Low- $p_T \mu^+ \mu^-$ pair production in Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV at STAR

³ *Jian* Zhou^{1,2,*}(for the STAR collaboration)

⁴ ¹Department of Modern Physics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China

²State Key Laboratory of Particle Detection and Electronics, University of Science and Technology of
China, Hefei 230026, China

13 1 Introduction

Dileptons are produced in the whole evolution of the heavy-ion collisions and escape with 14 minimum interaction with the strongly interacting medium. Thus, dilepton measurements 15 play an important role in the study of hot and dense nuclear matter produced in Relativis-16 tic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) [1, 2]. Recently, significant enhancements of e^+e^- pair at 17 very low transverse momentum (p_T) were observed by the STAR collaboration in peripheral 18 Au+Au collisions [3]. The excess can be explained by photon-photon interactions induced 19 by the extremely strong electromagnetic field produced by the fast moving heavy ions. While 20 such photon-photon interactions were traditionally studied in ultra-peripheral collisions with-21 out any nuclear overlaps [4-6], they could provide a novel probe to the Quark Gluon Plasma 22 (QGP) created in peripheral collisions since the very-low- p_T dileptons are produced in the 23 early stage of the collisions [7]. Recently, linearly polarized photon-photon collisions were 24 realized that it will lead to $\cos(2\Delta\phi)$ and $\cos(4\Delta\phi)$ angular distribution which is related to vac-25 uum birefringence [8–11]. The $\Delta \phi$ is defined as $\Delta \phi = \phi_{l^++l^-} - \phi_{l^+-l^-}$, where $\phi_{l^++l^-}$ and $\phi_{l^+-l^-}$ 26 are the azimuthal angle of the l^+l^- pair and azimuthal angle difference between l^+ and l^- , re-27 spectively. A fourth-order angular modulation has been observed from the measurements of 28 e^+e^- pair production by STAR collaboration [12]. From model calculation in Ref. [9, 10], the 29 $\cos(2\Delta\phi)$ modulation would vanish from dielectron channel, while the $\cos(2\Delta\phi)$ modulation 30 will still be observed through the measurements of dimuon pair production. Measurements 31 of $\mu^+\mu^-$ pair provide a complementary channel to investigate these phenomena and constrain 32 the photon interaction in heavy-ion collisions. 33

In this proceeding, we will present invariant mass distributions of $\mu^+\mu^-$ pair production at $p_T < 0.10 \text{ GeV}/c$, as well as p_T distributions. The p_T^2 and $\Delta\phi$ distributions of the excess yields will also be shown. Theoretical calculations [13–15] will be compared with data.

37 2 Experiment and analysis

Data are collected by the STAR in the year 2014 and about 800 million Au+Au minimum-38 bias (MB) good events have been used. In this analysis, the main sub-detectors used are the 39 Time Projection Chamber (TPC) [16] and the Time of Flight (TOF) [17] detectors. The TPC 40 is the main detector for tracking, and it can also measure the ionization energy loss (dE/dx)41 to provide particle identification. The TOF is used to identify particles by measuring the 42 velocity. By combining the TPC and TOF, the muon can be identified at low momentum. 43 The like-sign technique is used to estimate the combinatorial background, while the mixed-44 event technique is used to correct for the acceptance difference effect. After subtracting 45 background from unlike-sign distribution, the raw signal can be obtained. Then the raw 46 signal will be corrected for the detector inefficiency. Finally, a Monte-Carlo simulation is 47 applied to evaluate the hadronic cocktail contribution [3]. 48

49 3 Results and discussion



Figure 1: (color online) The $\mu^+\mu^-$ invariant mass spectra in Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{_{NN}}} = 200$ GeV for pair $p_T < 0.10$ GeV/*c* in different centrality bins compared to the hadronic cocktail. The vertical bars on data points depict the statistical uncertainties and the systematic uncertainties are shown as gray boxes. Left: 60-80% centrality bins. Right: 40-60% centrality bins.

Figure 1 shows the $\mu^+\mu^-$ invariant mass spectra with the fiducial acceptance 50 $(0.18 < p_{\mu} < 0.30 \text{ GeV}/c, |\eta_{\mu}| < 0.8, |y^{\mu\mu}| < 0.8)$ in Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV}$ for pair 51 $p_T < 0.10 \text{ GeV}/c$ for 60-80% (left panel) and 40-60% (right panel) centrality bins, respec-52 tively. The invariant mass concentrates between 0.4 and 0.64 GeV/c^2 and a significant en-53 hancement is observed with respect to the hadronic cocktail. The enhancement factor for cen-54 trality 60-80% is larger than that in semi-peripheral (40-60%) collisions. As shown in Fig. 2, 55 the $\mu^+\mu^-$ excess mass spectra (data - cocktail) can be obtained after removing the hadronic 56 cocktail contribution from the inclusive pair production at very low p_T ($p_T < 0.10$ GeV/c). 57 Several theoretical calculations of lepton pair (l^+l^-) production from coherent photon-photon 58 interactions in hadronic Au+Au collisions have been proposed in Ref. [15]. The excess yields 59 are found to be consistent with EPA-QED calculations [15] within uncertainties in different 60 centrality bins. 61

Figure 3 shows the p_T distributions of $\mu^+\mu^-$ pair in Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{_{NN}}} = 200 \text{ GeV}$ for mass region between 0.4 and 0.64 GeV/ c^2 in different centrality bins. The significant enhancement is found to concentrate below $p_T \approx 0.10 \text{ GeV}/c$. When $p_T > 0.10 \text{ GeV}/c$, the hadronic cocktail can describe the data reasonably. The enhancement at low p_T is the evidence of photon production in hadronic heavy-ion collisions from the measurements of Figure 2: (color online) The $\mu^+\mu^-$ excess mass spectra (data - cocktail) in Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{_{\rm NN}}} = 200$ GeV for pair $p_T < 0.10$ GeV/c in different centrality bins compared to the model calculations.



the dimuon channel. Two types of theoretical models in Ref. [15] are also compared to the results. In order to ensure the yields of data and model calculations are consistent in the same acceptance, these models are multiplied by a scale factor. The EPA-QED calculation is compatible with the data at very low p_T ($p_T < 0.10 \text{ GeV}/c$), while the EPA calculation [15] can not describe the data very well.



Figure 3: (color online) The p_T distributions of $\mu^+\mu^-$ pair in Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{_{NN}}} = 200$ GeV for mass region between 0.4 and 0.64 GeV/ c^2 in different centrality bins compared to the model calculations. The gray bands depict the systematic uncertainties of the cocktails. Left: 60-80% centrality bins. Right: 40-60% centrality bins.

Figure 4 shows the p_T^2 distribution of excess yield in the mass region of 0.40-0.64 GeV/ c^2 72 in Au+Au collisions for 60-80% centrality bin. The EPA and EPA-QED calculations are also 73 shown in Fig. 4 as dotted and solid lines respectively. The EPA-QED curve can describe the 74 data very well, while the EPA calculation overshoots the data at low p_T^2 . The $\sqrt{\langle p_T^2 \rangle}$, which 75 characterizes the p_T broadening, is also calculated for both data and theoretical calculations. 76 The p_T broadening is consistent with EPA-QED calculation within uncertainties. 77 Figure 5 shows the acceptance-corrected $\Delta \phi$ distribution of excess yield in the mass region 78 of 0.40-0.64 GeV/ c^2 for pair $p_T < 0.10$ GeV/c in 60-80% collisions. EPA-QED calculation 79 is also drawn in this plot. $\Delta \phi$ distributions are fit to a function which is shown as formula in 80 Fig. 5, where C is a constant, $A_{2\Delta\phi}$ represents the magnitude of $\cos(2\Delta\phi)$ modulation and $A_{4\Delta\phi}$ 81 represents the magnitude of $\cos(4\Delta\phi)$ modulation. The $\cos(4\Delta\phi)$ modulation is observed with 82 3.3σ significance which is consistent the measurements of dielectron channel in Ref. [12]. 83

The $\cos(2\Delta\phi)$ modulation is observed with 2.3 σ significance.

85 4 Summary

In summary, we present the first measurements of photo-produced $\mu^+\mu^-$ pair production at very low p_T in non-central Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV. The observed excess is



Figure 4: (color online) The p_T^2 distribution of excess yield in the mass region of 0.40-0.64 GeV/ c^2 compared to the model calculations in 60-80% collisions.



Figure 5: (color online) The $\Delta\phi$ distribution of excess yield in the mass region of 0.40-0.64 GeV/ c^2 in 60-80% collisions very-low p_T ($p_T < 0.10$ GeV/c).

⁸⁸ found to concentrate below about $p_T \approx 0.10 \text{ GeV}/c$ which is consistent with EPA-QED cal-⁸⁹ culations. The p_T^2 distribution in mass region of 0.4-0.64 GeV/ c^2 is also presented and com-⁹⁰ pared with model calculations. The broadening of p_T distribution shows agreement with ⁹¹ EPA-QED calculation within uncertainties. The observation of a 3.3 σ 4th-order azimuthal ⁹² angular modulation is consistent with the measurements of dielectron channel. The 2nd-order ⁹³ azimuthal angular modulation with 2.3 σ is indicated to exist in heavy-ion collisions.

Acknowledgments

This work was funded by the National Nature Science Foundation of China under Grant NoS.
11775213, 11720101001 and Anhui Provincial Natural Science Foundation under Grant Nos.
1908085J02.

References

- ⁹⁹ [1] J. Adams *et al.* (STAR), Nucl. Phys. A **757**, 102-183 (2005)
- ¹⁰⁰ [2] K. Adcox et al. (PHENIX), Nucl. Phys. A 757, 184-283 (2005)
- ¹⁰¹ [3] J. Adam *et al.* (STAR), Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**,132301 (2018)
- ¹⁰² [4] B. I. Abelev *et al.* (STAR), Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 112301 (2009)
- ¹⁰³ [5] S. Afanasiev et al. (PHENIX), Phys. Lett. B 679, 321-329 (2009)
- ¹⁰⁴ [6] B. Abelev et al. (ALICE), Phys. Lett. B 718, 1273-1283 (2013)
- ¹⁰⁵ [7] D. E. Kharzeev and H. J. Warringa, Phys. Rev. D 80, 034028 (2009)
- ¹⁰⁶ [8] R. P. Mignani, et al., Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 465, no.1, 492-500 (2017)
- ¹⁰⁷ [9] C. Li, J. Zhou and Y. J. Zhou, Phys. Lett. B **795**, 576-580 (2019)
- ¹⁰⁸ [10] C. Li, J. Zhou and Y. J. Zhou, Phys. Rev. D 101, no.3, 034015 (2020)
- ¹⁰⁹ [11] W. Zha, J. D. Brandenburg, et al., Phys. Rev. D 103, no.3, 033007 (2021)
- ¹¹⁰ [12] J. Adam *et al.* (STAR), Phys. Rev. Lett. **127**,52302 (2021)
- ¹¹¹ [13] S. R. Klein, Phys. Rev. C **97**, no.5, 054903 (2018)
- ¹¹² [14] W. Zha, L. Ruan, Z. Tang, Z. Xu and S. Yang, Phys. Lett. B 781, 182-186 (2018)
- ¹¹³ [15] W. Zha, J. D. Brandenburg, Z. Tang and Z. Xu, Phys. Lett. B 800, 135089 (2020)
- ¹¹⁴ [16] M. Anderson, J. Berkovitz, et al. Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A **499**, 659-678 (2003)
- ¹¹⁵ [17] B. Bonner, H. Chen, *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A **508**, 181-184 (2003).