



### Recent Light Hypernuclei **Measurements from STAR Experiment**

(for the STAR collaboration)

University of Science and Technology of China

University of Tsukuba





### Xiujun Li

Supported in part by the





## Outline

- Introduction 0
- Review of previous hypernuclei measurements from STAR
- Recent hypernuclei measurements from STAR 0
  - Internal structure  $\bullet$ 
    - Branching ratios, lifetimes,  $\Lambda$  binding energies
  - Production mechanism in heavy-ion collisions
    - Yields, collectivity
- Summary  $\bigcirc$
- Outlook  $\bigcirc$







# Introduction: what and why

• What are hypernuclei?



- Why hypernuclei?
  - Probe hyperon-nucleon (Y-N) interaction lacksquare
  - Strangeness in high density nuclear matter
    - Equation-of-State (EoS) of neutron star





Marian Danysz (right) and Jerzy Pniewski (left) discovered hypernuclei in 1952



le

 $^{4}_{\Lambda}$ He



2	
t	
5	
H	
•	
Б	
$\mathbf{S}$	
•	
•	
$\frown$	
$\mathbf{N}$	
$\bigcirc$	
$\mapsto$	
5	
$\smile$	
U	
$\mathbb{N}$	
• •	
$\mathbb{N}$	
0	



## Introduction: how

- Experimentally, we can make measurements related to:
  - 1. Internal structure
    - Lifetime, binding energy, branching ratios etc. lacksquare
  - 2. Production mechanism
    - Spectra, collectivity etc. lacksquare

The process of hypernuclei formation in violent heavy-ion collisions is not well understood





Understanding hypernuclei structure can provide insights to the Y-N interaction





# Introduction: RHIC BES program

extends the energy reach below  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  = 7.7 GeV, down to 3.0 GeV





## • During the BES-II program, STAR utilized the fixed-target (FXT) setup, which



### Hypernuclei and STAR BES-**List of BES-II datasets:**

• Hypernuclei measurements are scarce in heavy-ion collision experiments



A. Andronic et al. PLB (2011) 697:203–207

2022/4/30

- At low beam energies, hypernuclei production is expected to be enhanced due to high baryon density
  - Datasets with large statistics taken during **BES-II**
  - $\rightarrow$  A great opportunity to study hypernuclei production

•			
Year	$\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ [GeV]	Events	
	27	555 M	
2018	<u>3.0</u>	258 M	
	<u>7.2</u>	155 M	
	19.6	478 M	
	14.6	324 M	
2019	<u>3.9</u>	53 M	
	<u>3.2</u>	201 M	
	<u>7.7</u>	51 M	
	11.5	235 M	
	<u>7.7</u>	113 M	
	<u>4.5</u>	108 M	
	<u>6.2</u>	118 M	
2020	<u>5.2</u>	103 M	
	<u>3.9</u>	117 M	
	<u>3.5</u>	116 M	
	9.2	162 M	
	<u>7.2</u>	317 M	
	7.7	101 M	
	<u>3.0</u>	2103 M	
	<u>9.2</u>	54 M	
2021	<u>11.5</u>	52 M	
	<u>13.7</u>	51 M	
	17.3	256 M	
	<u>7.2</u>	89 M	

### Previous hypernuclei measurements from STARstar





STAR collaboration made the discovery of the anti-hyper triton. Science 328, 58 (2010) (STAR)

Lifetime measurement of  $^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H Science 328, 58 (2010) (STAR) PRC 97, 054909 (2018) (STAR)

Measurement of mass difference and binding energies of  ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}H$  and  ${}^{3}_{\overline{\Lambda}}\overline{H}$ Nature Phys. 16 (2020) 409 (STAR)







## Hypernuclei signal reconstruction







# <sup>3</sup>H branching ratio R<sub>3</sub>

Relative branching ratio:  $R_3 =$ 



- Improved precision on R<sub>3</sub>

2022/4/30



- Recent calculation shows that  $R_3$  may be sensitive to the binding energy ( $B_{\Lambda}$ ) of  ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}H$ 
  - $B_{\Lambda} \rightarrow$  provide constraints to Y-N interaction
- Using  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 3.0$  GeV data:
  - $R_3 = 0.272 \pm 0.030(stat.) \pm 0.042(syst.)$
  - Model comparison suggesting a weakly-bounded state for  ${}^3_{\Lambda}H$

### • Stronger constraints on absolute B.R.s and $^{3}_{\Lambda}H$ internal structure models









# ${}_{\Lambda}^{3}$ H, ${}_{\Lambda}^{4}$ H and ${}_{\Lambda}^{4}$ He lifetimes



<sup>4</sup><sub>A</sub>H: JPARC(2023),arXiv:2302.07443

2022/4/30



### $^{4}_{\Lambda}$ H

- $^{4}_{\Lambda}$ He

### Using $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 3.0 GeV and 7.2 GeV datasets:

- $^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H:  $\tau = 221 \pm 15$ (stat.)  $\pm 19$ (syst.)[ps]
- $^{4}_{\Lambda}$ H:  $\tau = 218 \pm 6$ (stat.)  $\pm 13$ (syst.)[ps]
- <sup>4</sup><sub> $\Lambda$ </sub>He:  $\tau = 229 \pm 23$ (stat.)  $\pm 20$ (syst.)[ps]
- Indication of shorter lifetimes for  ${}^3_{\Lambda}H$ ,  ${}^4_{\Lambda}H$  and  ${}^4_{\Lambda}He$  than that of free  $\Lambda$  (with 1.8 $\sigma$ , 3.0 $\sigma$ , 1.1 $\sigma$  respectively)
- Consistent with former measurements and world average values
- $\tau_{_{\Lambda}H}$ : consistent with calculation including pion FSI<sup>[1]</sup> and calculation with  $\Lambda d$  2-body picture<sup>[2]</sup> within 1 $\sigma$
- $au_{4H}^{4}$  and  $au_{4He}^{4}$ : consistent with expectations from isospin rule

### Precision ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H and ${}^{4}_{\Lambda}$ H measurements provide tight constraints on models.

[1]A. Gal and H. Garcilazo, PLB 791, 48 (2019) [2]J.G. Congleton, J. Phys. G 18, 339 (1992)

### Xiujun Li, WHBM 2023



# $B_{\Lambda}$ and $\Delta B_{\Lambda}$ of ${}^{4}_{\Lambda}H$ and ${}^{4}_{\Lambda}He$

STAR, PLB 834, 137449 (2022)



•  $\Lambda$  binding energy  $B_{\Lambda} = (M_{\Lambda} + M_{\rm core} - M_{\rm hypernucleus})c^2$ 

- The ground state  $B_{\Lambda}$  are directly measured:  $\Delta B_{\Lambda}^{4}(0^{+}) = B_{\Lambda}({}_{\Lambda}^{4}He, 0^{+}) - B_{\Lambda}({}_{\Lambda}^{4}H, 0^{+})$
- For excited states, the results are obtained by combining with the  $\gamma$ -ray transition energies  $E_{\gamma}$  J-PARC E13, PRL 115, 222501(2015) CERN-Lyon-Warsaw, PLB. 62, 467 (1976)

$$\begin{split} &B_{\Lambda}^{4}({}^{4}_{\Lambda}\text{He}/\text{H},1^{+}) = B_{\Lambda}({}^{4}_{\Lambda}\text{He}/\text{H},0^{+}) - E_{\gamma}({}^{4}_{\Lambda}\text{He}/\text{H}) \\ &\Delta B_{\Lambda}^{4}(1^{+}) = B_{\Lambda}({}^{4}_{\Lambda}\text{He},1^{+}) - B_{\Lambda}({}^{4}_{\Lambda}\text{H},1^{+}) \end{split}$$

2022/4/30



• Mirror hypernuclei  ${}^{4}_{\Lambda}$ H and  ${}^{4}_{\Lambda}$ He: opportunity to study charge symmetry breaking (CSB) effect in A = 4 hypernuclei

- CSB in  $0^+$  and  $1^+$  states are comparable and have opposite signs
  - Consistent with theoretical calculations within large uncertainties









# Hypernucleigproduction at 3 GeVstar



- Different trends in the  $^{4}_{\Lambda}$ H rapidity distribution in central (0-10%) and mid-central (10-50%) collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  = 3.0 GeV
  - reproduce the trend of  ${}^3_{\Lambda}$ H in 10-50%

• Transport model (JAM) with coalescence approximately reproduces trends of  $^4_{\Lambda}$ H rapidity distributions seen in data, but fails to

### Xiujun Li, WHBM 2023





- First observation of  ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H and  ${}^{4}_{\Lambda}$ H directed flow (v<sub>1</sub>) in mid-central 5-40% Au+Au collisions at 3 GeV
- Mid-rapidity  $v_1$  slopes of  ${}^3_{\Lambda}H$  and  ${}^4_{\Lambda}H$  follow baryon mass scaling.

 $\rightarrow$  Imply coalescence process to be the dominant formation mechanism for  $^{3}_{\Lambda}H$  and  $^{4}_{\Lambda}H$ production in 3 GeV Au+Au collisions

2022/4/30

arXiv:2211.16981 accepted by PRL

### Xiujun Li, WHBM 2023



### Energy dependence of hypernuclei production in heavy-ion collisions



2022/4/30



- T. Reichert, J. Steinheimer et al, arXiv:2210.11876(2022) (UrQMD, Thermal-FIST)











- Suppression of  ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}H/{}^{3}He$  yield ratios compared to that of  $\Lambda/p$ 
  - Observed at both 0-10% and 10-40% centrality in Au+Au collisions at 3 GeV.
- The  ${}^{4}_{\Lambda}H/{}^{4}He$  yield ratios are comparable to that of  $\Lambda/p$
- **Suggest coalescence mechanism and**  UrQMD model with coalescence describes the tendency of the distributions reasonably well, suggesting coalescence creation of excited A = 4 hypernuclei mechanism for hypernuclei formation.

Non-monotonic behavior in light-to-hyper-nuclei ratio vs A

- Thermal model calculations including excited  $^{4}_{\Lambda}H^{*}$  feed-down show a similar trend
  - Feed-down from excited state enhances  ${}^{4}_{\Lambda}H$  production











## S<sub>3.4</sub> at 3 GeV

### Strangeness population factor $S_A$

Relative suppression of to light nuclei production



Expect ~1 if no suppression  $S_4 \sim 1$ ,  $S_4 > S_3$ :  ${}_{\Lambda}^4 H/{}^4He$  is comparable to  $\Lambda/p$ 

No obvious kinematic and centrality dependence of  $S_{3,4}$  observed at 3 GeV.

2022/4/30



 $\rightarrow$  Coalescence parameters  $B_A$  of  $^A_AH$  and  $^AHe$  follow similar tendency versus  $p_T$ , rapidity and centrality, indicating that N-N and Y-N interactions that drive coalescence dynamics in these collisions are similar







# **Energy dependence of S<sub>3</sub>**



STAR, Science 328 (2010) 58 ALICE, PLB 754 (2016) 360 E864, PRC 70 (2004) 024902 NA49, J.Phys.Conf.Ser.110(2008)032010

A. Andronic et al, PLB 697 (2011) 203 (Thermal (GSI)) S. Zhang, PLB 684(2010)224 (Coal.+AMPT) T. Reichert, J. Steinheimer et al, arXiv:2210.11876(2022) (UrQMD, Thermal-FIST)

### Data show a hint of an increasing trend from $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 3.0 GeV to 2.76 TeV

• For coalescence models, the energy dependence is sensitive to the source radius ( $\Delta r$ )

 Thermal-FIST, which includes feed-down to p and  ${}^{3}\text{He}$  from unstable nuclei, describes the  $S_{3}$ data reasonably well

### **Provide constraints for hypernuclei production** models in the high-baryon-density region









## Summary

- STAR BES-II provides a unique opportunity to study hypernuclei at high-baryon-density region
  - Precision  ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H,  ${}^{4}_{\Lambda}$ H lifetimes measured
  - Relative branching ratio  $R_3$  of  ${}^3_{\Lambda}H$  with improved precision
    - Strong constraints on hypernuclei internal structures
  - $\Lambda$  binding-energy difference between  ${}^{4}_{\Lambda}H$  and  ${}^{4}_{\Lambda}He$ 
    - Hint of CSB effect for A=4 hypernuclei
  - First measurement of  ${}^3_{\Lambda}H$  and  ${}^4_{\Lambda}H$  v  $_1$  at 3 GeV
    - $v_1$  slopes follow baryon mass scaling  $\rightarrow$  Support coalescence picture
  - First measurement of  ${}^3_{\Lambda}$ H and  ${}^4_{\Lambda}$ H dN/dy vs y in heavy-ion collisions.
    - Provide constraints to hypernuclei production models @ high  $\mu_{\rm B}$
  - Relative suppression of  $^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H/ $^{3}$ He compared to  $\Lambda/p$  and  $^{4}_{\Lambda}$ H/ $^{4}$ He; weak centrality/kinematic dependence for  $S_3$  and  $S_4$ ; hint of increasing trend of  $S_3$  vs  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$



Xiujun Li, WHBM 2023

## Outlook



• e.g. 
$${}^{4}_{\Lambda\Lambda}\text{He} \rightarrow {}^{4}_{\Lambda}\text{He}\pi, {}^{5}_{\Lambda\Lambda}\text{He} \rightarrow {}^{5}_{\Lambda}\text{He}\pi$$





14.6

Coalesc. (JAM)

30

- PHQMD



## Outlook



• e.g. 
$${}^{4}_{\Lambda\Lambda}\text{He} \rightarrow {}^{4}_{\Lambda}\text{He}\pi, {}^{5}_{\Lambda\Lambda}\text{He} \rightarrow {}^{5}_{\Lambda}\text{He}\pi$$





Backups

# Model parameters

- of constituents are within a sphere of radius ( $\Delta r$ ,  $\Delta p$ )
  - JAM + coalescence:

	Δr <b>[fm]</b>	$\Delta p$ [GeV/c]
d	4.5	0.3
t	4	0.3
$^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H	4	0.12
$^4_{\Lambda}$ H	4	0.3

• UrQMD cascade + coalescence in slide 14:

	$\Delta r$ [fm]	$\Delta p$ [GeV/c]
d	3.7	0.3
t∕ <sup>3</sup> He	3.3	0.3
<sup>4</sup> He	3.4	0.3
$^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H	4	0.15
$^4_{\Lambda}$ H	4	0.25

Coalescence takes place if the spatial coordinates and relative momenta

• UrQMD+ coalescence in slide 16:

	$\Delta r$ [fm]	$\Delta p$ [GeV/c]
NN	3.575	0.285
<b>(NNЛ)</b> а	9.5	0.135
<b>(NN</b> Л)b	4.3	0.25

- Assuming two parameter sets (a) and (b) for  ${}^3_{\Lambda}$ H.
  - (a)  $\Delta r = 9.5$  fm, similar to  ${}^3_{\Lambda}H$  size.
  - (b)  $\Delta r = 4.3$  fm, similar to triton size.
- $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \leq 20$  GeV, UrQMD cascade + coalescence;  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \ge$  20 GeV, UrQMD hybrid + coalescence;  $\Delta p$  djusted to match each other at 20 GeV.



