au+Au Proton Yield Δr Systematics



p+p p/π Δr Systematics





p+p Pion Yield Δr Systematics





p+p Proton Yield Δr Systematics





Combinatorial Evaluation Uncertainty



Sample	nEvents	Mean nTracks
Full	20,058,323	6.691
Events w/o Jet	19,898,309	6.471

- When building Mixed events we match the nTrack per event distribution from signal.

- Constructing Mixed Events with non-jetty ntrack distribution yields a 0.2% variation in resulting Fake rate

Systematic Uncertainty

Au+Au



p+p



- One representative Jet R is shown here, all Systematics included in backup

Systematic Sources:

- dE/dx calibration, determined by varying each input parameter for gaussian fits
- ToF cut placement for proton identification below 3.0 GeV/*c*
- Uncorrelated background subtraction, determined by varying UE definition
- R_{AA} correction is included in nominal, for systematic uncertainty on fake rate, the template fits are run without R_{AA} correction, and the resulting fake rate is used

Au+Au Systematics







Au+Au, dE/dx Calibration Breakdown



p+p Systematics







p+p, dE/dx Calibration Breakdown







Determining Fake Rate: Spectra Template Fit

Raw Spectra → **Template Fit**



- Create a two-parameter template fit using the raw jet spectra from p+p and combinatorial jets
- Fit the raw Au+Au spectra
- Scale p+p and combinatorial Njet values by the resulting parameters to calculate fake rate



p+p	->	6,984 jets	*	20.5	=	143,715	
Combinatorial	->	4,143 jets	*	22.1	=	91,597	-> **39% Fake Rate**

Fit Parameters

Determining Fake Rate: Spectra Template Fit

R = 0.2



Fake Rate: 13%

R = 0.3



Fake Rate: 39%

R = 0.4



Fake Rate: 63%

Double Fits for m^{2} < 0.5



Gaussian Fits for R = 0.3

Triple Fits for full m^{2} Range