Charge sensitive cumulants and flow in U+U collisions from STAR

Prithwish Tribedy

(for the STAR Collaboration)



QCD workshop on Chirality, Vorticity & Magnetic Field in Heavy Ion Collision

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA





Outline

- Introduction
- Results of flow in U+U collisions from STAR
- Results of charge-dependent azimuthal correlations from STAR
- Challenges and outlook

Flow is the dominant source of background for signals of CME

Can U+U collisions be used to disentangle the two effects ?



Motivations for U+U collisions at RHIC



Kuhlman, Heinz, nucl-th/0411054, nucl-th/0506088



Triggering the spectators & multiplicity —> probe the shape of Uranium (interesting collision geometries)

Motivations for U+U collisions at RHIC



Ultra-central U+U—> Knee-structure, different from Au+Au (oblate) —> probe of particle production mechanism & degree of coherence

First results on U+U collisions from STAR

L. Adamczyk et al. (STAR Collaboration) Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 222301 (2015)



- No Knee-like structure
- Sensitivity to shape <1% event
- Data contradicts strong binary-collision₅dependence of multiplicity
- IP-Glasma & Quark-Glauber —> bete $mathin{the}$ explain the ultra-central data.

ZDC Centrality Cut



What have we learned from the U+U collisions at RHIC ?

 Limitations of two-component model in MC-Glauber: Modifications : Quark-Glauber (nucl-th/0302071, 1509.06727), TRENTO (14diaud-708), Shadowed Glauber (1510.01311)

```
dN/d\eta \sim x N_{coll} + (1-x) N_{part}/2
```



Next Step: Can we use U+U collisions to learn about CME?

Qualitative picture



Reaction plane & B-field direction is strongly correlated in Au+Au—> Not true for U+U

Can U+U collisions disentangle flow & signals of CME?

 Ψ_{SP}

 Ψ_{SP}

Observables for CME

• General (3-particle) cumulant :

$$C_{m,n,m+n} = \langle \cos((m\phi_1 + n\phi_2 - (m+n)\phi_3)) \rangle$$

• Lowest order (3-particle) charge sensitive cumulant :

$$C_{112} = \left\langle \cos((\phi_1^{\pm} + \phi_2^{\mp} - 2\phi_3)) \right\rangle$$

• The CME correlator :

$$\begin{split} \gamma^{a,b} &\sim \frac{\langle \cos(\phi_1^a + \phi_2^b - 2\phi_3) \rangle}{v_2\{2\}} &\sim \langle \cos(\phi^a + \phi^b - 2\Psi_{RP}) \rangle \\ \text{(3P-cumulant method)} &\text{(event-plane method)} \end{split}$$

Details of the data set

- U+U 193 GeV : Year 2012 (Min-bias/ultra-central)
- Au+Au 200 GeV : Year 2004, 2007 (Min-bias), 2011 (ultra-central)
- Centrality selection :
 - TPC uncorrected multiplicity $|\eta| < 0.5$
 - ZDC East & West ADC
- Common QA cuts :

 $-|V_r| < 2$, $|V_z| < 20$, $|V_z - vpdV_z| < 2$ cm

• Acceptance cuts: $|\eta| < 1$, 0.2 GeV/c< $|p_T|$

TPC acceptance (used in this analysis)



Measurement of $v_2{2} \& \gamma^{ab}$ in Au+Au collisions



Au+Au results —> baseline for measurement in U+U

Measurement of v₂{2} in U+U collisions



Δη

Differential measurement of the C112 correlator



$$C_{112} = \langle \cos(2(\phi_1^a + \phi_2^b - 2\phi_3)) \rangle$$
$$\Delta \eta = \Delta \eta_{1,2}$$

Need to remove two major artifacts :

- Track merging
 apply Δη > 0.025
- HBT -correlations
 - do : (OS SS)

Results using Cumulant and Event-plane methods

$$\gamma^{a,b} \sim \frac{\langle \cos(\phi_1^a + \phi_2^b - 2\phi_3) \rangle}{v_2\{2\}}$$



 $\sim \langle \cos(\phi^a + \phi^b - 2\Psi_{RP}) \rangle$

A tighter centrality selection in 0-10% events is needed to probe the shape of Uranium

Centrality Selection in 0-10% events



Binning on multiplicity

Binning on spectators

Estimation of v₂{2} (varying multiplicity & spectators)

After removing track merging and HBT peak



Refmult bins

ZDC bins

Stronger variation of v₂ with multiplicity compared to spectators

γ^{ab} -V2 correlations (varying multiplicity & spectators)



Observations in 0-10%:

 Strong correlation : nearly linear dependence between γ^{ab} & v₂

 γ^{ab} -V2 correlations (varying multiplicity & spectators)



 $(\gamma^{OS}-\gamma^{SS})\sim 0$ in both Au+Au and U+U for non-zero v_2

Dominance of fluctuations of the participants and spectators

Simulations including detector effects

MC-Glauber model with response of ZDC & TPC —> proxy for γ^{ab} & v_2



A pattern similar to data : γ depends on both $|B^2|$ & its alignment with the participant plane —> Participant & spectator fluctuations can't be neglected —> difficult to disentangle γ^{ab} & v_2

Spectator asymmetry in U+U collisions

A new tuning parameter to disentangle ε_2 and B-field



Binning in IL-RI it is possible to trigger body-tip events : B to e2B field was

B-f

Spectator asymmetry in U+U collisions

S.Chatterjee & PT (1412.5103)





Spectator asymmetry —> triggers event with two different [values of B-field but the same ε₂ & vice-versa Next Step: Bin events in ZDC asymmetry & look for similar trend between γ^{ab} & v₂

Spectator asymmetry in U+U collisions

Body-Tip events are experimentally triggered by asymmetry of ZDCs



Experimental challenges :

- Response of ZDC to neutrons
- Clustering of nucleons that introduces artificial de-correlation

Analysis in this direction (separating signals of flow & CME) and systematic studies are under progress

Summary / Outlook

- Results on azimuthal correlations in U+U collisions at RHIC have constrained models of initial conditions.
- As a next step charge dependent azimuthal correlations have been studied in U+U & compared to Au+Au results.
- Strong correlation between γ^{ab} & v₂ observed in 0-10% with γ^{ab} ~0 for v₂>0 in both U+U and Au+Au collisions.
- Smaller than expected variation of v_2 with multiplicity in central U+U reduces lever arm for studying dependence of γ^{ab} on v_2
- New analyses under way to use U+U data to disentangle CME signal from backgrounds (specifically using spectator asymmetry).

backup

A few QA plots



Weight estimation for cumulant calculations

• Acceptance binning for weight calculation :

Sagitta = charge*((20.* $p_T/3.$) - $\sqrt{((20.*p_T/3.)^2 - 0.75^2))}$

Weight = 1/(entries in
$$\eta$$
- ϕ) * 1/ ϵ
The tracking efficiency :
 $\epsilon = \frac{C}{(1. + \exp(-(p_T + 0.1)/0.15))}$



B-field simulations in U+U collisions



t=0, x=<x>, y=<y>, z=0

1

0.6

0.2

-0.2

-0.6

-1

0



100

80

60

40

20

0

-20

-40 -60

-80

0

-100

 $|eB|^2 cos(2(\Psi_{B}-\Psi_2)) (fm)^{-4}$



0.2

0.4

ε₂

0.6

0.8

1

10

b [fm]

15

5