## Latest results on triangular flow, correlations and jets from STAR

#### Jana Bielcikova (for the STAR Collaboration)

Nuclear Physics Institute ASCR Czech Republic



h3QCD workshop, ECT\*, Trento, Italy, June 17-21, 2013

## Outline

- STAR experiment at RHIC
- Measurements of triangular flow
- Ridge studies in d+Au collisions
- Forward di-hadron correlations
- Ongoing jet studies in Au+Au collisions
- Summary and outlook

#### STAR experiment



- Time Projection Chamber: *dE/dx, PID, momentum*
- Time Of Flight detector: *PID, 1/\beta*
- Barrel ElectroMagnetic Calorimeter: *E/p, trigger*
- Endcap ElectroMagnetic Calorimeter ( $1.0 \le |\eta| < 2.0$ )
- Forward Meson Spectrometer (2.5 <  $|\eta|$  < 4.0)
- Forward Time Projection Chambers (2.8 <  $|\eta|$  < 3.8)



#### Triangular flow $(v_3)$ ...



B. Alver, G. Roland, PRC81 (2010) 054905



B. Schenke, S. Jeon, C. Gale PRL 106, 042301

## Methods to determine $v_3$

determination of  $v_3$  from event plane method:



#### $\eta$ dependence of $v_3$ in Au+Au collisions



Data: Run 4 Au+Au @ 200 GeV

Two different event plane methods used: TPC: sub-events with a small  $\Delta\eta$  gap of 0.05 reduces self-correlation

FTPC: a large  $\Delta\eta$  gap between EP and TPC particles used for v<sub>3</sub> no self-correlation

 v<sub>3</sub>{TPC} shows a small peak around midrapidity

 v<sub>3</sub>{FTPC} is flat with pseudorapidity



### $|\Delta\eta|$ dependence of $v_3$ in Au+Au collisions



Comparison with the Glasma model:

decreasing effect of fluctuations in the model?

Glasma model is in a qualitative agreement with the data, but the data show a steeper decrease.

- $v_3^2$ {2} gradually decreases with  $|\Delta \eta|$
- within the STAR acceptance v<sub>3</sub><sup>2</sup>{2} does not approach a constant value
- LS and US charge-sign combinations show only little difference despite different contributions from resonances, fluctuations and FS interactions
- similar decrease of v<sub>n</sub><sup>2</sup>{2} observed also by ATLAS *PRC 86 014907 (2012)*

For each  $v_3$  value one must always quote  $\Delta \eta$  range for which it was calculated!

## v<sub>3</sub>(p<sub>T</sub>) dependence and comparison with models



Good agreement:

- hydro with η/s=0.08
   +Glauber initial conditions
- NeXSPheRIO for p<sub>T</sub><1 GeV/c and 20-40% centrality
- Parton-Hadron-String-Dynamics model semi-central collisions

- AMPT is a bit higher

 HIJING has negligible v<sub>3</sub> (not shown here)

Glasma model captures  $\approx v_3(\Delta \eta)$  + models including fluctuations describe  $v_3(p_T)$   $\rightarrow v_3$  is likely mainly due to  $\Delta \eta$  dependent fluctuations (+ possibly non-flow contributions).

#### Comparison of $v_3$ to other experiments

STAR, arXiv:1301.2187

STAR data for v<sub>3</sub>{TPC} agree well with PHENIX v<sub>3</sub>{RXN} BUT: RXN acceptance: 1<η<2.8 i.e. <η> of RXN > TPC (?)

Surprisingly good agreement also with the LHC experiments (?):

ALICE v<sub>3</sub> for  $|\eta| < 0.8$  and  $|\Delta \eta| > 1$ ATLAS v<sub>3</sub> for  $|\eta| < 2.5$  and  $|\Delta \eta| > 2.5$ 

BUT: fluctuations are expected to be largely independent of collision energy ©



![](_page_10_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### Di-hadron correlations in d+Au @ 200 GeV

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

Jana Bielcikova (STAR)

#### $\Delta \phi$ projections in different $\Delta \eta$

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

- ZYAM syst. error from different sizes of  $\Delta \phi$  region for ZYAM.
- efficiency corrected: 85 ± 5%

Jana Bielcikova (STAR)

#### $\Delta \eta \text{ projections in different } \Delta \phi$ (TPC multiplicity $|\eta| < 1$ as centrality)

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

- ZYAM syst. error from different sizes of  $\Delta \phi$  region for ZYAM.
- efficiency corrected:  $85 \pm 5\%$

Jana Bielcikova (STAR)

#### **Central-peripheral correlation functions, charge dependence DIS < p\_T<sup>trig</sup> < 3.0 GeV/c, 1 < p\_T<sup>assoc</sup> < 2 GeV/c <b>FTPCmult, 1 < p\_T < 2 GeV/c Constructions**

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

"Near-side peak" shows jet-like features of charge-ordering.

Jana Bielcikova (STAR)

### Conditional yield vs multiplicity

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

Conditional yield in d+Au is consistent with zero for 1.4 < $|\Delta\eta|$ <1.8 as a function of centrality.

 $\mbox{ \bullet }$  ongoing studies of  $\mbox{ }_{\mbox{ T}}$  dependence

Jana Bielcikova (STAR)

#### **Comparison with PHENIX**

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_0.jpeg)

### Forward $\pi - \pi$ azimuthal correlations

 $<\eta_{\pi,L}>=3, <\eta_{\pi,S}>=3$ 

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

- forward π<sup>0</sup> pairs probe the lowest x
   2→2 scattering:
   0.001 < x < 0.005</li>
- forward  $\pi^0$  pairs detected via 4  $\gamma$
- jet-like correlations for p+p consistent with NLO pQCD description of inclusive forward π<sup>0</sup> cross section

significant broadening of awayside correlation peak observed in d+Au relative to p+p

# Centrality dependence of forward $\pi-\pi$ correlations

Leading  $p_T \pi^0 > 2 \text{ GeV/}c$ 

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

- note: uncorrected coincidence probabilities
- away-side peaks evident in p+p and peripheral d+Au
- peripheral d+Au: away-side ~ 50% wider than in p+p

Away-side peak in d+Au shows significant centrality dependence  $\rightarrow$  clear azimuthal decorrelation.

#### Corrected p+p coincidence probability

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

Apply off-mass-peak subtraction and efficiency correction to p+p data

#### Conclusions:

- away-side peak width comparable to uncorrected azimuthal correlations N.B.  $\sigma$  (uncorr.) = 0.68±0.01
- near-side peak agrees with PYTHIA
- away-side peak broader than PYTHIA
- pedestal appears larger than PYTHIA

## Pseudorapidity dependence of forward $\pi^{0}$ +jet-like correlations

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

study correlations of π<sup>0</sup> from FMS (η~3) with "jet-like" clusters from EEMC (η~1.5) or BEMC (η~0)
"jet-like" clusters

are reconstructed within a cone of R=0.6 with a seed from high-tower

EEMC/BEMC selection criteria:

- 600 (400) MeV tower threshold
- 0.4 (0.2) GeV/c<sup>2</sup> lower
- $\int_{-+5.4}^{-+5.4}$  mass limit for jet-like cluster

### FMS (π<sup>0</sup>) - jet-like cluster correlations

![](_page_22_Picture_1.jpeg)

- mixed event correction applied
- *caveat:* jet energy scale not fixed between different detectors, but this does not change conclusions
- p+p correlations become narrower as η increases
- d+Au correlations become broader as η increases

FMS-EEMC:

$$\sigma_{dAu} - \sigma_{pp} = 0.10 \pm 0.02^{+0.04}_{-0.02}$$

FMS-BEMC:

![](_page_22_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_10.jpeg)

Significant broadening from p+p to d+Au for FMS-EEMC correlations observed.

#### Centrality dependence of $\pi^0$ +jet-like correlations

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

• mixed-event corrections applied, results in ~15% bin-to-bin changes

 use beam-beam counter facing Au beam to select peripheral (ΣQ<250) and central (2000<ΣQ<4000) collisions</li>

No evidence of away-side peak for central d+Au collisions. Pronounced cold nuclear matter effects in the forward direction.

#### Full jet reconstruction in Au+Au collisions ...

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

Early Quark Matter '09 results on Run 7 Au+Au data at 200 GeV
limited statistics, new methods developed since then

#### Large and fluctuating background in Au+Au collisions **STAR** Prelimina

 event-wise estimate of background density (k<sub>t</sub>, FastJet):

 $\rho = \text{median}\{p_{T,i}/A_i^{\text{jet}}\}$ 

A ... jet area

Caution: definition of  $\rho$  is not unique:

- e.g. exclude two hardest jets in event
- \$3.5.5.8 1 0 vary choice  $\rightarrow$  contribution to syst. uncertainty
- jet candidate  $p_T$  is corrected event-wise for  $\rho$ :

![](_page_25_Figure_8.jpeg)

Large fraction of jet population has  $p_{\tau}^{<\text{corr}>} < 0$ :

not interpretable as physical jets

b.8.6.4.2

BUT this component contains crucial information about background or "combinatorial" jets

Note: it is rejected implicitly at later step by imposition of bias on jet candidates

#### Inclusive jet spectrum in central Au+Au

- Run11 Au+Au data at 200 GeV
- jets reconstructed using IR safe anti- $k_t$  algorithm with R=0.4
- currently only charged jets (for simplicity)
- minimum constituent cut (p<sub>T</sub><sup>const</sup>>200 MeV/c for tracks)
- exploratory study on small fraction of data (1%)

![](_page_26_Figure_6.jpeg)

Stable unfolding requires each jet candidate to have at least one constituent with  $p_T$  greater than a threshold value.

G. de Barros et al., arXiv:1208.1518

Jana Bielcikova (STAR)

#### Unfolding of background fluctuations

10

102

10

-10<sup>-1</sup> 10<sup>-2</sup>

10-3

ີ 10<sup>-4</sup> ສູ10<sup>-5</sup>

 $10^{-7}$ 

10<sup>-8</sup> – 30

RHIC Kinematics

<sub>vents</sub> = 1M

Anti-k<sub>+</sub> R = 0.4

<sub>recojet</sub> > 0.4sr

<sup>iding</sup> > 0.2 GeV/c

-20

Toy model

10

20

-10

0-5% Central Collisions

(sr c/GeV)

ਙ

i/2π d<sup>∠</sup>N/dp\_

Standard methods:

- Bayesian
- Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)

Methods tested in parallel using a "Toy model" Monte-Carlo

Response matrix measured by embedding simulated "jets" into real events  $\rightarrow \delta p_T$ 

![](_page_27_Figure_6.jpeg)

Stable convergence for  $p_T^{\text{leading}} > 5 \text{ GeV}/c$ , ~20% sensitivity to choice of prior.

- Truth

--- Measured

30

#### Estimate of jet yields in Run11 Au+Au data

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

Run 11 Au+Au integrated luminosity: ~ 2.8/nb

Estimate jet production yield (i.e.  $R_{AA}=1$ ):

$$\sim T_{AA} \cdot \frac{d\sigma_{pp}^{jet}}{dp_T d\eta}$$

10% central Au+Au in Run11: We expect ~2K jets with  $p_T$ >50 GeV/c.

STAY tuned 😳

#### Summary and outlook

- $v_3$  may be due to  $\Delta \eta$  dependent fluctuations (e.g. a la Glasma).
- STAR data show no ridge, within measurement uncertainties, in d+Au collisions.
- Observed pronounced cold nuclear matter effects in di-hadron correlations in forward direction.
- Work in progress on full jet reconstruction in Au+Au collisions. methods tested, unfolding under control

More interesting results from STAR to come ③