# Recent STAR Results from Heavy-Ion and Polarized Proton Programs

- <sup>3</sup> Grigory Nigmatkulov<sup>1,\*</sup> for the STAR Collaboration
- <sup>4</sup> <sup>1</sup>National Research Nuclear University MEPhI
- 5 115409, Kashirskoe shosse 31, Moscow, Russia

6	Abstract. We present recent physics results from the STAR experiment at
7	Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC). The proceedings cover studies of az-
8	imuthal anisotropy in small and large systems, global and local hyperon polar-
9	ization, correlation femtoscopy, antideuteron and $J/\psi$ production from heavy-
10	ion program as well as the measurements of longitudinal spin asymmetry from
11	polarized proton program.

# 12 1 Introduction

The main goal of high-energy physics is to understand the properties of the strong interac-13 tion that can be described by the Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD). In order to measure the 14 QCD matter, one can use ion-ion collisions. Variation of collision energy provides a unique 15 opportunity to investigate the properties of the created medium on baryon chemical poten-16 tial  $(\mu_B)$  and temperature (T). This medium is also known as Quark-Gluon Plasma (QGP). 17 The Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) accelerates and collides nuclei from protons to 18 uranium. Using different colliding species gives important information about the influence 19 of the initial conditions, dynamical evolution and transport properties. RHIC is also the only 20 accelarator of polarized proton beams. Studying the high-energy polorized p+p collisions is 21 essential key in understanding of the spin structure of the proton in terms of gluon, quark 22 and antiquark constituents. In these proceedings, we report recent results from heavy-ion 23 and polorized proton-proton programs obtained in the Solenoidal Tracker At RHIC (STAR) 24 experiment. 25

# <sup>26</sup> 2 Azimuthal harmonics in small and large systems

The process of particle emission in the transverse plane is anisotropic. This anisotropy, known 27 as anisotropic flow, can be measured via Fourier decomposition of the single-particle az-28 imuthal angle ( $\phi$ ) with respect to the *n*<sup>th</sup>-order event plane ( $\Psi_n$ ) [1]. The first three extracted 29 flow coefficients  $v_1$ ,  $v_2$ , and  $v_3$  are called directed, elliptic, and triangular flow, respectively. 30 The fluctuations-driven component of  $v_1$ , named  $v_1^{fluc}$  is proportional to the dipole asymmetry 31 of the collision system [2, 3]. Azimuthal anisotropy is one the key observables because it car-32 ries information about the viscous hydrodynamic response to the initial spatial distribution in 33 energy density due to fluctuations and geometry. 34

<sup>\*</sup>e-mail: nigmatkulov@gmail.com;ganigmatkulov@mephi.ru

Figure 1 shows the  $\langle N_{ch} \rangle$  dependence of the  $v_1^{fluc}$  (a),  $v_3$  (b), and  $v_2$  (c) flow coeffi-35 cients [4]. The measurements have been performed for p+Au, d+Au, Cu+Cu, Cu+Au, and 36 Au+Au collision at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  = 200 GeV and U+U collisions at sqrts<sub>NN</sub> = 193 GeV. The inset in 37 Fig. 1(a) compares the extracted values of  $K \equiv 1/(\langle N_{ch} \rangle \langle p_T^2 \rangle)$ , that takes into account 38 the long-range non-flow correlations induced by global momentum conservation, for each 39 system. 40

For  $< N_{ch} > \ge 170$ , the  $v_n$  values show a decrease with increasing values of  $< N_{ch} >$ . This 41 is consistent with the expected decrease of  $\epsilon_n$  for more central collision as compared to the 42 midcentral ones. The decrease of  $v_2$  for  $< N_{ch} > \le 170$  shows the dominant role of size-driven 43





Figure 1. The  $v_1^{fluc}$  (a),  $v_3$  (b), and  $v_2$  (c) dependence on  $\langle N_{ch} \rangle$  for p+Au, d+Au, Cu+Cu, Cu+Au, Au+Au, and U+U collision systems. The dashed curve in (c) represents a hydrodynamic model calculation.

The system-dependent behaviour of  $v_2$  shown in Fig. 1(c) can be attributed to the depen-45 dence of  $\epsilon_2$  on system size for a given value of  $\langle N_{ch} \rangle$ . Figure 2 shows the  $v_2/\epsilon_2$  as a function 46 of  $\langle N_{ch} \rangle^{-1/3}$  [4]. This confirms the system dependence of the  $\epsilon_2$  on system size. 47



Figure 2. The  $v_2/\epsilon_2$  as a function of  $\langle N_{ch} \rangle^{-1/3}$  for p+Au, d+Au, Cu+Cu, Cu+Au, Au+Au, and U+U collisions. (Inset) The respective ratios of the slopes extracted for each collision system separately relative to the slope extracted from a fit to the combined data sets.

The inset in Fig. 2 shows similarity between the slopes of the  $\epsilon_2$ -scaled  $v_2$  for Cu+Cu, Cu+Au, Au+Au, and U+U collisions over the indicated multiplicity range. The eccentricityscaled results for p+Au and d+Au collisions also follow the data trend for these heavier collision species with larger systematic uncertainty.

# **3** Global hyperon polarization

The angular momentum carried by colliding nuclei can be transferred to the created system. Due to the spin-orbit coupling, this may lead to the global polarization of particle' spin along the direction of angular momentum of the system [5, 6]. Experimentally, such a global polarization can be probed with hyperons via parity-violating weak decays, in which the daughter baryon is preferentially emitted in the direction of the hyperon's spin. In case of antihyperon, the daughter baryon tends to be emitted in the opposite direction to the parent spin.

Since the angular momentum of the system is perpendicular to a plane defined by the impact parameter vector and the beam direction (so-called reaction plane), the hypeon polarization can be measured via the azimuthal distribution of daughter baryons with respect to the reaction plane ( $\Psi_1$ ) in the hyperon's rest frame ( $\phi_p^*$ ):

$$P_H = \frac{8}{\pi \alpha_H} \frac{\langle \sin(\Psi_1 - \phi_p^*) \rangle}{Res(\Psi_1)},\tag{1}$$

where  $\alpha_H$  is the hyperon decay constant,  $Res(\Psi_1)$  is the experimental resolution of the firstorder event plane.

Figure 3 shows the global polarization of  $\Lambda$  and  $\overline{\Lambda}$  hyperons as a function of collision energy ( $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ ) for 20–50% most central Au+Au collisions [7, 8]. The global polarization results follow the same trend and seem to decrease with increasing collision energy.



**Figure 3.** Global polarization of  $\Lambda$  and  $\overline{\Lambda}$  as a function of collision energy ( $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ ) for 20–50% central Au+Au collisions. Thin lines show calculations from a (3+1)D cascade + viscous hydrodynamic model (UrQMD+vHLLE) [9] and bold lines show the AMPT model calculations [10].

The (3+1)D viscous hydrodynamic model vHLLE with the UrQMD initial state [9] agrees with the data over a wide range of collision energies, including  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$  GeV within

- the current accuracy of the experimental measurements. Calculations from a Multi-Phase 66
- Transport (AMPT) model [10] predict slightly higher global polarization than those from the 67
- hydrodynamic model, but are also in good agreement with the data within uncertainties. 68

#### 4 Polarization along the beam direction 69

Recently STAR has also reported the measurement of the hyperon polarization along the beam direction [11]:

$$P_z = \frac{\langle \cos(\theta_p^*) \rangle}{\alpha_H \langle \cos^2(\theta_p^*) \rangle}.$$
(2)

- The beam direction component of the polarization,  $P_z$ , arises from vorticity due to elliptic 70 flow. It is expected that  $P_z$  will be more sensitive to the later stages of the system evolution 71
- after the anisotropic flow is developed [2] as compared to global hyperon polarization which 72
- originates mostly from the initial velocity fields. The  $\langle \cos(\theta_p^*) \rangle$  was measured as a function 73
- of azimuthal angle of  $\Lambda$  ( $\overline{\Lambda}$ ) relative to  $\Psi_2$ . The acceptance effects and inefficiencies were 74
- 75
- taken into account. Figure 4 shows  $\langle \cos(\theta_p^*) \rangle^{sub}$  of  $\Lambda$  and  $\overline{\Lambda}$ , obtained with event plane method, as a function of azimuthal angle relative to  $\Psi_2$  for the 20%–60% centrality region. 76



**Figure 4.** The  $< \cos(\theta_p^*) >$  of  $\Lambda$  and  $\overline{\Lambda}$  as a function of azimuthal angle  $\phi$  relative to the second-order event plane  $\Psi_2$  for 20%–60% centrality bin in Au+Au collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  = 200 GeV. Open boxes show the systematic uncertainties. The lines show the fit with the sine function.

The lines in Fig. 4 represent the fit results using  $p_0 + 2p_1 \sin(2\phi - 2\Psi_2)$ , where  $p_0$  and  $p_1$ 77 are the fit parameters. The data are consistent with a sine structure for both  $\overline{\Lambda}$  and  $\Lambda$ . This is 78 expected due to the elliptic flow. 79

#### 5 Antideuteron production 80

The underlying mechanism for light (anti)nuclei production in relativistic heavy-ion collisions is not well understood. One of the possible approaches is through coalescence of (anti)nucleons. Since the binding energies of light nuclei are small ( $\approx 2.2$  MeV for (anti)deuteron and  $\approx$ 7.7 MeV for <sup>3</sup>He), the light nuclei cannot survive when the temperature is much higher than the binding energy. The typical kinetic freeze-out temperature for light hadrons is around 100 MeV, hence they may be formed by final-state coalescence, after nucleons are decoupled from the hot and dense system. In case of the coalescence picture, the invariant yield of light nuclei is related to the invariant yield of nucleons as:

$$E_a \frac{d^3 \mathbf{N}_A}{d \mathbf{p}_A^3} \approx B_A \left( E_p \frac{d^3 \mathbf{N}_p}{d \mathbf{p}_p^3} \right)^A, \tag{3}$$

where  $\mathbf{p}_p$ ,  $\mathbf{p}_n$ , and  $\mathbf{p}_A$  are momenta of proton, neutron, and nucleus, respectively. Here  $\mathbf{p}_A = A\mathbf{p}_p$ , assuming  $\mathbf{p}_p \approx \mathbf{p}_n$ . The A and Z are the mass and charge number of the nucleus. The B<sub>A</sub> is a coalescence parameter and it reflects probability of nucleon coalescence.

Figure 5 shows the excitation function of  $B_2$  at  $p_T/A = 0.65$  GeV/c in 0%–10% most central Au+Au collisions [12].



**Figure 5.** Energy dependence of the coalescence parameter,  $B_2$ , for d and  $\bar{d}$  at  $p_T/A= 0.65$  GeV/c from Au+Au collisions at RHIC. Results from AGS [13–15], SPS [16–18] (0%–7% and 0%–12% collision centralities), RHIC [19, 20] (0%–18% and 0%–20% collision centrality for  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  = 130 GeV and 200 GeV) are also presented.

The results are compared to those measured at AGS [13–15], SPS [16–18] and RHIC [19, 86 20]. At energies below  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 20$  GeV, the coalescence parameters  $B_2$  decrease as a function 87 of increasing collision energy, This implies that the size of the emitting source of nucleons 88 increases with the collision energy. When  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  > 20 GeV, the rate of decrease seems to 89 change and saturate up to 62.4 GeV. It might imply a change of the equation of state of the 90 medium in those collisions. The  $B_2$  from 200 GeV is found to be larger than the BES satura-91 tion values, which needs further studies. The  $B_2$  values for antideuterons are systematically 92 lower than those for deuterons, which implies that the overall size of the antibaryon-emitting 93 source is larger than that of baryons. 94

#### 95 6 Correlation femtoscopy

In 2015 STAR conducted a fixed-target (FXT) test run using gold ion collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 4.5$  GeV to show that STAR is capable to run in a fixed-target configuration. One beam was circulated in the collider and lowered to directly graze the edge of a 1 mm thick

<sup>99</sup> (4% interaction probability) gold foil target. The target was placed at the edge of the TPC, <sup>100</sup> about 211 cm away from the center of the detector to make use of the full tracking volume <sup>101</sup> of the TPC. Approximately 1.3 million events were collected with a top  $\approx 30\%$  centrality <sup>102</sup> trigger. Figure 6 (left) shows the measured femtoscopic radii as a function of transverse mass <sup>103</sup> for 0-10% central Au+Au collisions in the fixed-target mode.



**Figure 6.** (Left) Transverse mass dependence of  $R_{out}$ ,  $R_{side}$ , and  $R_{long}$  for pions measured for 0-10% Au+Au collisions in STAR (red stars), E895 (black triangles) [21], and E866 (green crosses) [22]. (Right) The  $R_{side}$  vs.  $R_{long}$  dependence for the E866, E895, STAR [23] and ALICE [24] experiments. Only statistical uncertainties shown.

The STAR FXT results are compared with E895 [21] and E866 (E802) [22] and are consistent with the energy dependence trend of these other experiments within uncertainties. Figure 6 (right) shows the dependence of  $R_{side}$ , which reflects the transverse size of the source, on  $R_{long}$  that reflects the size in the longitudinal direction for several collision energies. As the collision energy increases in the FXT regime, compression reduces the source size and increases the baryon density, whereas the BES collider regime shows increasing longitudinal expansion.

The correlation femtoscopy technique was also used to study identical pion correlations in d+Au collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 200 GeV. Figure 7 shows the extracted  $\pi\pi$  femtoscopic radii,  $R_{inv}$ .



Figure 7. Charged pion femtoscopic as a function of pair transverse momentum,  $k_T$ , measured in d+Au collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$  GeV. Boxes represent systematic uncertainty.

The  $R_{inv}$  decreases with pair tranverse momentum,  $k_T = |p_{T,1} + p_{T,2}|$ , which is consistent with previuos measurement in p+p collisions at the same energy [25].

# **7** J/ $\psi$ suppression in Au+Au collisions

Among the various probes of the QGP, quarkonia play a special role as they are expected to 117 dissociate in the medium when the Debye radius, inversely proportional to the medium tem-118 perature, becomes smaller than their size. Strong suppression of the  $J/\psi$  meson with respect 119 to its yield in p+p collisions scaled by the number of binary nucleon-nucleon collisions has 120 been observed at high transverse momenta  $(p_T)$  in central heavy-ion collisions at both RHIC 121 and LHC energies. Recently, STAR has presented new measurement of  $J/\psi$  suppression at 122 midrapidity in Au+Au collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$  GeV through the dimuon decay channel [26] 123 shown for  $p_T > 0.15$  GeV/c in Fig. 8. 124



**Figure 8.** The J/ $\psi$  R<sub>AA</sub> as a function of N<sub>part</sub> above 0.15 GeV/c in Au+Au collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  = 200 GeV, compared to those for Pb+Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  = 2.76 TeV [27].

The  $J/\psi R_{AA}$  is seen to decrease from peripheral to central collisions, which is expected in the presence of the QGP. The results are compared to those obtained at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$  TeV [27]. The low- $p_T$  J/ $\psi$ 's are more suppressed in central and midcentral collisions at RHIC than at the LHC, likely due to the smaller charm quark production cross section and thus smaller regeneration contribution at RHIC. Transport model calculations are consistent with the data at low  $p_T$ . The calculation from Statistical Hadronization Model (SHM) is shown as the dashed line and also describes the data well in non-peripheral events [28].

## <sup>132</sup> 8 $W^{\pm}$ longitudinal spin asymmetry

The leptonic  $W^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu$  and  $W^- \rightarrow e^- \nu$  decay channels provide sensitivity to the helicity distributions of the quarks,  $\Delta u$  and  $\Delta d$ , and antiquarks,  $\Delta \bar{u}$  and  $\Delta \bar{d}$ , that is free of uncertainties associated with nonperturbative fragmentation. The primary observable is the longitudinal single-spin asymmetry  $A_L = (\sigma_+ - \sigma_-) = (\sigma_+ + \sigma_-)$ , where  $\sigma_{+(-)}$  is the cross section when the helicity of the polarized proton beam is positive (negative).

STAR reported new measurements of the single-spin asymmetries for decay positrons and electrons from  $W^{\pm}$  bosons produced in longitudinally polarized proton-proton collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 510$  GeV [29]. The recorded data correspond to an integrated luminosity of about 250 pb<sup>-1</sup>. The luminosity-weighted beam polarization was P = 0.56, with a relative scale <sup>142</sup> uncertainty of 3.3% for the single-beam polarization and 6.4% for the product of the polar-

izations from both beams. The new  $W^{\pm} A_L$  data are shown in Fig. 9 and consistent with the previously published results, but have statistical uncertainties that are 40%–50% smaller.



**Figure 9.** Longitudinal single-spin asymmetries,  $A_L$ , for  $W^{\pm}$  production as a function of the positron or electron pseudorapidity,  $\eta_e$ , for the combined STAR 2011+2012 and 2013 data (points) in comparison to theory expectations (curves and bands).

The results are compared with expectations based on the DSSV14 [30], NNPDFpoll.1 [30] and BS15 [31] PDFs. The NNPDFpoll.1 analysis, unlike DSSV14 and BS15, includes the STAR 2011+2012  $W^{\pm}$  data [32], which reduces in particular the uncertainties for  $W^-$  expectations at negative  $\eta$ . The data confirm the existence of a sizable, positive  $\Delta \bar{u}$  in the range 0.05< x < 0.25 [32] and the existence of a flavor asymmetry in the polarized quark sea.

# 151 9 Summary

The recent results from the STAR experiment at RHIC has been overviewed. A comprehen-152 sive set of flow measurements for different colliding nuclei has been presented. The detailed 153 comparisons of the measurements show the sensitivity of  $v_n$  to the magnitude of the initial-154 state eccentricity, system size, and final-state interactions in the expanding matter. The  $\Lambda$ 155 polarization along the beam directions with a quadrupole structure has been observed for 156 the first time and needs futher theoretical input. The values of coalescence parameter,  $B_2$ , 157 for deuterons decrease as collision energy increases and seem to reach a minimum at about 158  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 20-40$  GeV, indicating a change in the equation of state.  $B_2$  values for antideuterons 159 have been found to be less than those for deuterons at collision energies below 62.4 GeV. 160 New STAR measurements of longitudinal single-spin asymmetry for  $W^{\pm}$  produced in po-161 larized proton-proton collisions at  $\sqrt{s}$ = 510 GeV have been shown. The A<sub>L</sub> data for W<sup>+</sup> 162 and  $W^-$ , combined with previously published STAR results, show a significant preference 163 for  $\Delta \bar{u}(x, Q^2) > \Delta \bar{d}(x, Q^2)$  in the fractional momentum range 0.05< x <0.25 at a scale of 164  $Q^2 = 10 \, (\text{GeV/c})^2$ . 165

# **Acknowledgements**

<sup>167</sup> The reported study was partially supported the Ministry of Science and Higher Education <sup>168</sup> of the Russian Federation, grant No 3.3380.2017/4.6, and by the National Research Nuclear

<sup>168</sup> of the Russian Federation, grant No 3.3380.2017/4.6, and by the National Research Nuclear <sup>169</sup> University MEPhI in the framework of the Russian Academic Excellence Project (contract

No. 02.a03.21.0005, 27.08.2013). The part of the work was performed using resources of

171 NRNU MEPhI high-perfomance computing center.

## **References**

- <sup>173</sup> [1] S. Voloshin, Y. Zhang, Z. Phys. C 70, 665 (1996)
- 174 [2] D. Teaney, L. Yan, Phys. Rev. C 83, 064904 (2011)
- <sup>175</sup> [3] M. Luzum, J.Y. Ollitrault, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 102301 (2011)
- <sup>176</sup> [4] J. Adam et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 102301 (2019)
- <sup>177</sup> [5] Z.T. Liang, X.N. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 102301 (2005)
- <sup>178</sup> [6] S. Voloshin (2004), 041008
- <sup>179</sup> [7] L. Adamczyk et al., Nature **548**, 62 (2017)
- 180 [8] L. Adamczyk et al., Phys. Rev. C 98, 014910 (2018)
- <sup>181</sup> [9] I. Karpenko, F. Becattini, Eur. Phys. J. C 77, 213 (2017)
- <sup>182</sup> [10] H. Li, L.G. Pang, Q. Wang, X.L. Xia, Phys. Rev. C 96, 054908 (2017)
- <sup>183</sup> [11] J. Adam et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**, 132301 (2019)
- <sup>184</sup> [12] J. Adam et al., Phys. Rev. C **99**, 064905 (2019)
- <sup>185</sup> [13] M.J. Bennett et al., Phys. Rev. C 58, 1155 (1998)
- <sup>186</sup> [14] T.A. Armstrong et al., Phys. Rev. C **61**, 064908 (2000)
- <sup>187</sup> [15] T.A. Armstrong et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 2685 (2000)
- <sup>188</sup> [16] G. Ambrosini et al., Phys. Lett. B **417**, 202 (1998)
- <sup>189</sup> [17] I.G. Bearden et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 23, 237 (2002)
- <sup>190</sup> [18] I.G. Bearden et al., Phys. Rev. C 94, 044906 (2016)
- <sup>191</sup> [19] C. Adler et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 262301 (2001)
- <sup>192</sup> [20] S.S. Adler et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 122302 (2005)
- <sup>193</sup> [21] M. Lisa et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 2798 (2000)
- <sup>194</sup> [22] L. Ahle et al., Phys. Rev. C 66, 054906 (2002)
- <sup>195</sup> [23] L. Adamczyk et al., Phys. Rev. C **92**, 014904 (2015)
- <sup>196</sup> [24] K. Aamodt et al., Phys. Lett. B **696**, 328 (2011)
- <sup>197</sup> [25] M.M. Aggarwal et al., Phys. Rev. C 83, 064905 (2011)
- <sup>198</sup> [26] J. Adam et al., Phys. Lett. B **797**, 134917 (2019)
- <sup>199</sup> [27] J. Adam et al., J. High Energy Phys. **07**, 051 (2015)
- [28] A. Andronic, P. Braun-Munzinger, K. Redlich, J. Stachel, Nature 561, 321 (2018)
- <sup>201</sup> [29] J. Adam et al., Phys. Rev. D **99**, 051102(R) (2019)
- [30] D. de Florian, R. Sassot, M. Stratmann, W. Vogelsang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 012001
   (2014)
- <sup>204</sup> [31] C. Bourrely, J. Soffer, Nucl. Phys. A **941**, 307 (2015)
- <sup>205</sup> [32] L. Adamczyk et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 072301 (2014)