### **Beam Energy Scan at STAR** Yield and Flow Measurements

### Md Nasim (for the STAR Collaboration) Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Berhampur

RHIC & AGS Users' Meeting, 2021







# Motivation

#### Goal:

Mapping the QCD phase-structure

- 1) Phase-boundary
- 2) Onset of de-confinement
- 3) QCD critical point

#### **RHIC BES:**

**Collisions:** Au+Au

**Collider Mode:**  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 7.7 - 62.4 \text{ GeV}$ 

Fixed Target Mode:  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 3 - 13.7 \text{ GeV}$ 



Data taking for phase -II of BES is completed in 2021.

# The STAR Experiment



# **Selected Physics Results From BES**

## Light and Strange Hadrons

- **①** Freeze-out Parameters
- **2** Particle Ratios
- **③** Nuclear Modification Factor
- **④** Collective Flow

New results from Au+Au collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 3 \text{ GeV}$  and 54.4 GeV

### Nuclei and Hyper-nuclei

- ① Particle Yields
- 2 Collective Flow

# **Freeze-out Parameters**



**Chemical freeze-out:** Particle ratios get fixed

#### **Kinetic freeze-out :**

Momentum distributions get fixed

• The difference between chemical and kinetic freeze-out temperatures increases with increasing energy

→ Increasing hadronic interactions after chemical freeze-out at higher energies

• Radial flow velocity increases with increasing energy

STAR: Phys. Rev. C 96 (2017) 44904

Md Nasim, RHIC-AGS 2021

### Particle Ratios (K/ $\pi$ )

(Strange over non-strange)



- Results from BES energies follow world data trend
- Smooth K<sup>+</sup>/ $\pi^+$  ratio vs.  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  including STAR data

### Particle Ratios (K/ $\pi$ )

#### (Strange over non-strange)



- Results from BES energies follow world data trend
- Smooth K<sup>+</sup>/ $\pi^+$  ratio vs.  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  including STAR data
- Thermal model describes data

### Particle Ratios ( $\phi/K$ and $\phi/\Xi$ )



### High baryon density matter : GCE vs CE

 $\rightarrow$  Data favor the Canonical Ensemble at high baryon density

# $\rightarrow$ Canonical suppression of strange hadrons at high baryon density

HADES: Phys. Lett. B 778, 2018.403-407, Phys.Rev. C. 80.025209. (2009); E917: Phys. Rev. C. 69.054901 (2004); NA49 : Phys. Rev. C 78, 044907 (2008), Phys. Rev. C 77, 024903 (2008), Phys. Rev. C 66, 054902 (2002) CE,GCE, K. Redlich: Phys. Lett. B 603, 146 (2004); Private Communication; SMASH : Phys. Rev, C 99, 064908 (2019)

HADES: Eur. Phys. J. A (2016) 52: 178 STAR: Phys. Rev. C 102 (2020) 34909 NPA772: A. Andronic et al. Nucl. Phys. A 772, 167 (2006); +private communication UrQMD1: J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 43 (2016) 015104 (14pp); UrQMD (public version): Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 41 (1998) 225-370

### Particle Ratios (K\* $^{0}$ /K and $\phi$ /K )



Lifetime : ~ 4 fm (K\*<sup>0</sup>) and ~42 fm ( $\phi$ )

K\*0/K: Ratio decreases with increasing multiplicity

 $\phi/K$ : Nearly independent of multiplicity

STAR: PRC **71**, 064902 (2005) STAR: PRC 93 (2016) (R) 21903 ALICE: PRC 91 (2015) 024609

Md Nasim, RHIC-AGS 2021

Evidence of re-scattering on daughters of K\*<sup>0</sup> in central A+A collisions

## **Baryon-to-Meson Ratio**



Baryon enhancement at intermediate  $p_{T}$  in central collisions  $\rightarrow$  Parton recombination model can explain the observed shape for √s<sub>NN</sub> ≥ 19.6 GeV

R. C. Hwa and C. B. Yang, PRC 75, 054904 (2007) STAR: PRC 79, 64903 (2009) STAR: PRC 93, 021903 (2016)

Need more statistics for  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} < 19 \text{ GeV(BES -II)}$ 

Md Nasim, RHIC-AGS 2021

New

## Baryon-to-Meson Ratio



• Baryon enhancement at intermediate  $p_T$  in central collisions for  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \ge 19.6 \text{ GeV}$ 

 $\rightarrow$  Parton recombination model can explain the observed shape

 Within the uncertainties no difference between central and peripheral collisions for √s<sub>NN</sub> ≤ 11.5 GeV

STAR: PRC 102, 34909 (2020)

## **Nuclear Modification Factor**



$$R_{CP} = \left[\frac{d^2 N^{\text{central}}/dp_T dy}{d^2 N^{\text{peripheral}}/dp_T dy}\right] \cdot \left[\frac{N_{\text{bin}}^{\text{peripheral}}}{N_{\text{bin}}^{\text{central}}}\right]$$

### √s<sub>NN</sub> ≥ 27 GeV

- Suppression at high  $p_{T}$
- $\rightarrow$  Energy loss of partons in QGP
- Baryon vs meson at intermediate  $p_{T}$
- $\rightarrow$  Parton recombination

### √s<sub>NN</sub> ≤ 11.5 GeV

- No suppression for the highest measured  $p_{T}$
- Parton energy loss, if any, is subdominant
- Baryon -meson separation is not significant

# **Azimuthal Anisotropy**

Pressure gradient transfers initial spatial anisotropy to final state momentum space anisotropy



Initial spatial anisotropy



$$\frac{dN}{d\phi} = 1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_n \cos\{n(\phi - \psi_n)\}$$

$$V_n = \langle \cos\{n(\phi - \psi_n)\} \rangle$$



## The azimuthal anisotropy parameters $(v_n)$ are sensitive probe to the matter created in heavy-ion collisions.

A.M. Poskanzer, S.A. Voloshin, PRC 58, 1671 (1998) Md Nasim, RHIC-AGS 2021 P. Kolb, U. W. Heinz, NPA 715, 653c (2003)

# Elliptic Flow of Strange Hadrons



- Baryon-meson separation at intermediate  $m_T m_0$
- $\rightarrow$  Parton recombination

### √s<sub>NN</sub> ≤ 19.6 GeV

• No significant baryon –meson separation for the highest measured  $m_T$ -m<sub>0</sub>  $\rightarrow$  Probably dominated by hadronic interaction

110, 142301 (2013)

STAR: PRL

### $\phi$ mesons $v_2$ : Probe to Partonic Collectivity



### Energy Dependence of $\phi$ meson $v_n$ (Partonic vs Hadronic)



Md Nasim, RHIC-AGS 2021

## Energy Dependence of $\phi$ meson $v_n$ (Partonic vs Hadronic)



Less partonic contribution at low beam energy. Could be related to the change of equation of states

STAR: PRL 110, 142301 (2013)



- The measured  $v_2$  for all particles are negative at 3 GeV
- The NCQ scaling breaks, especially for positively charged particles

 $\rightarrow$ Hadronic interaction dominated matter

# **Selected Physics Results From BES**

### Light and Strange Hadrons

- **1** Freeze-out Conditions
- **2** Particle Ratios
- **③** Nuclear Modification Factor
- **④** Collective Flow

### Nuclei and Hyper-nuclei

- **①** Particle Yields
- 2 Collective Flow

### Light Nuclei Production: d/p Ratios



Statistical thermal model describes the data.

STAR: PRC 99, 064905 (2019)

# **Hyper-Nuclei Production**

#### Important probe to Y-N interactions and hyperon contribution to nuclear EoS



Thermal (with canonical ensemble) and coalescence model calculations describe  ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}H$  but lower than  ${}^{4}_{\Lambda}H$  yields

Models: J. Steinheimer et al, Phys. Lett. B. 714,85; A. Andronic et al, Phys. Lett. B 697, 203 (Private communications) ALICE: Phys. Lett. B 754, 360 Md Nasim, RHIC-AGS 2021

## Elliptic Flow of Nuclei



• Nuclei  $v_2$  show atomic number scaling

Nuclei production mainly through coalescence of nucleons

STAR: PRC 94 (2016) 34908

Md Nasim, RHIC-AGS 2021

### Directed Flow of Nuclei and Hyper-Nuclei



- First observation of hypernuclei collective flow  $(v_1)$  in heavy-ion collisions
- v<sub>1</sub> slope seems to follow atomic number scaling
- Hypernuclei production mainly from coalescence of hyperons and nucleons

# Summary

### Mapping the QCD phase-diagram

### √s<sub>NN</sub> ≥ 27GeV :

- There are clear evidence for QGP formation
- Hadronization at intermediate  $p_T$  is dominated by quark coalescence

### √s<sub>NN</sub> ≤ 11.5 GeV :

- Medium created is likely hadronic interaction dominated
- Lack of evidence for the quark coalescence at intermediate p<sub>T</sub>

High precision measurements are needed for  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  < 20 GeV (BES-II)

#### Understanding nuclei and hyper-nuclei production

- Thermal model describes yields (except  $_{\Lambda}{}^{4}$ H)
- Flow measurement indicates (hyper)nuclei production through coalescence

## **STAR BES-II**

New measurements using high statistics data and with improved detector condition will be available soon.

#### **Collider Mode:**

| Collision Energy (GeV)    | 7.7   | 9.2       | 11.5       | 14.6      | 17.3 | 19.6       | 27   |
|---------------------------|-------|-----------|------------|-----------|------|------------|------|
| Performance in BES-I      | 2010  | NA        | 2010       | 2014      | NA   | 2011       | 2011 |
| Good Events (M)           | 4.3   | NA        | 11.7       | 12.6      | NA   | 36         | 70   |
| Days running              | 19    | NA        | 10         | 21        | NA   | 9          | 8    |
| Data Hours per day        | 11    | NA        | 12         | 10        | NA   | 9          | 10   |
| Fill Length (min)         | 10    | NA        | 20         | 60        | NA   | 30         | 60   |
| Good Event Rate (Hz)      | 7     | NA        | 30         | 23        | NA   | 100        | 190  |
| Max DAQ Rate (Hz)         | 80    | NA        | 140        | 1000      | NA   | 500        | 1200 |
| Performance in BES-II     |       | 1         |            |           |      |            |      |
| (achieved)                | 2021  | 2020      | 2020       | 2019      | 2021 | 2019       | 2018 |
| Required Number of Events | 100   | 160       | 230        | 300       | 250  | 400        | NA   |
| Achieved Number of Events | 101   | 162       | <b>235</b> | 324       | TBD  | <b>582</b> | 560  |
| fill length (min)         | 30    | <b>45</b> | <b>25</b>  | <b>45</b> | 50   | 60         | 120  |
| Good Event Rate (Hz)      | 22    | 33        | 80         | 170       | 265  | 400        | 620  |
| Max DAQ rate (Hz)         | 600   | 700       | 550        | 800       | 1300 | 1800       | 2200 |
| Data Hours per day        | 13    | 13        | 13         | 9         | 15   | 10         | 9    |
| Projected number of weeks | 11-20 | 8.5-14    | 7.6-10     | 5.5       | 2.5  | 4.5        | NA   |
| weeks to reach goals      | 12.8  | 14.6      | 8.9        | 8.6       | TBD  | 5.1        | 4.0  |

## **STAR BES-II**

New measurements using high statistics data and with improved detector condition will be available soon.

### **Fixed Target Mode:**

| Beam     | $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ | Expected             | Actual               | Proposed        | Recorded           | Year |  |
|----------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------------------|------|--|
| Energy   | (GeV)           | Duration             | Duration             | Events          | Events             |      |  |
| <br>3.85 | 3.0             | 4 days               | 3.5  days            | 100 M           | $258 \mathrm{M}$   | 2018 |  |
| 3.85     | 3.0             | 3 days               | $3.3 \mathrm{~days}$ | 300 M           | $307 \mathrm{M}$   | 2021 |  |
| 3.85     | 3.0             | 3 weeks              | TBD                  | 2 B             | TBD                | 2021 |  |
| 4.59     | 3.2             | 2 days               | 46 hours             | 200 M           | $200.6 \mathrm{M}$ | 2019 |  |
| 5.75     | 3.5             | $1  \mathrm{day}$    | 23 hours             | 100 M           | $115.6~\mathrm{M}$ | 2020 |  |
| 7.3      | 3.9             | $0.5 \mathrm{days}$  | 12 hours             | $50 \mathrm{M}$ | $52.7 \mathrm{M}$  | 2019 |  |
| 7.3      | 3.9             | $1  \mathrm{day}$    | 29 hours             | 100 M           | $117 \mathrm{M}$   | 2020 |  |
| 9.8      | 4.5             | $1  \mathrm{day}$    | 31 hours             | 100 M           | $108 \mathrm{M}$   | 2020 |  |
| 13.5     | 5.2             | $1  \mathrm{days}$   | 21 hours             | 100 M           | $103 \mathrm{M}$   | 2020 |  |
| 19.5     | 6.2             | $1  \mathrm{days}$   | 22 hours             | 100 M           | 118 M              | 2020 |  |
| 26.5     | 7.2             | parasitic            | $2  \mathrm{days}$   | none            | $155 \mathrm{M}$   | 2018 |  |
| 26.5     | 7.2             | parasitic            | 3.5  days            | none            | $317 \mathrm{M}$   | 2020 |  |
| 26.5     | 7.2             | parasitic            | TBD                  | none            | TBD                | 2021 |  |
| 31.2     | 7.7             | $0.5 \mathrm{days}$  | 11.5  hours          | $50 \mathrm{M}$ | $50.6 \mathrm{M}$  | 2019 |  |
| <br>31.2 | 7.7             | $1  \mathrm{day}$    | 26 hours             | $100 {\rm M}$   | $112 \mathrm{M}$   | 2020 |  |
| 44.5     | 9.1             | 0.5  days            | 12 hours             | $50 \mathrm{M}$ | $53.9 \mathrm{M}$  | 2021 |  |
| 70       | 11.5            | $0.5 \mathrm{~days}$ | 12 hours             | $50 \mathrm{M}$ | $51.7 \mathrm{M}$  | 2021 |  |
| 100      | 13.7            | $0.5  \mathrm{davs}$ | 10 hours             | $50 \mathrm{M}$ | $50.7 \mathrm{M}$  | 2021 |  |

Md Nasim, RHIC-AGS 2021

### Thank You

Md Nasim, RHIC-AGS 2021