

**Debasish Das** 



# UC Davis

# (For the STAR Collaboration)

Outline

- $\checkmark$  Phase Diagram and its Evolution
- ✓ STAR Experiment
- ✓ Results and Systematics vs. Collision Energies: Identified particle spectra, ratios, collective flow and pion HBT
- ✓ Summary and Outlook (RHIC Energy Scan)

### **Phase Diagram of Nuclear Matter**

Phase diagram of Cabibbo and Parisi *Phys. Lett.* **59B**, 67 (1975)

Fig. 1. Schematic phase diagram of hadronic matter.  $\rho_B$  is the density of baryonic number. Quarks are confined in phase I and unconfined in phase II.

Arguments using asymptotic freedom by J. Collins and M. Perry, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **34**, 1353 (1975)

> that at high baryon number density matter would form a gas of weakly interacting quarks.

Our basic picture then is that matter at densities higher than nuclear consists of a quark soup. The quarks become free at sufficiently high density.

"True Phase diagram maybe substantially more complex"

Our curiosity driven to understand the parton degrees of freedom

Phase diagram of Baym from 1983 NSAC Long Range Plan

PB

(L.McLerran J.Phys.G35:104001,2008.)



# Phase diagram of equilibrated Quark Gluon Plasma



## **Phase Diagram of QCD and its evolution**

point. Possible trajectories for systems created in the QGP phase at different

accelerator facilities are also shown.



**Range Plan** (L.McLerran J.Phys.G35:104001,2008.)



# **Scope of this talk**



#### Here in this talk :

✓ Discuss the results from successful data taking in STAR with the Au+Au collisions at 9.2 GeV

 $\checkmark$  Show our preparedness for the future Beam Energy Scan program at RHIC

## Collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 9.2 GeV @ STAR

#### Collisions recorded in STAR at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 9.2 \text{ GeV}$ Time Projection Chamber



STAR



Non-central Collision

#### **Central Collision**

Collected ~ 3000 events in year 2008



#### **Excellent Particle Identification**



#### Collider experiment : Uniform Acceptance





Monte-Carlo Glauber Model :

✓  $\sigma_{NN}$  = 31.5 mb Negative Binomial Distribution fitted to the data Fraction of hard component, x = 0.11 NBD parameters :  $\mu$  = 1.12, k = 2.1

#### **Anti-particle to Particle ratios at mid-rapidity**





AGS : PRC 58 (1998) 3523, PRC 60 (1999) 044904, PRC 62 (2000) 024901, PRC 68 (2003) 054903

8

L.Kumar arXiv:0812.4099 SQM-2008

# **Beam Energy Dependence of Particle Ratios**





- HGM - RQMD - UrQMD - HSD

 $10^{2}$ 

E802 PRL81, 2650 (1998); E866 PLB476, 1 (2000); E917 PLB490, 53 (2000); NA44 PLB471, 6 (1999) WA98 PRC67, 104906 (2003); NA49 PRC66, 054902 (2002); NA49 EPJC33, S621 (2004) ; NA49 arXiv:0710.0118v2; PHENIX PRC69, 034909 (2004); PHENIX PRL88, 242301 (2002)

STAR : ArXiv : 0808.2041;

STAR

PRC 77 (2008) 024903

10

 $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  (GeV)

0.05

L.Kumar; arXiv:0812.4099 SQM-2008 D.Cebra – QM2008 These ratios follow the observed beam energy dependence 9  $K/\pi$  ratios reflect strangeness production in heavy ion collisions







compared to Au+Au 200 and 62.4 GeV ,  $v_1 \ for \ Au+Au \ 9.2 \ GeV$  shows different trend

STAR : PRL 92 (2004) 062301

arXiv:0807.1518[nucl-ex]

**Azimuthal Anisotropy -**  $(v_1)$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} y_{beam} \mbox{ for } 9.2 \mbox{ GeV} \sim 2.3 \\ y_{beam} \mbox{ for } 200 \mbox{ GeV} \sim 5.4 \\ y_{beam} \mbox{ for } 62.4 \mbox{ GeV} \sim 4.2 \end{array}$ 



# **Azimuthal Anisotropy - (v<sub>2</sub>)**



Au+Au 9.2 GeV : | n |<1,

STAR

only statistical errors are shown.

Results comparable to SPS results at similar beam energy.



**STAR** 

#### **m<sub>T</sub> dependence of HBT radii**

#### •HBT radii decrease with m<sub>T</sub>:

qualitatively consistent with models with collective flow.

- Transverse radii → transverse flow
- $R_L \rightarrow longitudinal$  flow

•  $\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{out}}$  /  $\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{side}}$  ~ 1.





## **Constant Freeze-out Density**



# Comparative volume estimates with N<sub>part</sub> and N<sub>ch</sub>



>Measurements of Au+Au collisions at same centralities and different energies show different freeze-out volume, which means that  $N_{part}$  is not a suitable scaling variable in this case.

 $\label{eq:constraint} \begin{array}{l} & \textbf{>} On \ the \ other \ hand \ charge \ particle \ multiplicity \ seems \ to \ be \ a \ better \ scaling \ variable \ in \ the \ mid-rapidity \ region \ of \ |\eta| < 0.5 \ for \ the \ STAR \ analyses. \\ & \ Int.J.Mod.Phys.E16:1883-1889,2007 \end{array}$ 

#### Summary

- Identified particle spectra obtained from Au+Au collisions at 9.2 GeV. Hadron yields and ratios are similar to SPS experiments at similar beam energies. Higher statistics required for qualitative improvements on SPS results.
- Yield and  $\langle m_T \rangle$  follows previously established beam energy dependence
- Anti-proton to proton ratio ~ 0.01 indicating significant baryon stopping at midrapidity in these collisions
- $K^{-}/K^{+} \sim 0.4$  indicating associated production for  $K^{+}$

STAR

- Azimuthal Anisotropy  $(v_1 \text{ and } v_2)$  measurements follow the SPS results from collisions at similar energies
- **Pion interferometry** results follow the established beam energy trends
- The scaling of the apparent freeze-out volume with charged particle multiplicity show the consistency with the hypothesis of a universal mean-free-path at freeze-out. Also confirms with dependence of transverse mass.

"I never guess. It is a capital mistake to theorize before one has data. Insensibly one begins to twist facts to suit theories, instead of theories to suit facts." 17 *Sir Arthur Conan Doyle*, The Sign of Four, A Scandal in Bohemia (Sherlock Holmes) British mystery author & physician (1859 - 1930)

# Proposed Beam Energy Scan Program from STAR

#### STAR Beam User Request

√s <sub>NN</sub> [GeV]	μ <sub>B</sub> [MeV]	Rate [Hz]	Goal [Events]	Duration [Days]
5.0	550	0.5	100K	3
7.7	410	2.6	5M	18
12.3	300	10	10M	10
17.3	229	25	10M	7
27	151	30	20M	14
39	112	50	20M	7

#### 5. Scanning QCD phase diagram in heavy ion collisions

Even though the exact location of the critical point is not known to us yet, the available theoretical estimates suggest that the point is within the region of the phase diagram probed by the heavy-ion collision experiments. This raises the possibility to discover this point in such experiments [39].

#### Explore the QCD Phase Diagram!



# Outlook

The Beam Energy Scan Program has started at RHIC !!

#### **STAR's Plan : Run 10 RHIC Beam Energy Scan**

Several crucial measurements, which we pursue in STAR, need to be affirmed to understand the existence of critical point

#### The Observables and Interests:

STAR

- (a) Number of quark scaling in v2
- (b) Strong Parity violations
- (c) Higher Moments of net-proton
- (d) Fluctuations in hadron ratios

(a) and (b) : disappearance(c) and (d) : appearance



Schematic QCD phase diagram for nuclear matter. The solid lines show the phase boundaries for the indicated phases. The solid circle depicts the critical point. Possible trajectories for systems created in the QGP phase at different accelerator facilities are also shown.



We need experimental evidence for the understanding of either the critical point or 1<sup>st</sup> order transition which is important to map the **QCD Phase Diagram**.



# BACK UP SLIDES

#### Yield and Slope - Mesons at mid-rapidity



PRC 62 (2000) 024901, PRC 68 (2003) 054903

STAR

<sup>60 (1999) 044904, 064901,</sup> <sup>AC 68 (2003) 054903</sup> Yield and Slope consistent with the dependence on beam energy trend L.Kumar arXiv:0812.4099 SQM-2008

## Identified hadron spectra at mid-rapidity



STAR



#### We measure from Model Fits:

- ~ 82 % of total  $\pi$  produced
- $\sim$  47 % of total K produced
- ~ 75 % of total p produced

22

L.Kumar arXiv:0812.4099 SQM-2008

### Yield and Slope - Baryons at mid-rapidity



Both the yield and  $< m_T >$  are close to the SPS results at similar energies

NA49 : PRC 66 (2002) 054902, PRC 77 (2008) 024903, PRC 73 (2006) 044910 STAR : ArXiv : 0808.2041; AGS : PRC 58 (1998) 3523, PRC 60 (1999) 044904, 064901, PRC 62 (2000) 024901, PRC 68 (2003) 054903

STAR

L.Kumar arXiv:0812.4099 SQM-2008



#### **Colliders are a great choice for E-scan**

#### Acceptance





 Occupancy for collider detectors is much less dependent on beam energy

 Less problems with track merging, charge sharing hits etc..

**Better control of systematics** 

#### **HBT studies : Signature of QGP**

