## Recent Hypernuclei Measurements in the High Baryon Density Region with the STAR Experiment at RHIC<sup>\*</sup>

### YUE HANG LEUNG, FOR THE STAR COLLABORATION

Heidelberg University

#### Received July 30, 2022

Hypernuclei are expected to be abundantly produced in intermediate to low energy heavy-ion collisions due to the high baryon density. Measurements of the yield and collective flow are sensitive to their production mechanisms and the dynamics of the produced medium. In particular, hypernuclei measurements may also bear implications on the hyperon-nucleon interaction, which is critical to understanding the nuclear equation of state in high baryon density medium including strangeness degrees of freedom.

The STAR Beam Energy Scan Phase II program, carried out during 2018-2021, is particularly suited for such studies. In this talk, the collision energy dependence of light hypernuclei  $\begin{pmatrix} 3\\ \Lambda}H, \frac{4}{\Lambda}H, \frac{4}{\Lambda}He \end{pmatrix}$  production yields in  $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 3.0, 19.6$  and 27.0 GeV Au+Au collisions will be presented. Results on hypernuclei directed flow will also be presented. Furthermore, measurements of hypernuclei lifetimes and relative branching ratios will be reported. The physics implications of our measurements in the context of hypernuclear structure and their production mechanisms will be discussed.

### 1. Introduction

Nuclei containing at least one hyperon are known as hypernunclei, and 18 they serve as important experimental probes to access the hyperon-nucleon 19 (Y-N) interaction. The Y-N interaction is an important ingredient in the 20 equation-of-state of high baryon density matter, such as neutron stars or the 21 hadronic phase of a heavy-ion collision. Hypernuclei measurements related 22 to their internal structure provide strong constraints on the Y-N interac-23 tion, while measurements of their yields and flow in heavy-ion collisions 24 can shed light on their production mechanisms, which is currently not well 25 understood. 26

1

2

3

4 5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

 $<sup>^{\</sup>ast}$  Presented at Quark Matter 2022

#### 27 2. STAR Beam Energy Scan II and Hypernuclei Reconstruction

In heavy-ion collisions, hypernuclei yields are expected to increase to-28 wards lower beam energies due to the increasing baryon density [6]. The 29 STAR Beam Energy Scan II program, which covers collision energies from 30  $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 3.0$  to 27.0 GeV, provides a great opportunity for hypernuclei stud-31 ies. In the following, we will discuss recent hypernuclei measurements car-32 ried out using data from Au+Au collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 3.0, 7.2, 19.6$  and 33 27.0 GeV taken in 2018 and 2019. 258, 155, 478 and 555 million events have 34 been analyzed for each aforementioned dataset respectively. Hypernuclei are 35 reconstructed using their mesonic decay channels, e.g.  $^{3}_{\Lambda}H \rightarrow ^{3}He + \pi^{-}$  and 36  $H \rightarrow {}^{4}He + \pi^{-}$ . Particle identification of the daughter tracks is achieved 37 by the measured ionization energy loss in the Time Projection Chamber. 38

#### 3. Probing the Internal Structure of Hypernuclei



#### 3.1. Relative Branching Ratio $R_3$

The  ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H relative branching ratio  $R_3$ , defined as:

$$R_3 = \frac{BR(^3_{\Lambda}\mathrm{H} \to {}^3\mathrm{He} + \pi^-)}{BR(^3_{\Lambda}\mathrm{H} \to {}^3\mathrm{He} + \pi^-) + BR(^3_{\Lambda}\mathrm{H} \to \mathrm{d} + \mathrm{p} + \pi^-)},$$
(1)

where BR stands for branching ratio, has been suggested to be sensitive to the  ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H binding energy [1]. The  ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H yields are measured in  $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} =$ 3.0 GeV Au+Au collisions via both two-body and three-body decay channels, and  $R_3$  can be subsequently determined. The preliminary result  $R_3 =$ 0.27 ± 0.03(stat) ± 0.04(syst), as shown in Fig. 1, is consistent with previous measurements. The improved precision on  $R_3$  can provide stronger constraints on hypernuclear interaction models.



Fig. 1. Compilation of  ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H relative branching ratio  $R_{3}$ . The experimental average  $R_{3}$  is indicated by the blue shaded band. The magenta box and dashed red and orange lines represent theoretical calculations.

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

### 3.2. Lifetime

Using  $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 3.0 \,{\rm GeV}$  and 7.2 GeV data taken in 2018, the  ${}^3_{\Lambda}{\rm H}$  and  ${}^4_{\Lambda}{\rm H}$ 49 yields are measured as a function of proper decay length. The lifetimes are 50 extracted via an exponential fit. The  ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H and  ${}^{4}_{\Lambda}$ H lifetimes are measured to 51 be  $221\pm15(stat)\pm19(syst)$  and  $218\pm6(stat)\pm13(syst)$  respectively [2]. The 52 same methodology is applied to  ${}^{4}_{\Lambda}$  He and the preliminary result of the  ${}^{4}_{\Lambda}$  He 53 lifetime,  $229 \pm 23(stat) \pm 20(syst)$ , is reported. The results are compared to 54 previous measurements and theoretical calculations in Fig. 2. The experi-55 mental averaged  ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H lifetime is  $(76 \pm 5)\%$  of the  $\Lambda$  lifetime, and is consistent 56 with theoretical calculations incorporating pion final-state interactions [3]. 57 Meanwhile, the measured  ${}^{4}_{\Lambda}$ H and  ${}^{4}_{\Lambda}$ He lifetimes are consistent with the-58 oretical estimates invoking the isospin rule [4]. The new results have an 59 improved precision compared to previous measurements and are expected 60 to provide stronger constraints to hypernuclear interaction models. 61



Fig. 2. Compilation of  ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H,  ${}^{4}_{\Lambda}$ H and  ${}^{4}_{\Lambda}$ He lifetimes. The experimental average lifetimes are indicated by blue shaded bands. The short dashed lines represent theoretical calculations while the solid grey line indicates the free  $\Lambda$  lifetime.

# 62 63

## 4. Hypernuclei Production in Heavy-Ion Collisions

#### 4.1. Yield and Particle Ratios

<sup>64</sup> The  ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H yields at  $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 3.0$ , 19.6 and 27.0 GeV are presented as a <sup>65</sup> function of transverse momentum  $p_T$ , rapidity and centrality. The  ${}^{4}_{\Lambda}$ H yield <sup>66</sup> at  $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 3.0$  GeV is also reported [3]. The mid-rapidity yields in 0–10%

48

<sup>67</sup> collisions are compared to theoretical calculations and the measured  ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H <sup>68</sup> yield at  $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 2.76 \,{\rm TeV}$  [5]. The  ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H yield rises as the energy decreases,



Fig. 3.  ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H (upper panel) and  ${}^{4}_{\Lambda}$ H (lower panel) yields within |y| < 0.5 as a function of beam energy in central heavyion collisions. The symbols represent measurements [2, 5] while the lines represent different theoretical calculations.

likely driven by the increasing baryon density. This trend is qualitatively reproduced by thermal model calculations [6], although the yields at  $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}}$ = 19.6 and  $27.0 \,\mathrm{GeV}$  are overestimated. Meanwhile, the same model underestimates the  ${}^{4}_{\Lambda}$  H yield at  $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}}$  $= 3.0 \,\mathrm{GeV}$ . To investigate further, the  $^3_{\Lambda}$ H and  $^4_{\Lambda}$ H yields at  $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 3.0 \,{\rm GeV}$ are compared to  $\Lambda$  and light nuclei yields at the same energy. As shown in the left panel of Fig. 4, the light nuclei yields, when divided by the spin degeneracy, follow an approximate exponential dependence as a function of the mass number A. However,  ${}^{4}_{\Lambda}$ H lies a factor of 6 above the exponential fit to the  $(\Lambda, {}^{3}_{\Lambda}H \text{ and } {}^{4}_{\Lambda}H)$  yields. As shown in the right panel, a nonmonotonic behavior in hypernuclei to light nuclei yield ratio as a function of A is observed. This trend can be qualitatively reproduced by thermal model calculations including feed-down from the excited  ${}^{4}_{\Lambda}$ H<sup>\*</sup> state [6]. These obser-

vations support the creation of excited hypernuclei in heavy-ion collisions. The strangeness population factor  $S_A$ , defined as [7]:

$$S_A = \frac{{}_{\Lambda}^{A} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{A} \times \mathrm{p_T})}{{}^{A} \mathrm{He}(\mathrm{A} \times \mathrm{p_T}) \times \frac{\Lambda}{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{p_T})},\tag{2}$$

<sup>95</sup> incorporates the  $\Lambda/p$  ratio in order to remove the absolute difference in <sup>96</sup>  $\Lambda$  and p yields, thus enabling a fair comparison between hypernuclei and <sup>97</sup> light nuclei production. The ratios in different  $p_T$ , rapidity, and centrality <sup>98</sup> selections are shown in the left panel of Fig. 5. For both  $S_3$  and  $S_4$ , no <sup>99</sup> significant dependence on  $p_T$ , rapidity, or centrality is observed.

The integrated  $S_3$  in the kinematic region  $(|y| < 0.5, p_T/A > 0.4 \text{ GeV}/c)$ is computed for  $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 3.0, 19.6$  and 27.0 GeV 0–40% Au+Au collisions. As shown in the right panel of Fig. 5, a hint of an increasing trend from  $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}}$ = 3.0 GeV to 2.76 TeV is observed. It has been suggested that an increase in



Fig. 4. (left) Light nuclei and hypernuclei yields at |y| < 0.5 in  $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 3.0 \,{\rm GeV}$ 0–10% collisions as a function of mass number A. The dotted lines represent exponential fits to the data. (right) Ratio of hypernuclei to light nuclei yields as a function of A in  $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 3.0 \,{\rm GeV}$  0–10% (solid symbols) and 10–40% (open symbols) collisions. The red and green dashed bands represent thermal model calculations with and without  ${}^{4}_{\Lambda}{\rm H}^{*}$  feed-down respectively.



Fig. 5. (left)  $S_3$ (blue) and  $S_4$ (magenta) as a function of  $p_T/A$  in 0–10% and 10– 40%  $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 3.0 \,{\rm GeV}$  Au+Au collisions. Different markers correspond to different rapidity ranges. (right)  $S_3$  as a function of  $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}}$ . The different colored lines represent theoretical calculations.

<sup>104</sup>  $S_3$  as a function of  $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}}$  may be related to the onset of deconfinement [7]. <sup>105</sup> However, none of the models shown describe the  $S_3$  data quantitatively. <sup>106</sup> Future theoretical developments is necessary to help interpret the data.

107

The directed flow of hypernuclei and light nuclei in  $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 3.0 \,{\rm GeV} \, 5-$ 40% collisions are reported and shown in the left panel of Fig. 6. The hypernuclei  $v_1$  slope, similar to that of light nuclei, follows mass number scaling. The average  $p_T$  of hypernuclei and light nuclei in  $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 3.0 \,{\rm GeV} \, 0-10\%$ collisions are shown in the right panel of Fig. 6. Similarly, linear trends are observed for hypernuclei and light nuclei, which reflects the dominance
of collective radial motion. These results are consistent with hypernuclei
production from coalescence of hyperons and nucleons.



Fig. 6. (left) Hypernuclei and light nuclei  $dv_1/dy$  (left) and  $\langle p_T \rangle$  (right) at midrapidity as a function of mass in  $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 3.0 \,{\rm GeV} 5-40\%$  and 0-10% collisions respectively. Yellow bands are linear fits to the light nuclei  $dv_1/dy$  and  $\langle p_T \rangle$ .

#### 5. Summary

In summary, the first batch of hypernuclei results from the STAR Beam 117 Energy Scan II Program have been presented. Hypernuclei lifetimes and 118 branching ratios have been measured with improved precision, providing 119 stronger constraints to hypernuclear interaction models.  ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H and  ${}^{4}_{\Lambda}$ H yields 120 at  $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 3.0 \,{\rm GeV}$ , and the  $^3_{\Lambda}{\rm H}$  yield at 19.6 and 27.0 GeV are also pre-121 sented. At  $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 3.0 \,{\rm GeV}$ , the yield ratios of hypernuclei to light nuclei 122 follow a non-monotonic trend, which suggests the production of excited  ${}^{4}_{\Lambda}$  H\* 123 states. Finally, the directed flow of hypernuclei at  $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 3.0 \,{\rm GeV}$  is found 124 to scale with the mass number, consistent with hypernuclei formation via 125 coalescence. 126

#### REFERENCES

- 127 [1] F. Hildenbrand and H.-W. Hammer, Phys. Rev. C **102**,064002(2020)
- 128 [2] M. S. Abdallah et al. (STAR Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 128,202301(2022)
- 129 [3] A. Gal and H. Garcilazo, Phys. Lett. B 791,48(2019)
- 130 [4] A. Gal, EPJ Web Conf. **259**,08002(2022)
- 131 [5] J. Adam et al. (ALICE Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B 754,360(2016)
- 132 [6] A. Andronic et al., Phys. Lett. B 697,203(2011), (update, preliminary)
- 133 [7] S. Zhang et al, Phys. Lett. B 684,224(2010)

116