0

# Measurement of $\Lambda_c$ baryon production in Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV}$ with the STAR experiment

M. Šimko<sup>1,2</sup> for the STAR Collaboration, simko@ujf.cas.cz, <sup>1</sup>Nuclear Physics Institute of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Na Truhlářce 39/64, Praha, Czechia

<sup>2</sup>Faculty of Nuclear Sciences and Physical Engineering, Czech Technical University in Prague, Břehová 7, Praha, Czechia

66

67

68

80

82

In ultra-relativistic heavy-ion collisions, such as 1 the ones carried out at Relativistic Heavy Ion Col-2 lider (RHIC), a new state of matter the so-called strongly-coupled quark-gluon plasma (sQGP) can be created [1]. Charm quarks are mainly produced in hard 5 processes during the early stages of such collisions, since the charm quark mass is much larger than the temperature of the QGP which makes the thermal pro-8 duction improbable. Therefore, charm quarks experi-9 ence the whole evolution of the medium and can be 10 used to probe the properties of the hot and dense nu-11 clear matter [2]. The  $\Lambda_c$  baryon [3] contains valence 12 quarks u, d, and c, and is the lightest baryon con-13 taining a charm quark. As such, it presents a unique 14 tool to study the charm quark hadronization in the 15 medium. However, the extremely short proper life time 16  $(\sim 60 \,\mu{\rm m}/c)$  of the  $\Lambda_c$  baryon makes the measurements 17 experimentally challenging, especially in heavy-ion col-18 lisions where the background is large. 19

An enhancement of baryon-to-meson ratio has been 20 observed for light hadrons in intermediate transverse 21 momentum  $(p_{\rm T})$  range in central heavy-ion collisions <sup>53</sup> 22 at RHIC [4] and LHC [5]. This is illustrated in the <sup>54</sup> 23 left and middle panels of Fig. 2 where measurements 24 of the  $p/\pi$  and  $\Lambda/K_s$  ratios at RHIC are shown and 25 57 clear enhancements are seen for  $2 < p_{\rm T} < 4 \,{\rm GeV}/c$ . 26 This phenomenon can be explained by the coalescence 27 hadronization mechanism in which quarks combine 28 with each other to form hadrons, as opposed to the  $^{\rm 60}$ 29 61 fragmenation hadronization. If charm quarks also par-30 ticipate in the coalescence hadronization, an enhance-62 31 ment is expected for the  $\Lambda_c/D^0$  ratio in heavy-ion col-63 32 lisions, and the right panel of Fig. 2 shows several the-33 oretical estimates of such an enhancement [6–11]. 34

## 35 Experimental setup

The Solenoidal Tracker at RHIC (STAR) [12] is a 36 multi-purpose experiment with excellent particle iden-37 tification capabilities at midrapidity. In particular, the 38  $\Lambda_{\rm c}$  measurement was enabled by the Heavy Flavor 39 Tracker (HFT) [13] upgrade that took data in the years 40 2014–2016. HFT is a vertex tracker that consists of 4 41 layers of silicon detectors with a track pointing resolu-42 tion in the transverse plane about 20  $\mu$ m for high- $p_{T}$ 43 77 particles. This was achieved using the MAPS technol-44 78 ogy in the two innermost layers of HFT. 45 79

### <sup>46</sup> $\Lambda_c$ measurement in Au+Au collisions at STAR <sub>81</sub>

<sup>47</sup> The STAR experiment has measured the  $\Lambda_c$  baryon <sup>83</sup> <sup>48</sup> for the first time in heavy-ion collisions through the <sup>84</sup> <sup>49</sup> hadronic decay channel  $\Lambda_c^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} K^{\mp} p^{\pm}$  with 2014 <sup>85</sup> <sup>50</sup> data. The topological cuts were optimized utilizing the <sup>86</sup> <sup>51</sup> Toolkit for Multivariate Analysis Package [14] with <sup>87</sup>



Fig. 1. Invariant mass spectrum of the p+K+ $\pi$  triplets in 10–60% central Au+Au collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 200 \,{\rm GeV}$  for  $3 \,{\rm GeV}/c < p_{\rm T} < 6 \,{\rm GeV}/c$ .

training samples of signals produced from simulation and background from data. The invariant mass spectrum is shown in Fig. 1. The yield of the combinatorial background (shaded histogram) was calculated using  $\pi$ , K, and p triplets with wrong combinations of the charge sign.

A novel data-driven approach to evaluate HFT performance was developed.  $\Lambda_c$  were reconstructed in the  $p_T$  region of 3–6 GeV/*c* in 10–60% centrality bin. In this analysis, the efficiency correction of the yield was assessed using the data-driven simulations and the systematic uncertainties were obtained by varying the cuts simultaneously in the data analysis and efficiency estimation. The resulting ratio of  $N(\Lambda_c^+ + \overline{\Lambda_c^-})/N(D^0 + \overline{D^0}) = 1.31 \pm 0.26(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.42(\text{sys.})$ , where the D<sup>0</sup> spectrum was measured in the same data sample.

Fig. 3 shows comparison of the measured ratio to several theoretical calculations. The scenario with no coalescence, shown as the green line obtained from PYTHIA [6]. The data are significantly above this scenario. The Statistical Hadronization Model (SHM), demonstrated by the gray rectangle [7, 16], underpredicts the data as well. The dashed lines show the Ko model with two coalescence scenarios [8]: one where the charm quark coalesces with a light di-quark structure and the other where all three quarks coalesce. No rescattering in the hadron gas is considered in these two scenarios. The data are consistent with both the di-quark and three-quark coalescence scenarios calculated for 0-5% centrality bin, which is different from that used in data. The Greco model, shown as the darker gray band, employs the three-quark coalescence mechanism, and calculates the diffusions of  $\Lambda_c$  and  $D^0$ via an effective T-matrix approach [9–11]. Note that the denominator for this calculation is the sum of all



Fig. 2. Baryon-to-meson ratios vs.  $p_{\rm T}$  in Au+Au collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 200 \,{\rm GeV}$  at STAR. Left: Ratio of the invariant yields of  $(p + \overline{p})$  over  $(\pi^+ + \pi^-)$  for the centralities 0–12% and 60–80% [4]. Middle: ratio of the yields of  $\Lambda$  over  $K_s^0$  for central (0–5%) and peripheral (60–80%) collisions. Right: Models of the ratio of  $\Lambda_c$  over D<sup>0</sup> [7,8,11].

117

118

123



Fig. 3. Ratio of the yield of  $\Lambda_c$  over  $D^0$  vs.  $p_T$  measured by STAR in 10–60% central Au+Au collisions, compared  $_{\scriptscriptstyle 119}$ to various models [7, 8, 11].

D meson species rather than only  $D^0$ , and one expects 88 it to increase if only  $D^0$  meson was used as in data. 89

#### Conclusion and outlook 90

STAR has measured the  $\Lambda_{\rm c}$  baryon for the first  ${}^{\scriptscriptstyle 128}$ 91 time in 10–60% central Au+Au collisions for  $p_{\rm T} = 3-129$ 92  $6 \,\mathrm{GeV}/c$  thanks to the addition of HFT. The Ko model, 93 including coalescence of thermalized charm quarks, is 94

- consistent with data within uncertainties. 95
- STAR recorded approximately twice more data in 96 2016 compared to 2014 with better performance of 97 HFT. This will allow to measure the ratio of  $\Lambda_c$  to 98  $D^0$  in more centrality and  $p_T$  intervals to place more ٩q stringent constraints on theoretical calculations. 100

#### Acknowledgements 101

This work has been supported by the Czech Tech-102 nical University in Prague grant no. SGS16/238/ 103

OHK4/3T/14 and by the grant LG15001 of the Min-104 istry of Education of the Czech Republic. 105

#### REFERENCES 106

- 1. M. Gyulassy, arXiv:nucl-th/0403032 (2004). 107
- 2. A. Andronic et al. Eur Phys J C 76, 107 (2016). 108
- 3. C. Patrignani et al. Chin Phys C40, 100001 (2016). 109
- 4. G. Agakishiev et al. Phys Rev Lett 108, 072301 110 (2012).111
- 5. B. Abelev et al. Phys Rev Lett **111**, 222301 (2013). 112
- 6. T. Sjöstrand et al. Journal of High Energy Physics 113 2006, 026 (2006). 114
- 7. A. Andronic et al. Physics Letters B 659, 149–155 115 (2008).116
  - 8. Y. Oh et al. Phys Rev C **79**, 044905 (2009).
  - 9. V. Greco et al. Physics Letters B 595, 202-208 (2004).
- 10. H. van Hees et al. Phys Rev Lett 100, 192301 (2008). 120
- 11. S. Ghosh et al. Phys Rev D **90**, 054018 (2014). 121
- 122 12. K. H. Ackermann et al. Nucl Inst Meth A 499, 624-632, (2003).
- 13. J. Kapitán, Eur Phys J C **62**, 217–221 (2009). 124
- 14. A. Hoecker et al. PoS ACAT:040 (2007). 125
- 15. L. Adamczyk et al. Phys Rev Lett 113, 142301 126 (2014).127
  - 16. I. Kuznetsova, J. Rafelski, Eur Phys J C 51, 113-133 (2007).