# Status Report on the Analyses of Proton-Number Cumulants in the STAR Fixed-Target Program

Meeting of the APS Division of Nuclear Physics and the Physical Society of Japan

Zachary Sweger University of California, Davis For the STAR Collaboration

11/30/23 Waikoloa Village, Hawaii

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Supported in part by



This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under <u>Grant No. 1812398</u> (**Cebra and Calderón de la Barca**). Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the National Science Foundation.

### Phases of QCD Matter



#### QCD Phase Diagram

- Quarks and gluons experience confinement at low temperatures and densities.
- At high temperatures and densities, there is a deconfined phase, a quark-gluon plasma.
  Beam Energy Scan (BES)
- BES program at the Relativistic Heavy-Ion Collider scans phase space of QCD matter by colliding gold ions at varying energies
- Seeking to map onset of deconfinement, and the predicted QCD critical point



## Phases of QCD Matter



#### QCD Phase Diagram

- Quarks and gluons experience confinement at low temperatures and densities.
- At high temperatures and densities, there is a deconfined phase, a quark-gluon plasma.
   Beam Energy Scan (BES)
- BES program at the Relativistic Heavy-Ion Collider scans phase space of QCD matter by colliding gold ions at varying energies
- Seeking to map onset of deconfinement, and the predicted QCD critical point



### **Cumulants and Moments**







The standardized moments of a distribution are  $S\sigma = C_3/C_2 \text{ [skewness]}$  measure of distribution's asymmetry  $\kappa\sigma^2 = C_4/C_2 \text{ [excess kurtosis]}$  measure of distribution's tails

## **Current Status of Cumulants Analysis**





STAR, Phys. Rev. Lett. 128, 202303 (2022) ; arXiv : 2209.11940. Phys. Rev. Lett. 126, 092301 (2021); Phys. Rev. C 104, 024902 (2021)





M. Stephanov. J. Physics G.: Nucl. Part. Phys. 38 (2011) 124147

- Non-monotonic energy dependence was observed in BES-I
- Recent measurement at 3 GeV demonstrates a return to the UrQMD baseline.
- High-statistics data (BES-II collider mode) with detector improvements have been taken from 7.7 GeV to 27 GeV.
- Data have been collected to fill the large gap between 3.0 and 7.7 GeV in STAR's Fixed-Target Program

## **Current Status of Cumulants Analysis**





STAR, Phys. Rev. Lett. 128, 202303 (2022) ; arXiv : 2209.11919 Phys. Rev. Lett. 126, 092301 (2021); Phys. Rev. C 104, 024902 (202





M. Stephanov. J. Physics G.: Nucl. Part. Phys. 38 (2011) 124147

- Non-monotonic energy dependence was observed in BES-I
- Recent measurement at 3 GeV demonstrates a return to the UrQMD baseline.
- High-statistics data (BES-II collider mode) with detector improvements have been taken from 7.7 GeV to 27 GeV.
- Data have been collected to fill the large gap between 3.0 and 7.7 GeV in STAR's Fixed-Target Program

## **Fixed Target Program at STAR**



## Fixed-Target (FXT) Program at STAR

- Test run with gold target in 2015
- First physics runs at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  = 3.0 GeV and 7.2 GeV in 2018
- Now have data at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  of 3.0, 3.2, 3.5, 3.9, 4.5, 5.2, 6.2, 7.2, and 7.7 GeV

## Challenges for FXT

- Shifting asymmetric acceptance with respect to midrapidity
- At 7.7 GeV, the midrapidity moves to edge of Time Projection Chamber (TPC) acceptance
- Boost at higher energies shifts particle identification (PID) to rely more on TOF than TPC







## **Endcap Time-of-Flight Detector**



### **ETOF** Details

- CBM-TOF group provided ETOF system
- Provides particle identification over 1.55<η<2.2</li>
- Collected data for the Fixed-Target Program
- Calibrations completed at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  = 3.5, 3.9, 4.5 GeV





# **Analysis Strategy**



- Midrapidity analyses will be performed at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  = 3.2, 3.5, 3.9, 4.5 GeV since we have near-full coverage over -0.5<y-y<sub>CM</sub><0 and 0.4<p<sub>T</sub><2 GeV/c
- At √s<sub>NN</sub> = 5.2, 6.2, 7.2, and 7.7 GeV proton cumulants will be analyzed away from midrapidity
  ➤ We can map proton cumulants as a function of μ<sub>B</sub>





Nominal Vs (GeV)	Chemical Potential µ <sub>B</sub> (MeV)
3.2	697
3.5	666
3.9	632
4.5	589
5.2	541
6.2	487
7.2	443
7.7	420

From this year's 30<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Ultra-relativistic Nucleus-Nucleus Collisions (Quark Matter 2023)





#### From this year's 30<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Ultra-relativistic Nucleus-**Nucleus Collisions (Quark Matter 2023)**

Wei-jie Fu: "Recent studies of QCD phase structure from both fRG and DSE have shown convergent estimate for the location of CEP:  $600 \le \mu_B \le 650$  MeV"

Wei-jie Fu, "Baryon number Fluctuations at high baryon density" Quark Matter (2023)

600

800

1000

DNP 2023 Meeting

per et al 2021

reezeout: STAF

200

reezeout: Alba et al reezeout: Andronic et al eezeout: Becattini et al

eezeout: Vovchenko et a eezeout: Sagun et al.

400

 $\mu_B [\text{MeV}]$ 

40





#### From this year's 30<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Ultra-relativistic Nucleus-Nucleus Collisions (Quark Matter 2023)

- Wei-jie Fu: "Recent studies of QCD phase structure from both fRG and DSE have shown convergent estimate for the location of CEP:  $600 \le \mu_B \le 650$  MeV"
- Maurício Hippert: holographic Bayesian analysis gives  $560 \lesssim \mu_B \lesssim 625$  MeV







#### From this year's 30<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Ultra-relativistic Nucleus-Nucleus Collisions (Quark Matter 2023)

- Wei-jie Fu: "Recent studies of QCD phase structure from both fRG and DSE have shown convergent estimate for the location of CEP:  $600 \le \mu_B \le 650$  MeV"
- Maurício Hippert: holographic Bayesian analysis gives  $560 \lesssim \mu_B \lesssim 625$  MeV
- Jishnu Goswami: extrapolation using machinelearning model from hot QCD:  $\mu_B \cong 600\pm80$  MeV

Jishnu Goswami, "Exploring the Critical Points in QCD with Multi-Point Padè and Machine Learning Techniques in (2+1)-flavor QCD" Quark Matter (2023)



## **Detector Acceptances**



- We have near full acceptance in our analysis window (-0.5<y-y<sub>CM</sub><0, 0.4<p<sub>T</sub><2 GeV/c) up to 4.5 GeV</li>
- We rely on much more time-of-flight for particle identification for  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  = 3.5, 3.9, 4.5 GeV



#### Lessons: FXT and Timing Fluctuations



• STAR TPC has 40 µs drift time



#### Lessons: FXT and Timing Fluctuations



 STAR TPC has 40 µs drift time → occasionally a second collision will occur within that time



## Lessons: FXT and Timing Fluctuations



- STAR TPC has 40  $\mu s$  drift time  $\rightarrow$  occasionally a second collision will occur within that time
- Tracks from a second collision that are included in the event are known as pileup
- Time-of-flight (TOF) detectors use nanosecond-scale timing resolution to identify particles
- Pileup tracks which are out-of-time will often not be wellidentified by TOF





- When we use the time-of-flight for particle ID, protons from out-of-time pileup are not counted by the fast TOF
- The TPC still identifies all the pileup tracks
- Centrality is determined by the TPC multiplicity
- A pileup event may be classified as very central, but have few protons
- For each centrality bin, this leads to a low-proton-number tail







- When we use the time-of-flight for particle ID, protons from out-of-time pileup are not counted by the fast TOF
- The TPC still identifies all the pileup tracks
- Centrality is determined by the TPC multiplicity
- A pileup event may be classified as very central, but have few protons
- For each centrality bin, this leads to a low-proton-number tail
- Long tails mean large kurtosis







- We can simulate this in UrQMD by sampling two events at a rate of 0.0001
- In the experiment we use TOF PID for tracks above a certain momentum
- We can simulate this by only including pileup tracks with momenta below the threshold for using TOF
- The more TOF PID we use, the more the pileup causes a tail





21

- We can simulate this in UrQMD by sampling two events at a rate of 0.0001
- In the experiment we use TOF PID for tracks above a certain momentum
- We can simulate this by only including pileup tracks with momenta below the threshold for using TOF
- The more TOF PID we use, the more the pileup causes a tail
- This causes instability in  $C_4/C_2$  and other cumulants
- **Conclusion:** remove pileup when using TOF for proton ID. *Do not* correct for it







- Recent data from the Fixed—Target Program will extend our knowledge of the proton cumulant ratios at low energies (3.2-7.7 GeV)
- Non-monotonic variation in proton higher moments would suggest proximity to a critical point in the QCD phase diagram
- Many theoretical approaches now suggest critical point is accessible in the STAR Fixed-Target regime
- Midrapidity measurements will be performed at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  = 3.2, 3.5, 3.9, and 4.5 GeV
- Rapidity-dependent study will be done at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  = 5.2, 6.2, 7.2, and 7.7 GeV.
- The Fixed-Target analysis comes with unique challenges which we are working to understand