Probing QCD matter via $K^{*0}(892)$ and $\phi(1020)$ resonance production at RHIC

Md Nasim (for the STAR Collaboration)

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Berhampur, Odisha 760010, India. nasim@iiserbpr.ac.in

Abstract. We present the measurements of invariant yields of K^{*0} and 1 ϕ resonances at midrapidity in Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 7.7 - 200$ 2 GeV using the STAR detector. The transverse momentum (p_T) spectra 3 and p_T -integrated yields of K^{*0} and ϕ have been studied. The ratios 4 between resonance $(K^{*0} \text{ and } \phi)$ to non-resonance particles (K) are pre-5 sented as a function of centrality. It is found that K^{*0}/K^- ratios are 6 suppressed in the most central collisions as compared to peripheral ones 7 for all studied collision energies. On the other hand, ϕ/K^- ratios are 8 weakly depend on centrality. These results can be understood by con-9 sidering the effect of more hadronic rescattering for K^{*0} (lifetime ~ 4 10 fm/c) as compared to ϕ (lifetime ~ 42 fm/c). We have also presented 11 the measurement of the first-order azimuthal anisotropy (known as di-12 rected flow, v_1) of ϕ meson as a function of rapidity (y) at midrapidity 13 in Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 7.7 - 200$ GeV. The slope of ϕ -meson v_1 14 (dv_1/dy) has been compared to the dv_1/dy of other identified particles. 15 We have found that all particles that consist of produced quarks show 16 17 similar behaviour for $\sqrt{s_{NN}} > 14.5$ GeV.

Keywords: Hadronic rescattering, resonances, directed flow, heavy-ion collisions

20 1 Introduction

18

19

The aim of the STAR experiment at Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) 21 is to study the QCD matter by colliding nuclei at ultra-relativistic speeds [1]. 22 The study of K^{*0} (lifetime ~ 4 fm/c) and ϕ (lifetime ~ 42 fm/c) production 23 in heavy-ion collisions can be used to probe the medium created after the colli-24 sion [2]. The K^{*0} resonance has a short lifetime, therefore it may decay during 25 the hadronic phase and its decay products may undergo elastic or pseudoelas-26 tic scatterings [3]. Due to elastic or pseudoelastic scatterings, the final yield of 27 K^{*0} resonance may get changed. The K^{*0} resonance yields may get reduced 28 due to rescattering of its daughters through elastic scattering or the yields may 29 be regenerated through pseudoelastic scattering between chemical and kinetic 30 freeze-out [2]. However, due to longer lifetime as compared to the fireball, ϕ 31 mesons mostly decay outside of the fireball and its daughters are not affected 32

2 Md Nasim

³³ by late-stage hadronic scatterings. Hence, the study of K^{*0} and ϕ resonances ³⁴ provides an important information about the late-stage hadronic scatterings.

In high-energy heavy-ion collisions, particles are produced with an azimuthally anisotropic momentum distribution. Directed flow (v_1) is a measure of azimuthal angular anisotropy of the produced particles with respect to the first-order event plane [4]. The v_1 is an initial state effect and expected to be sensitive to the equation of state of the system formed in the collision. The ϕ meson freezes out early and is expected to have small hadronic interaction cross section. The measured v_1 of ϕ meson can be used as a clean probe to study the QCD matter [5].

42 **2** Data sets and methods

The results presented here are based on data collected at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 7.7, 11.5,$ 43 14.5, 19.6, 27, 39, 62.4 and 200 GeV in Au+Au collisions by the STAR detector 44 using a minimum-bias trigger. The Time Projection Chamber (TPC) [6] and 45 Time of Flight (TOF) [7] detectors with full 2π coverage are used for particle 46 identification in the central pseudorapidity (η) region ($|\eta| < 1.0$). The K^{*0} and 47 ϕ resonances are reconstructed from the following hadronic decay channels: K^{*0} 48 $\rightarrow K^{\pm} + \pi^{\mp}$ and $\phi \longrightarrow K^{+} + K^{-}$. Event mixing technique has been used for 49 combinatorial background estimation [8]. Figure 1 shows invariant mass distri-50 bution of $K^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}$ and $K^{+}K^{-}$ pairs after mixed event background subtraction. 51

The first harmonic coefficient of the Fourier decomposition of azimuthal distri-



Fig. 1. Invariant mass distribution of $K^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}$ and $K^{+}K^{-}$ pairs after mixed event background subtraction for miminum bias (0-80%) Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 11.5$ GeV for $0.4 < p_T < 0.6$ GeV/c.

52

⁵³ bution with respect to the first-order event plane angle (ψ_1) can be expressed as ⁵⁴ $v_1 = \langle \cos(\varphi - \psi_1) \rangle$, where φ is the azimuthal angle of the produced particle. The ⁵⁵ first-order event plane angles are calculated using a forward rapidity detectors ⁵⁶ (Beam-Beam Counters or Zero-Degree Calorimeters) [9]. The measured v_1 with ⁵⁷ respect to the first-order event plane has been corrected for the finite event plane ⁵⁸ resolution. The more details about v_1 measurement in STAR can be found in ⁵⁹ Ref. [10].

60 3 Results

Figure 2 shows K^{*0}/K^- and ϕ/K^- ratios as a function of $(dN_{ch}/d\eta)^{1/3}$ (the 61 cubic root of the charged-particle multiplicity density measured at midrapidity) 62 in Au+Au collisions at various center-of-mass energies measured by STAR ex-63 periment [8, 11–14]. The results are compared to the measurements performed at 64 $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV in Pb+Pb collision by the ALICE collaboration. The K^{*0}/K^{-1} 65 ratios decrease with increasing $(dN_{ch}/d\eta)^{1/3}$ (proxy for system size) for all stud-66 ied collisions energies. On the other-hand, ϕ/K^- ratios are almost independent 67 of $(dN_{ch}/d\eta)^{1/3}$. The observed suppression of the K^{*0}/K^{-1} ratios could be due 68 to rescattering effect as discussed earlier. 69



Fig. 2. Ratios K^{*0}/K^- and ϕ/K^- as a function of $(dN_{ch}/d\eta)^{1/3}$ in Au+Au and Pb+Pb collisions at various $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ [8, 11–14].

Directed flow slope, dv_1/dy , versus beam energy for ϕ , $\bar{\Lambda}$, p and \bar{p} is presented in Fig. 3 for 10-40% central Au+Au collisions [10]. The slope for $\bar{\Lambda}$ is negative for all energies and is consistent within errors with that for \bar{p} . The \bar{p} , ϕ and $\bar{\Lambda}$ are seen to have similar $v_1(y)$ for $\sqrt{s_{NN}} > 14.5$ GeV. All these species consist from quarks that are produced in the collision. For $\sqrt{s_{NN}} < 14.5$ GeV, the current statistical uncertainty is too large to make any conclusion.

76 4 Summary

We report the measurement of K^{*0} and ϕ resonance production at midrapidity 77 in Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 7.7-200 GeV recorded by the STAR detec-78 tor. The K^{*0}/K^- and ϕ/K^- ratios as a function of $(dN_{ch}/d\eta)^{1/3}$ is presented 79 for different center-of-mass energies. The K^{*0}/K^- ratios are found to decrease 80 with increasing $(dN_{ch}/d\eta)^{1/3}$. Whereas, ϕ/K^{-} ratios are nearly independent of 81 $(dN_{ch}/d\eta)^{1/3}$. The observed suppression of K^{*0}/K^{-1} ratios in central collisions 82 could be due to the effect of hadronic rescattering which reduce the measured 83 yield of short-lived resonances. The directed flow of ϕ meson is presented for 84

4 Md Nasim



Fig. 3. Directed flow slope (dv_1/dy) versus beam energy for 10-40% centrality in Au+Au collisions for ϕ , \bar{A} , p and \bar{p} [10].

- different collision energies. Directed flow slope (dv_1/dy) of ϕ meson is found to
- ⁸⁶ be consistent within errors with that of \bar{A} and \bar{p} for $\sqrt{s_{NN}} > 14.5$ GeV.

87 References

- ⁸⁸ 1. J. Adams et. al. (STAR Collaboration), Nucl. Phys. A 757, (2005) 102.
- ⁸⁹ 2. J. Adams et. al. (STAR Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C 71 (2005) 64902.
- ⁹⁰ 3. M. Bleicher et al., Phys. Lett. B 530, (2002) 81.
- ⁹¹ 4. A. M. Poskanzer and S. A. Voloshin, Phys. Rev. C 58 (1998)1671.
- ⁹² 5. A. Shor, Phys. Rev. Lett. 54, (1985) 1122.
- 93 6. M. Anderson et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 499, 659 (2003).
- ⁹⁴ 7. W. J. Llope (STAR TOF Group), Nucl. Instr. Meth. B 241, 306 (2005).
- 95 8. L. Adamczyk et.al. (STAR Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C 93 (2016) 21903.
- 96 9. G. Wang (PhD thesis, Kent State University) (2005).
- 97 10. L. Adamczyk et.al. (STAR Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 (2018) 62301.
- 98 11. L. Adamczyk et.al. (STAR Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C 96 (2017) 44904.
- ⁹⁹ 12. M. M. Aggarwal et.al. (STAR Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C 84, (2011) 034909.
- 100 13. B. I. Abelev et.al. (STAR Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C 79 (2009) 64903.
- 101 14. J. Adam et. al. (ALICE Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C 91 (2015) 024609.