
Status of the Analyses for the Proton Higher-Order Fluctuations in the STAR Fixed-Target Program from $\sqrt{s_{NN}}=$ 3.2 to 7.7 GeV



Zachary Sweger (for the STAR Collaboration)
University of California, Davis





1. Introduction

Critical Fluctuations

- Fluctuations of conserved charges, such as baryon number, expected near critical point
- Proton number is a proxy for baryon number
- QCD calculations predict non-monotonic cumulants vs $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ if close to critical point [1]
- High orders are more sensitive. Ratios of cumulants reduce trivial volume dependence

Cumulants and Moments

Cumulants of a distribution are defined as [2]:


- $C_1 = \langle N \rangle \equiv \mu$
- $C_2 = \langle (N \mu)^2 \rangle \equiv \sigma^2$
- $C_3 = \langle (N \mu)^3 \rangle$
- $C_4 = \langle (N-\mu)^4 \rangle 3\langle (N-\mu)^2 \rangle^2$


Some standardized moments are:


- $S\sigma = C_3/C_2$ [measures asymmetry]
- $\kappa \sigma^2 = C_4/C_2$ [measures "tailedness"]

Previous Results


- Non-monotonicity in Beam Energy Scan I net-proton C_4/C_2
- Recent $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 3$ GeV measurement returns to non-critical baseline [3]
- High-statistics collider data from Beam Energy Scan II will improve measurements from $\sqrt{s_{NN}}=$ 7.7-27 GeV
- Fixed-target data will fill the gap between $\sqrt{s_{NN}}=$ 3 and 7.7 GeV







3. Acceptance




- Standard analysis window shown by red box: $0.4 < p_T < 2$ GeV,
- $-0.5 < y y_{\rm cm} < 0$

4.5 GeV

- We have near full acceptance up to $\sqrt{s_{NN}} =$
- Analyses at top fixedtarget energies must be away from midrapidity

Overlap at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 7.7$ GeV

- Data was taken at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}=7.7$ GeV in both the collider and fixed-target configurations
- There is significant overlap in acceptance for a comparison
- Overlap analysis will increase confidence in fixed-target and collider methodologies




References

- [1] M. A. Stephanov, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. **38** 124147 (2011).
- [2] X. Luo and N. Xu, Nucl. Sci. Tech. 28, 112 (2017).
- [3] M. Abdallah $et\ al.$, (STAR Collaboration) Phys. Rev. Lett. 128, 202303 (2022).

2. The STAR Fixed-Target Program

- Relativistic Heavy-Ion Collider (RHIC) luminosity in collider mode is unusable for Au+Au below $\sqrt{s_{NN}}=7.7~{\rm GeV}$
- Gold target installed on west end of STAR TPC
- New data from $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 3.0 7.7$ GeV

$\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ (GeV)	3.2	3.5	3.9	4.5	5.2	6.2	7.2	7.7
$\mu_B \; ({ m GeV})$	0.70	0.67	0.63	0.59	0.54	0.49	0.44	0.42
$y_{ m CM}$	1.139	1.254	1.375	1.522	1.683	1.867	2.021	2.102
# good evts	201M	116M	117M	108M	103M	118M	317M	113M
$\operatorname{produced}$	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	yes




Challenges

- Acceptance is asymmetric w.r.t. midrapidity
- Limited midrapidity acceptance at higher energies
- Time-of-flight (TOF) required for particle identification

4. Pileup with Time of Flight

- Fixed-target analysis uses TOF and TPC for proton ID
- Centrality determined by TPC multiplicity
- TOF relies on precision timing and often misses protons from pileup with timing offset
- TPC multiplicity includes pileup tracks
- Pileup events classified as central collisions but have few TOF-identified protons
- This causes tail on proton-number distribution, which creates false signal for high-order cumulants



Solution: Reject pileup, do not correct for it. Usual cumulant correction for pileup doesn't fix timing fluctuations

5. Outlook

- Fixed-Target Program extends energy range of RHIC down to $\sqrt{s_{NN}}=3$ GeV
- Fixed-target proton fluctuations analyses are underway from $\sqrt{s_{NN}}=3.2\text{-}7.7~\text{GeV}$
- Overlap acceptance for fixed-target and collider data at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 7.7$ GeV allows methodology cross-check
- Reliance on TOF for particle ID makes measurements sensitive to pileup, which can be managed
- Prior measurements show non-monotonic variation in C_4/C_2 as a function of $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$
- Fixed-target data will add mid-rapidity C_4/C_2 measurements at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}=3.2$ -4.5 GeV to energy scan