Overview of recent Quarkonium results from STAR

2017 Quarkonia Working Group meeting @ Peking University, Beijing

06 - 10 November 2017

Yi Yang National Cheng Kung University

On behalf of the STAR Collaboration







Outline

- Motivation
- Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider
- The STAR detector
- Physics measurements
 - Charmonium in p+p, p+A and A+A collisions
 - Bottomonium in p+p, p+A and A+A collisions
- **Summary**



Motivation

- Quarkonium production mechanisms are still not fully understood in p+p collisions
- Some popular models on the market:
 - Color Singlet Model (CSM)
 - Color Octet Mechanism (COM) / NRQCD
 - Color Evaporation Model (CEM)
 - \mathbf{I}_{T} factorization

Studying the suppression of quarkonium states in heavy-ion collisions can provide deep insights into the properties of QCD and Quark-Gluon Plasma



M. Kramer, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 47, 141 (2001).



CMS Collaboration, Phys Rev Lett 107 052302,2011



Study QGP via J/ ψ

$\hfill\Box$ J/ ψ suppression is one of smoking guns of QGP formation

(by T. Matsui and H. Satz PLB 178 (1986) 416)

Color-screening: J/ψ dissociates in the medium



 \Box But, interpretation of J/ ψ suppression is complicated

- Hot nuclear matter effects
 - Dissociation
 - Regeneration from deconfined quarks
 - Medium-induced energy loss
 - Formation time effect
- Cold nuclear matter effects
- Feed-down of excited charmonium states and B-hadrons





Relativistic Heavy-Ion Collider

One of the most powerful heavy-ion colliders in the world!





The STAR Detector

Time Projection Chamber (TPC) Barrel ElectroMagnetic Calorimeter (BEMC) Trigger on and identify electrons Precise momentum and dE/dx measurements |η| < 1 $|\eta| < 1$ Time of Flight (ToF) Particle identification |η| < 1 Heavy Flavor Tracker (HFT) Excellent track pointing resolution Muon Telescope Detector (MTD) \rightarrow Non-prompt J/ ψ measurements Trigger on and identify muons |η| < 1 |n| < 0.5Operation: 2014 - 2016





J/ψ Invariant Cross-Section in p+p Collisions

- Precision measurement of J/ ψ production cross-section from 0 to 14 (20) GeV/c of $p_T^{J/\psi}$ for p+p @ 200 (500 GeV)
- $\hfill\square$ Consistent with CGC+NRQCD & NLO NRQCD calculations (prompt J/ ψ production) for both p+p @ 200 and 500 GeV
- $\hfill\square$ ICEM (direct J/ ψ production only) seems to underestimate in the intermediate p_T region
- \Box The high-p_T J/ ψ follows the x_T-scaling
 - Broken scaling at low x_T is due to soft processes





HERA-B, EPJC49,

ψ (2S) to J/ ψ Ratio

- To help determine the feed-down contribution of $\psi(2S)$ to J/ ψ
- Result from STAR is consistent with other experiments for both 200 & 500 GeV
 - No obvious collision energy dependence





- Event activity = charged-particle multiplicity
- $\hfill\square$ Relative J/ ψ yield rises faster than a linear function
 - Similar global trend at different collision energies and as for the D meson

PYTHIA and Percolation model can qualitatively describe the rising behavior



J/ψ Polarization Measurement

STAR

First J/ψ polarization measurements in HX and CS frame from *dimuon* channel in p+p collisions @ 200 GeV

 $\hfill\square$ Both λ_{θ} and λ_{φ} are consistent with ZERO within uncertainties



STAR

J/ψ Polarization Measurement

- Consistent with the previous measurements from STAR and PHENIX
- □ Frame invariant quantity: $\lambda_{inv} = \frac{\lambda_{\theta} + 3\lambda_{\phi}}{1 \lambda_{+}}$
 - Good cross-check on measurements performed in different frames



J/ ψ & ψ (2S) in A+A & p+A @ 200 GeV

Clear J/ ψ and ψ (2S) signals in Au+Au, U+U and p+Au collisions

STAR





Invariant Yields and Double Ratio in p+Au

- **Precision** measurements of J/ψ invariant yield for p+Au
- □ First $\psi(2S)$ to J/ ψ double ratio measurement from STAR between p+p and p+Au at midrapdity at RHIC:

1.37 ±0.42(stat.) ±0.19(syst.)





$J/\psi R_{pAu} vs. p_T$

- □ R_{pAu} from STAR has similar trend as R_{dAu} from PHENIX
 → With a small tension at 3.5 < p_T < 5 GeV/c (~ 1.4σ).
- The model calculation with additional nuclear absorption on top of nuclear PDF effects can qualitatively describe the data





$J/\psi R_{AA} vs. p_T$

- **D** No obvious p_T dependence in R_{AA} in 0 20% centrality bin
- Rising R_{AA} with p_T in 20 40% and 40 60% centrality bins
- Suppression at low p_T: dissociation, Cold Nuclear Matter (CNM) effect, regeneration
- **\Box** Rising trend at high p_T could be due to formation time effects, B-hadron feed-down
- Strong suppression at high p_T in central collisions is a clear sign of dissociation since regeneration contribution and CNM effects are small





$J/\psi R_{AA} vs. N_{part}$

- RHIC vs. LHC
 - $p_T > 0$ GeV/c: less suppressed in central collisions at the LHC

Transport model: Model I at RHIC: PLB 678 (2009) 72 Model I at LHC: PRC 89 (2014) 054911 Model II at RHIC: PRC 82 (2010) 064905 Model II at LHC: NPA 859 (2011) 114

- → larger regeneration contribution due to higher charm quark cross-section
- p_T > 5 GeV/c: more suppressed in central collisions at the LHC
 - → larger dissociation rate due to higher medium temperature
- Data vs. transport models (dissociation + regeneration effects)
 - $p_T > 0$ GeV/c: both models can describe the centrality dependence at RHIC, but tend to overestimate suppression at LHC
 - $p_T > 5$ GeV/c: there is tension among data and models





J/ψ Elliptic Flow (v₂)

- Two main production mechanisms for J/ψ :
 - Primordial at low p_T: close to zero v₂
 - Regenerated: inherit v₂ from constituent charm quarks
- \Box The first measurement of J/ ψ v₂ in U+U collisions
 - → U+U and Au+Au results are consistent within uncertainties.
- **Theorem 1** For p_T above 2 GeV/c, v_2 is consistent with zero
 - \rightarrow Contribution of regenerated J/ ψ is likely small (need more statistics)



Yi Yang 2017 November 06-10 @ QWG meeting, Beijing

STAR Run10, PRL 111 (2013) 052301 L. Yan, P. Zhuang, and N. Xu, PRL 97 (2006) 232301 V. Greco, C.M. Ko, and R. Rapp, PLB 595 (2004) 202 X. Zhao and R. Rapp, arXiv: 0806.1239 Y. Liu, N. Xu and P. Zhuang, NPA 834 (2010) 317 U.W. Heinz and C. Shen, (private communication)

Extract Non-prompt J/ ψ Fraction

Fit the distribution of the pseudo proper decay length with templates to extract non-prompt J/ψ fraction

STAR

Pseudo proper decay length:

$$l_{J/\psi} = \frac{\vec{L} \cdot \hat{p}}{|\vec{p}|/c} \cdot M_{J/\psi}$$







Non-prompt J/ ψ Fraction and R_{AA}

$$\square R^{B \to J/\psi}_{AA} = \frac{f^{B \to J/\psi}_{Au+Au}(Data)}{f^{B \to J/\psi}_{p+p}(Theory)} R^{inc.J/\psi}_{AA}(Data)$$

□ Observe strong suppression of $B \rightarrow J/\psi$ at high p_T (> 5 GeV/c) □ Consistent with inclusive $D^0 R_{AA}$





Υ in p+p @ 200 & 500 GeV

Precision measurements of Υ (1S+2S+3S) N., STAR p+p @ 500 GeV production cross-sections from 0 to 10 GeV/c 350 L ~ 22 pb⁻¹ N_{+ +}+N_{- -} $CB + DY + b\overline{b} + \Upsilon(1S+2S+3S)$ **AR Preliminary** of p_{τ}^{γ} 300 CB + Drell-Yan + bb Comb. Background (CB) 250 Consistent with CEM prediction 0<p_<10 [GeV/c] |y|<1 200 Υ(1S) = 544 ± 34 $\sigma^{\gamma(1S+2S+3S)} = 81 \pm 5(stat.) \pm 8(syst.)$ pb for p+p 150 Υ (2S+3S) = 156 ± 27 @ 200 GeV 100 50 Υ(**1S+2S+3S**) **STAR Preliminary** 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 ¥ STAR √s = 200 GeV $M_{e^+e^-}$ (GeV/c²) ¥ STAR √s = 500 GeV 10^{3} 半 STAR vs = 200 GeV Published STAR Y(1S+2S+3S) GeV/c) $(\mathbf{d}\mathbf{q})_{\mathbf{y=0}}^{\mathbf{0}_{\mathrm{Y}}} (\mathbf{d}\mathbf{y})_{\mathbf{y=0}}^{\mathbf{0}_{\mathrm{Y}}}$ STAR p+p @ 500 GeV STAR Y(1S) CFS, p+A |y| < 0.5 |y|<1 STAR Y(2S+3S) E605, p+A CEM R. Vogt T(1S) ▲ CCOR, p+p Uncorrelated syst. dp_dy 10 Correlated syst. ▼ R209, p+p ○ R806, p+p 10 $B_{ee} \overline{2\pi} p_T$ UA1, p+p \triangle CDF, p+ \overline{p} 8 -♦ CMS, p+p 10-1 NLO CEM, MRST HO, **STAR Preliminary** m=4.75 GeV/c², m/µ=1 10⁻² 10 10 2 5 8 9 0 3 6 \sqrt{s} (GeV)^{10³} 10² p_T [GeV/c]



Υ in p+Au @ 200 GeV

□ R_{pAu} = 0.82 ± 0.10 (stat.) ^{+0.08} -0.07 (syst.) ±0.10 (global) → Quantify CNM effects





γ in Au+Au @ 200 GeV

□ Clear Υ (1S, 2S, 3S) signals in Au+Au collisions → First Υ (1S, 2S, 3S) → $\mu^+\mu^-$ signal from STAR





$\Upsilon R_{AA} vs. N_{part}$

- Stronger suppression in central collisions in both RHIC and LHC
- \bigcirc Υ (2S+3S) is more suppressed than Υ (1S), in central collisions

Sequential melting

- RHIC vs. LHC:
 - Υ (1S): similar suppression as the CMS measurement
 - Υ (2S+3S): hint of less suppression at RHIC than at LHC





ΥR_{AA} vs. Models





Summary



J J/ ψ production in p+p, Au+Au, p+Au and U+U

- Inclusive J/ ψ production cross-sections for p+p @ 200 and 500 GeV can be described by CGC + NRQCD and NLO NRQCD predictions for prompt J/ ψ production, while ICEM for direct J/ ψ production underestimates the data in the intermediate p_T region
- J/ ψ yield vs. N_{ch} in p+p increases faster than a linear function
- Both λ_{θ} and λ_{ϕ} for J/ ψ in p+p are consistent with 0 in HX and CS frames
- J/ψ R_{pAu} can be described by the model calculation with additional nuclear absorption on top of nuclear PDF effects
- $J/\psi v_2$ in U+U and Au+Au collisions are consistent within uncertainties
- The first measurements of non-prompt J/ ψ in Au+Au from STAR: strong suppression of B \rightarrow J/ ψ at high p_T (> 5 GeV/c)

Υ production in p+p, Au+Au and p+Au

- Υ (1S+2S+3S) production cross-section in p+p consistent with CEM prediction
- R_{AA} measurement indicates sequential melting in bottomonium system
- Data seem to favor the models with Strongly Binding Scenario

Stay tuned for more quarkonium results from STAR in the next few years!