

Search for Muonic Atoms at RHIC

Kefeng Xin (for the STAR Collaboration)

Rice University

PANIC2014, Hamburg

24-29 August 2014

Outline

- ✧ Motivation
- ✧ Particle identification
- ✧ Invariant mass
  - ✧ Background determination
  - ✧ Coulomb rejection
  - ✧ Signal extraction
- ✧ Correlation functions
  - ✧ Coulomb revealing
  - ✧ Double ratio
- ✧ π-μ correlations



Motivation

Potential discovery of new atoms



p^+- μ^-	K^+- μ^-	π^+- μ^-
anti-p- μ^+	K^-- μ^+	π^-- μ^+

Theory Estimations



Estimation based on: **QED!!!**

$$\frac{dN_{\rm atom}}{dyd^2p_{\perp,\rm atom}} = 8\pi^2\zeta(3)\alpha^3 m_{\rm red}^2 \frac{dN_h}{dyd^2p_{\perp,h}}\,\frac{dN_l}{dyd^2p_{\perp,l}}.$$



In heavy-ion physics, this study provides a direct measurement of **early produced muon** emission, which is sensitive to the early stage of the collisions.

Kapusta&Mocsy PRC 59 2937
2010 STAR Decadal Plan

Muonic Atom Detection at STAR

1) **Dissociation** of the atoms before the detector

tracking at beryllium **beam pipe**



2) **Particle Identification**

STAR Run10 AuAu 200GeV
231M Central Triggered Events

Particle Momentum (GeV/c)		
Atom	μ	Hadron
p-μ	0.15-0.25	1.3-2.2
K-μ	0.15-0.25	0.7-1.17



Foreground and Backgrounds

- Foreground method:
  - UnLike-sign (**UL**) foreground: tracks with the different charges are paired
- Background method:
  - Mixed-Event (**ME**): tracks from different events are paired
  - Like-Sign (**LS**): tracks with the same charge are paired

Acceptance correction: $$LS_{+-(corrected)} = \sqrt{LS_{++}LS_{--}}\,\frac{ME_{+-}}{\sqrt{ME_{++}ME_{--}}}$$



Invariant Mass



- ✓ Observed **sharp peaks** for atoms at expected mass M_{inv}-M_{μ}-M_h= **0** GeV/c^2 from both background subtraction methods
- ✓ **Good background methods** -- Flat at higher mass (0.05~0.2 GeV/c^2)
- ✓ Like-Sign (LS) background has repulsive **Coulomb** contribution, and thus underestimates the background, leading to a higher “signal” than Mixed-Event (ME)

Invariant Mass

In pair invariant mass method:

- UnLike-sign pairs have different charges -- attractive Coulomb
- Like-Sign pairs have same charges -- repulsive Coulomb
- Mixed-Event pairs – no Coulomb

We adopt the observable $(UL \times LS)/ME^2 - 1$ **to reject Coulomb**





Sharp peaks observed at the signal region.

Correlation Functions

Another tool: the correlation as a function of k* -- the magnitude of momentum of either particle in pair rest frame



Correlation function:
Normalized relative momentum distribution of the leading particle in pair frame

Double ratio: a probe of space time asymmetries



STAR PRL 91 (2003) 262302

K-π Correlations



Take K-π system as a reference in which Coulomb dominates:

- Enhancement in Unlike-Sign
- Suppression in Like-Sign

K-μ Correlations



Signature of muonic atoms disassociation: two particles are **emitted at the same position and time**.

π-μ Correlations



If there are only final state coulomb interactions,

- Like-Sign CF should be <1, and increase monotonically approaching 1
- Unlike-Sign CF should be >1, and decrease monotonically approaching 1

π-π Correlations



- In like-sign pairs, the correlations come from rejecting Coulomb force.
- In like-sign pairs, the correlations come from: [**STAR** PRC 83 064905 2011]
  - Bose-Einstein quantum statistics ↑
  - Coulomb final state interaction ↓

π-μ^A Correlations





1) Use two pions from real data

2) Let one π decay to μ+ν, based on energy momentum conservation only
   1) Let decay in π center-of-mass frame
   2) boost decay products using β_π

3) Calculate the correlation between the “artificial” muon (**μ^A**) and the other π

Three Correlation Functions

- A: π-μ^A – weak-decay only
- B: π-$\mu_{measured}$ – weak-decay & primary
- C': π-$\mu_{primary}$ -- primary only



B = α*C' + β*A
=> B = α*1/C + β*A

A can be determined by **the simulated decay method**

B can be measured **directly from data**

C' ~ C(π-π) **~ 1/C(π-π)$_{UL}$** to avoid quantum statistics contribution

Fitting Results



22.0±0.4% primary muons

Very low k* is discarded because – when we simulate the decay $\pi \to \mu^A + \nu$, there are always missing pions, which can not be saved anyways due to track merging.

Summary

- ★ **Invariant mass peaks** at the expected atom masses have been observed
  - ★ The signal is robust after Coulomb effect is rejected
  - ★ The singal is consistent in all (anti-matter) pairs
- ★ Femtoscopic correlation studies are consistent with **ionization of muonic atoms**
  - ★ Correlation shows the existence of Coulomb force
  - ★ The double ratio indicates the daughter particles are emitted at the same space-time point – disassociated from muonic atoms
- ★ π-μ correlations are used to extract fraction of direct muons

BACKUP

What is a muonic atom



Hadron+muon Coulomb bound state

- **Facts**
  - Binding energy 0 keV
  - Bohr radius

  a_0*(m_e/m_red)

  =279fm (p+mu)

  =440fm (pi+mu)
  - Bohr velocity alpha*c/n

- **What to expect**
  - Atom mass = m_p+m_mu
  - Atoms can only be at **s** state
  - Pp/mp=pmu/mmu

Femtoscopic Correlation

- Study small physics scale by using measured momentum from our detectors

> **k*** – the magnitude of the three-momentum of either particle in the pair rest frame.
> **C(k*)** – the ratio of the k* distribution constructed with particles from the same event with the particles from mixed event:
> **C(k*)=(k* in Same Event)/(k* in Different Event) =(correlated distribution)/(uncorrelated distribution)**

- For non-identical particles, correlation is used to probe **space-time asymmetries**

Catching-up
Stronger correlation



Moving away
Weaker correlation



Space-time asymmetries
Non-flat double-ratio



PRL 91 (2003) 262302
Pion-Kaon Correlations in Au+Au@130GeV



Correlation Functions for K-μ



- The Coulomb contributions are weaker – washed out by long life time decays
- Differences between Like-Sign and Unlike-Sign at low k*

π-μ Invariant Mass





- Like-sign background method is larger than foreground
- Leads to negative region in S/B (red in circle)
- This is not consistent with K-μ, p-μ

Identical particle quantum statistics – attractive

Compare K-μ with K-π



- In both K-μ with K-π, attractive **Coulomb** interaction is observed in UnLike-Sign; repulsive Coulomb is observed in Like-Sign.
- C+/C- ~ unity at k*~0 GeV/c: **no space-time asymmetry**, two particles are emitted at the same position and time. Agree with the **muonic atom ionization**

Fitting Results (Reduced Mass Factor)

The mass difference between **π** and **μ** produces different CFs.

Take into account of reduced mass factor (into k*, not CF)

$$\frac{M_{\pi\pi}^{red}}{M_{\pi\mu}^{red}} = 1.16$$





27.1±0.4% primary muons

Fitting Method

- **Fit function** includes parameter α, β, histogram A and 1/C

β*A+α*1/C

- **Minimum Chi-Square Method**

$$\chi^2 = \sum_i \left[(\beta * A_i + \alpha * 1/C_i - B_i)/\sigma_i \right]^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \sum_i (\beta * A_i + \alpha * 1/C_i - B_i)/\sigma_i * A_i/\sigma_i = 0 \\ \sum_i (\beta * A_i + \alpha * 1/C_i - B_i)/\sigma_i * 1/C_i/\sigma_i = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \alpha = \dfrac{\left(\sum_i \dfrac{A_i B_i}{\sigma_i^2}\right)\left(\sum_i \dfrac{1}{C_i^2 \sigma_i^2}\right) - \left(\sum_i \dfrac{B_i}{C_i \sigma_i^2}\right)\left(\sum_i \dfrac{A_i}{C_i \sigma_i^2}\right)}{\left(\sum_i \dfrac{A_i^2}{\sigma_i^2}\right)\left(\sum_i \dfrac{1}{C_i^2 \sigma_i^2}\right) - \left(\sum_i \dfrac{A_i}{C_i \sigma_i^2}\right)^2} \\ \beta = \dfrac{\left(\sum_i \dfrac{A_i}{C_i \sigma_i^2}\right)\left(\sum_i \dfrac{A_i B_i}{\sigma_i^2}\right) - \left(\sum_i \dfrac{A_i^2}{\sigma_i^2}\right)\left(\sum_i \dfrac{B_i}{C_i \sigma_i^2}\right)}{\left(\sum_i \dfrac{A_i}{C_i \sigma_i^2}\right)^2 - \left(\sum_i \dfrac{A_i^2}{\sigma_i^2}\right)\left(\sum_i \dfrac{1}{C_i^2 \sigma_i^2}\right)} \end{cases}$$

Fitting Method

Fitting errors:

$$
\begin{cases}
\alpha = \dfrac{\left(\sum_i \frac{A_i B_i}{\sigma_i^2}\right)\left(\sum_i \frac{1}{C_i^2 \sigma_i^2}\right) - \left(\sum_i \frac{B_i}{C_i \sigma_i^2}\right)\left(\sum_i \frac{A_i}{C_i \sigma_i^2}\right)}{\left(\sum_i \frac{A_i^2}{\sigma_i^2}\right)\left(\sum_i \frac{1}{C_i^2 \sigma_i^2}\right) - \left(\sum_i \frac{A_i}{C_i \sigma_i^2}\right)^2} \\
\beta = \dfrac{\left(\sum_i \frac{A_i}{C_i \sigma_i^2}\right)\left(\sum_i \frac{A_i B_i}{\sigma_i^2}\right) - \left(\sum_i \frac{A_i^2}{\sigma_i^2}\right)\left(\sum_i \frac{B_i}{C_i \sigma_i^2}\right)}{\left(\sum_i \frac{A_i}{C_i \sigma_i^2}\right)^2 - \left(\sum_i \frac{A_i^2}{\sigma_i^2}\right)\left(\sum_i \frac{1}{C_i^2 \sigma_i^2}\right)}
\end{cases}
$$

$$\delta\alpha = \sqrt{\sum_i \left(\frac{\partial\alpha}{\partial B_i}\delta B_i\right)^2 + \sum_i \left(\frac{\partial\alpha}{\partial A_i}\delta A_i\right)^2 + \sum_i \left(\frac{\partial\alpha}{\partial C_i}\delta C_i\right)^2}$$

$$\alpha = (B - \beta A)C$$

$$\frac{\partial\alpha}{\partial B_i} = C_i$$

$$\delta\beta = \sqrt{\sum_i \left(\frac{\partial\beta}{\partial B_i}\delta B_i\right)^2 + \sum_i \left(\frac{\partial\beta}{\partial A_i}\delta A_i\right)^2 + \sum_i \left(\frac{\partial\beta}{\partial C_i}\delta C_i\right)^2}$$

$$\beta = \frac{B - \alpha / c}{A}$$

$$\frac{\partial\beta}{\partial B_i} = 1 / A_i$$