



## Transverse Momentum Dynamics in √s<sub>NN</sub> = 3.0 GeV Au+Au collisions @ RHIC

Rutik Manikandhan (University of Houston) for the STAR Collaboration



#### Outline



- Introduction
- STAR-FXT Setup
- Transverse Momentum Fluctuations
- Transverse Momentum Correlations
- Conclusions
- Outlook

### energies.

 Seeking to map onset of deconfinement, and the predicted QCD critical point.

BES-II collider program at the Relativistic

matter by colliding gold ions at varying

Heavy-Ion Collider scans phase space of QCD

★ The BES-II program provided the energies >=7.7 GeV and the BES-II FXT program provided the ones below, down to  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 3$ GeV.

#### Phases of QCD Matter

\*





#### **STAR-FXT Setup**



- Gold Target fixed at west end of the detector
- ★ TPC Acceptance ➤ η: [-2,0]
   (lab frame)
- PID Acceptance >  $\eta$ : [-1.4,0] (lab frame)
- Mid rapidity >  $\eta \approx -1.05$

√s <sub>nn</sub>	3.0 GeV	
Year	2018	
# of Events used	150 M	



#### **Centrality Definition**



- All primary charged particles within TPC acceptance
- Pile-up cut at N<sub>ch</sub> = 195
- We use the correlation between the TPC and ToF to reject the pileup events.



Image credits: Z. Sweger

#### **Transverse Momentum Fluctuations**



- Predominantly p<sub>T</sub> distributions are thermal, so their shape is determined principally by the masses of the particles and the temperature of the body from which they were emitted.
- The existence of a critical point in the phase diagram of strongly interacting matter may go along with critical fluctuations of thermodynamic quantities such as temperature.[1]
- This could be reflected in dynamical event-by-event fluctuations of the mean transverse momentum of final-state charged particles.



[1]: L.Stodolsky, Phys.Rev.Lett. 75,1995

#### Methodology

- Fluctuations involve a purely statistical component arising \* from the stochastic nature of particle production and detection processes, as well as a dynamic component arising from thermal fluctuations.
- \* We compare  $< p_{\tau} >$  distributions from Data and from Mixed events to quantify possible dynamical fluctuations in the system.

\* Dynamical fluctuations have been established for collision energies by different experiments.





\_0-5% Au+Au

- Data

7

#### **Mixed Events Analysis**



- In order to establish whether the observed fluctuations are partly dynamical in nature, we need to disentangle statistical effects i.e. effects due to the finite number of particles in the final state of the collision.
- Creating 'data' which have all of the same detector effects, analysis effects, as the real data, but without any correlations.



A schematic representation of event mixing

\*select 1 particle from each event

#### **Gamma Distribution**

- We fit the <p<sub>T</sub> > distributions with the gamma function to obtain the mean and sigma of the distributions.
- We can calculate  $\mu$  and  $\sigma$ :

$$\mu = \alpha \beta$$

$$\sigma^2 = \alpha \beta^2$$

$$lpha \ \sigma_{dyn} = \sqrt{(rac{\sigma_{data}}{\mu_{data}})^2 - (rac{\sigma_{mix}}{\mu_{mix}})^2}$$







M.J.Tannenbaum Phys.Lett.B 498, 2001

#### **Transverse Momentum Distributions**





✤ 3 GeV is proton dominated as opposed to the collider energies.

STAR, Phys. Rev. C72:044902, 2005

Mixture of primordial and produced particles.

## **Efficiency Correction**

- Mean values of multiplicity and spectra functions were obtained from UrQMD.
- Poissonian distributions were generated from these mean values.
- Detector effects were applied.
- Response Matrix with generated  $p_T$  and reconstructed  $p_T$  was calculated.
- 1D Bayesian Unfolding was performed with the Response Matrix.
- ✤ At least 10x Statistics was ensured.
- Response matrix has wider <p<sub>T</sub> > distributions as compared to data.
  - Rutik Manikandhan, WWND 2024, Jackson



#### Rutik Manikandhan, WWND 2024, Jackson

#### **Efficiency Correction**

- Efficiency correction decreases the mean and the sigma of the distribution.
- Dynamical fluctuations are the same (within error bars).

Case	$\mu ~({ m GeV})$	$\sigma$ (GeV)
3 GeV, real	0.6461	0.03365
3 GeV, mixed	0.6460	0.03342
3 GeV, real, corrected	0.6187	0.02935
3 GeV, mixed, corrected	0.6186	0.02903





# $(\frac{\sigma_{Data}}{\mu_{Data}})^2 - (\frac{\sigma_{Mixed}}{\mu_{Mixed}})^2$

 $\sigma_{dyn}$ 

 Transport Model (UrQMD) at 3 GeV shows no dynamical fluctuations.





#### **Transverse Momentum Correlations**

- Transverse momentum correlations have been \* proposed as a measure of thermalization and as a probe for the critical point of quantum chromodynamics.[2]
- Correlation measurements generally have finer \* 'resolution' than fluctuation measurements and can be looked at more differentially.
- The correlator is the mean of covariances of all pairs \* of particles i and j in the same event with respect to the mean.

$$C_m = \langle \Delta p_{Ti}, \Delta p_{Tj} 
angle \ < (p_{T,i} - < p_T >)(p_{T,j} - < p_T >) > \ i 
eq j$$

#### **Transverse Momentum Correlations**

- The correlation observable may have a dependence on energy, so we scale it with <<p\_T>>.
- Efficiency independent observable.
- Make a direct comparison with the CERES and ALICE.
- A significant beam energy dependence was found for dynamical correlations.



#### **Correlator Vs Collision energy**



- We see a departure from monotonicity
- Calculations from transport codes do not reproduce the data.
- Temperature fluctuations should be reflected in p<sub>T</sub> fluctuations.

eff



$$T_{eff} = T_{kin} + m_0 < eta_T >^2$$

Sumit Basu et. al. Phys.Rev.C 94, 2016

#### **Correlator Vs Centrality**



- Monotonic increase in decreasing centrality.
- UrQMD underpredicts the data.
- Power law fits do not capture the data.
- We lose sensitivity for UrQMD at central collisions.



#### **Correlator Vs Centrality**



- Power law seems to describe the data at 200 GeV, implying an independent sources scenario.
- We see significant departure from this power law dependence at the lower energies.
- UrQMD tends to underpredict the data at all energies.

**Power Law:** 

 $rac{\sqrt{C_m}}{<< n_\pi>>} \propto < N_{part}>^b$ 



STAR, Phys. Rev. C 99, 2019

Rutik Manikandhan, WWND 2024, Jackson

#### **Correlator Vs Centrality**



- Power law implies uncorrelated sources (b=-0.5).
- STAR data from 200 GeV Au+Au collision shows minimal deviation
- Deviation increases as we go down the collision energy
- Deviation holds at STAR 3 GeV Au+Au collisions as well.

**Power Law:** 

 $rac{\sqrt{C_m}}{<< n_{\pi}>>} \propto < N_{part}>^b$ 



#### **Correlator Vs Acceptance**

- The effect of primordial protons bring the correlator down for the whole acceptance.
- Closer to mid-rapidity where majority of the particle production takes place the value saturates.
- At lower acceptances we don't have enough particles to correlate.

\*Δ**η** : Acceptance window around mid-rapidity









#### Conclusions

- Transverse Momentum Dynamics were discussed.
  - >  $\sigma_{dyn}$  do not show a non-monotonic behaviour.
  - >  $\Delta p_T \Delta p_T$  show a non-monotonic behaviour.
- We don't fully understand the discrepancy between Transport codes (UrQMD) and the data at 3.0 GeV Au+Au collisions.





#### References



- 1. Temperature Fluctuations in Multiparticle Production Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 1044
- 2. Incident energy dependence of pt correlations at relativistic energies Phys.Rev.C72:044902,2005
- 3. Event-by-event fluctuations in mean  $p_{T}$  and mean  $e_{T}$  in s(NN)\*\*(1/2) = 130-GeV Au+Au collisions Phys.Rev.C 66 (2002) 024901
- 4. Collision-energy dependence of p<sub>τ</sub> correlations in Au + Au collisions at energies available at the BNL Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider - Phys.Rev.C 99 (2019) 4, 044918
- 5. Event-by-event mean p<sub>T</sub> fluctuations in pp and Pb-Pb collisions at the LHC Eur. Phys. J. C 74 (2014) 3077
- 6. Specific Heat of Matter Formed in Relativistic Nuclear Collisions Phys.Rev.C 94 (2016) 4, 044901
- 7. Baryon Stopping and Associated Production of Mesons in Au+Au Collisions at s(NN)\*\*(1/2)=3.0 GeV at STAR
   Acta Phys. Pol. B Proc. Suppl. 16, 1-A49 (2023)

#### BACKUP





#### **Closure Test**

- ★ The relative uncertainties  $\sqrt{C_m}/\langle p_T \rangle$  on are generally smaller than those on  $C_m$  because most of the sources of uncertainties lead to correlated variations of  $\langle p_T \rangle$  and  $C_m$  that tend to cancel in the ratio.
- Closure test was performed with UrQMD data, by incorporating 3.0 GeV efficiency curves.
- We see closure within the statistical error bars.
- No efficiency correction was employed on STAR Data.





#### **Correlator Vs Collision energy**



