Charge-dependent flow in Cu+Au collisions

Takafumi Niida for the STAR Collaboration Wayne State University







Contents

▶ Why interesting ? : Cu+Au collisions

Charge-dependent directed flow

Higher-order flow vn

- d+Au collisions @ 200 GeV
- U+U collisions @ 193 GeV
- He³+Au collisions @ 200 GeV
- ø p+Au collisions @ 200 GeV ← this run

- d+Au collisions @ 200 GeV
- U+U collisions @ 193 GeV
- He³+Au collisions @ 200 GeV
- p+Au collisions @ 200 GeV
- p+Al collisions?



- d+Au collisions @ 200 GeV
- U+U collisions @ 193 GeV
- He³+Au collisions @ 200 GeV
- p+Au collisions @ 200 GeV
- p+Al collisions?



Successfully run unique collisions by flexibility of RHIC

- d+Au collisions @ 200 GeV
- U+U collisions @ 193 GeV
- He³+Au collisions @ 200 GeV
- p+Au collisions @ 200 GeV
- p+Al collisions?



asymmetric density profile asymmetric pressure gradient

- d+Au collisions @ 200 GeV
- U+U collisions @ 193 GeV
- He³+Au collisions @ 200 GeV
- p+Au collisions @ 200 GeV
- p+Al collisions?



asymmetric density profile asymmetric pressure gradient



Successfully run unique collisions by flexibility of RHIC

- d+Au collisions @ 200 GeV
- U+U collisions @ 193 GeV
- He³+Au collisions @ 200 GeV
- p+Au collisions @ 200 GeV
- p+Al collisions?



asymmetric density profile asymmetric pressure gradient





dipole-like charge distribution by spectators

Why interesting? - Cu+Au collisions -

- Sizable E-field pointing from Au to Cu, due to different number of protons in both spectators
- Expect charge separation of directed flow due to a dipole deformation
 - Electric conductivity of QGP? (PRC90.021903)
 - Would be sensitive to the quark/anti-quark creation time (a life time of E-field ~0.25 fm/c) (PRC90.064903)
- Higher-order flow would be also interesting to study n/s with hydrodynamic models under asymmetric pressure gradient
 PLB717(2012)287



E-field from PHSD, PRC90.064903 (Parton-hadron string dynamics)



Solenoidal Tracker At RHIC

EEMC

▶ Trigger detectors: VPD, ZDC (detecting spectator neutrons)
 ▶ Tracking of charged particles: TPC (|n|<1)
 ▶ Event planes: ZDC-SMD(|n|>6.3), TPC, EEMC (1<n<2)

 $\models \mathbb{R}$

TPC

BBC

VPD

vn measurements

Event plane method

- Ψ_1 determined by ZDC-SMD measuring spectator neutrons
- Ψ_n (n>1) determined by TPC(η -sub) and EEMC

$$v_n = \left\langle \cos\left[n\left(\phi - \Psi_n\right)\right]\right\rangle$$
$$\Psi_n = \frac{1}{n} \tan^{-1}(Q_{n,y}/Q_{n,x})$$
$$Q_{n,x} = \Sigma w_i \cos(n\phi)$$
$$Q_{n,y} = \Sigma w_i \sin(n\phi)$$

- Scalar product method

$$v_n = \frac{\langle \vec{Q}_n^{F(B)} \cdot \vec{u} \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \vec{Q}_n^F \cdot \vec{Q}_n^B \rangle}}$$

- Systematic uncertainty
 - variation of track selection
 - For v₁, EP resolutions from different 3-sub events
 - ${\it \odot}$ For vn, difference between TPC η -sub and EEMC





Charge-dependent directed flow



Sizable v_1^{even} measured relative to ZDC-SMD plane in Au-going side, where $\Psi_1^{Au}>0$

•
$$v_1^{\text{even}} = \langle \cos(\phi - \Psi_1) \rangle$$
 $v_1^{\text{odd}} = \langle sgn(\eta)\cos(\phi - \Psi_1) \rangle$

 In Au+Au collisions, v1^{odd} ~0.1% (v1^{even} would be small because of sign-flipped symmetry on n), which is only due to density fluctuations
 ▶ Negative v1 in pT<1GeV/c: more low pT particles in Cu-side due to asymmetric pressure gradient?

Positive v1 in pT>1GeV/c: more high pT particles in Au-side of due to jet and/or corona by higher initial density in Au-side?



v₁ in Au+Au, STAR, PRL101.252301



Charge-dependent directed flow



▶ $\Delta v_1 = v_1(h^+) - v_1(h^-)$, and $v_1 \sim 1\%$, $\Delta v_1 < 0.2\%$

- Δv_1 looks to be negative in p_T<2 GeV/c,
- similar p⊤ dependence to PHSD model (PRC90.064903), but smaller by <u>a factor of 10</u>
- Quarks existing at an earlier time than the life time of E-field (~0.25 fm/c) would be very small
 - consistent with "two wave" scenario of light quark production





10

v2 peaks at more central collisions than Au+Au collisions
 40-50% in Au+Au (and Cu+Cu), 30-40% in Cu+Au





11

▶ v₂ peaks at more central collisions than Au+Au collisions

- ▶ v₃ seems to decrease in more peripheral collisions
 - due to the intrinsic triangularity in addition to fluctuations?





PHENIX, PRL107.252301



12

▶ v₂ peaks at more central collisions than Au+Au collisions

- 40-50% in Au+Au (and Cu+Cu), 30-40% in Cu+Au
- ▶ v₃ seems to decrease in more peripheral collisions
 - due to the intrinsic triangularity in addition to fluctuations?
- Finite v₄ is observed
 - weak centrality dependence





13

▶ v₂ peaks at more central collisions than Au+Au collisions

- 40-50% in Au+Au (and Cu+Cu), 30-40% in Cu+Au
- ▶ v₃ seems to decrease in more peripheral collisions
 - due to the intrinsic triangularity in addition to fluctuations?
- Finite v₄ is observed
 - weak centrality dependence
- No charge dependence for vn (n>=2)



vn{EP} vs vn{SP}



- ▶ vn with scalar product method were measured for check
 - Good agreement with EP-method in central collisions
 - Start to deviate in more peripheral collisions, which can be understood by different sensitivity to non-flow

Summary

- Charge-dependent directed flow in Cu+Au collisions have been presented
 - The difference between v₁(h⁺) and v₁(h⁻) has the same sign and pT dependence as PHSD model prediction, which may be a direct evidence of the predicted initial electric field
 - Δv₁ is much smaller than the model, which indicates the number of (anti-)quarks existing at an earlier time (t<0.25 fm/c) would be a small fraction of all (anti-)quarks produced
 - A quantitative comparison with model is on-going
- ▶ Higher-order flow (v₂-v₄) have also been measured
 - v₂ and v₃ look to have slightly different centrality dependence from Au+Au, especially v₃, which decreases in more peripheral collisions

Thank you for your attention!

Back up

v1 in Au+Au 200GeV



Small signal of v1 at mid-rapidity in Au+Au collisions

$$v_1^{\text{odd}} = \langle sgn(\eta)\cos(\phi - \Psi_1) \rangle$$

E-b-e viscous hydrodynamics in Cu+Au





P. Bozek, PLB717(2012)287

$$v_1^{\text{even}} = \langle \cos(\phi - \Psi_1) \rangle$$
$$v_1^{\text{odd}} = \langle sgn(\eta) \cos(\phi - \Psi_1) \rangle$$