Investigation of particle anti-particle elliptic flow difference at STAR experiment*

MARIA STEFANIAK (FOR THE STAR COLLABORATION)

1) Faculty of Physics, Warsaw University of Technology
Koszykowa 75, 00-662 Warszawa, Poland,
2) Subatech - IMT Atlantique
4 rue Alfred Kastler, 44300 Nantes, France

The azimuthal anisotropy in particle emission in the transverse plane, known as anisotropic flow, is used to study the properties of strongly interacting hot and dense medium created in heavy-ion collisions. Anisotropic flow coefficients are the key observables which reflect the viscous hydrodynamic response to the initial spatial anisotropy, produced in the early stages of the collision. In previous studies performed by the Solenoidal Tracker At RHIC (STAR) collaboration at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) the increase of the elliptic flow (v_2) difference between particles and antiparticles at the lower collision energies has been observed. In these proceedings we present the measurement of the two-particle elliptic and triangular flow correlations for identified particles performed by the STAR experiment. Our measurements are compared with the EPOS model simulations as well.

1. Introduction

Studying the properties of the strongly interacting matter is one of the major milestones for current heavy ion research. Various experimental facilities have been designed to investigate the Quantum Chromo-Dynamical (QCD) phase diagram such as Beam Energy Scan (BES)[1] at Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) [2]. This program is at the forefront of experimental efforts designed to map the thermodynamical and transport properties of the strongly interacting QCD matter. Flow is an observable characterizing the shape of the expanding matter [4, 5]. It is very sensitive to the properties of the system at very early time of its evolution. In the previous studies performed by STAR collaboration [3, 8, 9] the increase of the difference in elliptic flow of particles and antiparticles with the decrease of the collision energy has been observed. However, the sources of these phenomena were not well understood.

* Presented at XIV Workshop on Particle Correlation and Femtoscopy

2. Measurements

The two-particle correlations (2PCs) are obtained by averaging over all unique combinations in single event, and then over all events [6]. All particles from one collision are divided into two groups - sub-events a and b considering their pseudorapidity (η). The 2PCs are calculated with the following formula:

$$c_n\{2\} = \langle\langle 2\rangle\rangle_{a|b} = \langle\langle e^{in(\phi_1^a - \phi_2^b)}\rangle\rangle = \langle \frac{\langle Q_{n,a} Q^*_{n,b}\rangle}{\langle M_a M_b\rangle\rangle} \quad (1)$$

where: n - flow harmonic, ϕ - particle's azimuthal angle, $M_{a/b}$ - multiplicity of particles in sub-event a and b and $Q_{n,a/b} \equiv \sum_i e^{in\phi_i^{a/b}}$ - flow vector.
This leads to the following cumulant-based definition of harmonic flow v_n:

$$v_n\{2\} = \sqrt{c_n\{2\}} \quad (2)$$

The flow dependence on the transverse momentum of particles is given as:

$$v_n(p_T) \ = \ v_n^2(p_T, p_T^{ref})/\sqrt{v_n^2(p_T^{ref}, p_T^{ref})}, \quad (3)$$

where p_T^{ref} is the transverse momentum of the reference particle.
2PCs carry flow and non-flow (NF) contribution: short-range (HBT, decays of resonances, etc.) and long-range (momentum-conservation, di-jets, etc.) [6, 7]. In order to suppress NF impact on the measurements the $\Delta\eta$ between sub-events is introduced. The studies influence of the proposed method on the $v_n\{2\}$ are summarized on the Fig.1.The bigger $\Delta\eta$ is used the more NF contribution is suppressed, what is mostly visible for peripheral events.

Calculated flow measurements are scaled with the number of constituent quarks of given hadron (NCQ-scaling) in function of transverse kinetic energy (KE_T) [12].

For identification of particles the Time Projection Chamber (TPC) and Time of Flight (ToF) information were used in momentum range $0.0 \leq p$ (GeV/c) ≤ 4.0 and rapidity $|y| < 1.0$.

3. Results and discussion

The p_T-differential two-particles correlations for various flow harmonics were measured for the data collected by STAR experiment at two collision energies: $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV and 39 GeV. For all flow measurements only statistical errors are taken into account. The p_T-differential two-particle correlations $v_2\{2\}$, $v_3\{2\}$ and $v_4\{2\}$ for identified hadron in centrality range $10\% - 40\%$, measured for Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV are shown



Fig. 1: Ratio of the centrality dependent $v_2\{2\}$ with $\Delta\eta_1 = 0.0$ and 0.3 to $v_2\{2\}$ with $\Delta\eta_2 = 0.6$ for Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV. The transverse momentum range: $0.2GeV/c < p_T < 4.0GeV/c$.



Fig. 2: $v_2\{2\}$, $v_3\{2\}$ and $v_4\{2\}$ in function of p_T for $\Delta\eta = 0.6$, centrality $10\% - 40\%$ for Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV.

in Fig.2. The mass dependencies are visible for all studied harmonics. The $NCQ(KE_T) - scalings$ are presented in Fig.3. All studied harmonics $v_n\{2\}/n_q^{n/2}$ scales with KE_T/nq.

The elliptic flow and triangular flow for identified hadrons at collision energy $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 39$ GeV are presented in Fig.4, 5. Both v_n show similar trends.

The ratios of particles to antiparticles elliptic and triangular flow are shown in Fig.6 and 7. The differences between protons' and antiprotons' elliptic flow, especially for lower p_T, are significant. On the other hand, the triangular flows of particles and antiparticles do not differ that relevantly.

The performed experimental studies of elliptic flow are compared with simulated EPOS model data [10, 11]. The research, which was done for two collision energies $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 39$ GeV and 200 GeV, is presented in Fig. 7.

The EPOS model does not reproduce pions' flow for $p_T > 2$ GeV/c at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV, while at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 39$ GeV the model fails in describ-



Fig. 3: Particles (left panel) and antiparticles (right panel) $v_3\{2\}$ in function of p_T for $\Delta\eta = 0.4$, centrality $10\%-60\%$ for Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 39$ GeV.



Fig. 4: Particles (left panel) and antiparticles (right panel) $v_2\{2\}$ in function of p_T for $\Delta\eta$ gap equals 0.4, centrality $10\% - 60\%$ for Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 39$ GeV.

ing v_2 of π's in the whole p_T range. Hydrodynamical evolution included in the model is based on the Equation of State corresponding to the baryonic chemical potential (μ_B) equals zero. This this assumption is not valid for the system obtained at collisions of gold nuclei at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 39$ GeV. Comparisons with the experimental flow measurements can be a useful constrain



Fig. 5: Particles (left panel) and antiparticles (right panel) $v_3\{2\}$ in function of p_T for $\Delta\eta = 0.4$, centrality $10\%-60\%$ for Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 39$ GeV.



Fig. 6: Ratio of $v_2\{2\}$ (left panel) and $v_3\{2\}$ (right panel) of particles to antiparticles, $\Delta\eta$ gap equals 0.4, centrality $10\% - 60\%$ for Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 39$ GeV.

for the future model development.

4. Conclusion

In summary, we have presented a comprehensive set of STAR v_n measurements for Au+Au collision energies 39 GeV and 200 GeV. The mass dependence of all studied harmonics is visible. The $NCQ(KE_T) - scalings$



Fig. 7: $v_2\{2\}$ of identified particles at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV (left panel) and $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 39$ GeV (right panel). STAR experimental data are compared with EPOS 3.3117 simulated data.

are kept for energy collision $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV, while in the case of collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 39$ GeV the scaling is broken by protons. This could indicate the various origins of these baryons. Protons' elliptic flow for the lower examined collision energy is significantly higher than antiprotons'. In the case of triangular flow, which is a fluctuation-driven quantity, the differences are not that relevant.

REFERENCES

[1] Grazyna Odyniec: Journal of Physics Conference Series 455(1):2037
[2] V.A. Okorokov: Eur. Phys. J. Web of Conf. 158, 01004 (2017)
[3] STAR Collaboration: Phys. Rev. C 93, 014907 (2016)
[4] R. Snellings: New J.Phys.13:055008,2011
[5] Y. Pandit: 2013 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 420 012038
[6] J. Jia, Mingliang Zhou, Adam Trzupek: Phys. Rev. C 96, 034906 (2017)
[7] A. Bilandzic, Raimond Snellings, Sergei Voloshin: Phys.Rev.C83:044913,2011
[8] STAR Collaboration: Phys. Rev. Lett 112, 162301 (2014)
[9] STAR Collaboration: Phys.Rev.Lett. 110, 142301 (2013)
[10] Werner, K. et al.: Adv.Ser.Direct.High Energy Phys. 29 (2018)
[11] Werner, K. et al. : J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 1070 012007 2018
[12] J. Dunlop, M.A. Lisa, P. Sorensen: Phys.Rev. C84 (2011) 044914