Further study of Roy/Ajit's correlator

Chrystalla Havadjia (UCLA)

Roy's/Ajit Correlator

The Roy's/Ajit Correlator uses a double ratio to determine if the charge separation is CME-driven.

 $R(\Delta S) = C_p(\Delta S) / C_p^{\perp}(\Delta S)$

- CME-drive charge separation creates a "concave" shape
- Non-CME driven charge creates a "flat" or "convex" shaped graph



Where Charge separation is defined as (Δ S) and is measured using a multi-particle charge-sensitive in-event correlator.

Event-by-event distribution

Carries charge separation response

$$\Delta S = \frac{\sum_i \sin \Delta \phi_i^+}{p} - \frac{\sum_i \sin \Delta \phi_i^-}{n}$$

- Random shuffling of charges within an event
- Carries the "null" or charge averaged response

Event Cuts:

• vertex Z: ± 40 cm

Particle Cuts:

- pT : (0.2 2) GeV/c
- η:±1
- DCA (distance of closest approach): less that 2cm
- All tracks have matching TOF (time of flight)

p+Au collision high-tower trigger (0-100% events)





p+Au collision (0-100% events)



- p+Au has very little charge separation signal due to an almost random B-field orientation in these collisions
- The double ratio should be a flat or convex shape, but we see a concave shape (indicating a CME-driven charge separation?)

p+Au collision (0-100% events)



6

d+Au collision (0-100% events)



• d+Au show a similar case as p+Au

p+Au collision (0-20% most central events)



p+Au collision (0-20% most central events)



d+Au collision (0-20% most central events)



This shows the 0-20% most central d+Au events

Summery

- We tested this method on p+Au and d+Au collisions of both high-tower and minimum bias triggers and of different centralities.
 - They seem to show a signal.
- These figures are different than Niseem's figures.
 - We need to further investigate what is causing this difference
- We want to look into larger systems, for example peripheral Au+Au collisions.

Backup Slides

p+Au collision high-tower trigger (0-20% events)

